



The Nameless War, by Captain Archibald Maule Ramsay, MP



Captain RAMSAY:

The Nameless War

THE AUTHOR:

Captain Archibald Maule Ramsay was educated at Eton and the Royal Military College, Sandhurst, and served with the 2nd Battalion, Coldstream Guards, in the First World War until he was severely wounded in 1916 – thereafter at Regimental H.Q. and the War Office and the British War Mission in Paris until the end of the war.

From 1920 he became a Member of H.M. Scottish Bodyguard.

In 1931 he was elected a Member of Parliament for Midlothian and Peeblesshire.


Arrested under Regulation 18b on the 23rd May, 1940, he was detained, without charge or trial, in a cell in Brixton Prison until the 26th September, 1944. On the following morning he resumed his seat in the House of Commons and remained there until the end of that Parliament in 1945.

THE NAMELESS WAR

Here is the story that people have said would never be written in our time — the true history of events leading up to the Second World War, told by one who enjoyed the friendship and confidence of Mr. Neville Chamberlain [photo] during the critical months between Munich and September, 1939.

There has long been an unofficial ban on books dealing with what Captain Ramsay calls "The Nameless War," the conflict which has been waged from behind the political scene for centuries, which is still being waged and of which very few are aware. The publishers of *The Nameless War* believe this latest exposure will do more than any previous attempt to break the conspiracy of silence. The present work, with much additional evidence and a fuller historical background, is the outcome of the personal experiences of a public figure who in the course of duty has discovered at first-hand the existence of a centuries-old conspiracy against Britain, Europe, and the whole of Christendom.

The Nameless War reveals an unsuspected link between all the major revolutions in Europe – from King Charles I's time to the abortive attempt against Spain in 1936. One source of inspiration, design and supply is shown to be common to all of them. These revolutions and the World War of 1939 are seen to be integral parts of one and the same master plan.



After a brief review of the forces behind the declaration of war and the world wide arrests of many who endeavoured to oppose them, the author describes the anatomy of the Revolutionary International machine — the machine which today continues the plan for supranational world power, the age-old Messianic dream of International Jewry.

It is the author's belief that the machine would break down without the support of its unwilling Jews and unsuspecting Gentiles and he puts forward suggestions for detaching these elements.

Christians say ...

“Captain Ramsay, a Christian gentleman of unflagging courage, believed that the war with Germany was not conceived in the interests of Britain and could lead only to the extension of Communist and Jewish power. Because he warned his fellow countrymen of the forces at work, he was put in prison without trial for 4+ years, for ‘reasons’ so preposterous that those who framed them dared not submit them to a court of law.”

For years Captain Ramsay had been a member of the British Parliament. His book is an analysis of the Jewish-Zionist war against Christian civilization.” (The Cross and the Flag)

Jews say ...

“There is no limit to the depths of human depravity, Captain Maule Ramsay ... seems to have made a very determined attempt to plumb those depths.” (The Jewish Chronicle)

“The publication of such a book, at this time, underlines the urgent need for the law to be reformed so as to make it a crime to preach racial hatred or publish libels on groups in the community.” (The Daily Worker)

CONTENTS

PROLOGUE

I. THE BRITISH REVOLUTION

2. THE FRENCH REVOLUTION

3. THE RUSSIAN REVOLUTION

4. DEVELOPMENT OF REVOLUTIONARY
TECHNIQUE

5. GERMANY BELLS THE CAT

6. 1933: JEWRY DECLARES WAR

7. “PHONEY WAR” ENDED BY CIVILIAN
BOMBING

8. DUNKIRK AND AFTER

9. THE SHAPE OF THINGS TO COME

10. PRESIDENT ROOSEVELT'S ROLE

11. REGULATION 18B

12. WHO DARES?

EPILOGUE

Dedication

To the memory of those [English] Patriots who in 1215 at Runnymede signed *Magna Carta*, and those who in 1320, at Arbroath, signed the [Scottish] Declaration of Independence, this book is dedicated.

27th July 1952.

Narrator's note (henceforth "NN")

This Scottish Declaration of Independence was a significant document, signed by 53 leading Scottish citizens and nobles, and sent to the Pope, who sometimes mediated disputes between countries, stating that Scotland, in its conflict with the king of England, could not live without the freedom to choose its own ruler. Its most famous line was:

"As long as but a hundred of us remain alive, never on any conditions will we be brought under English rule. It is in truth not for glory, nor riches, nor honours that we are fighting, but for freedom – for that alone which no honest man gives up but with life itself."

Captain RAMSAY : The Nameless War

PROLOGUE

Edward I [painting] banished the Jews from England for many grave offences endangering the welfare of his realm and lieges, which were to a great extent indicated in the "Statutes of the Jewry," enacted by his Parliament in 1275, with the Commons [the lower part of the British parliament] playing a prominent part.

NN: In 1275 The Statute of Jewry restricted Jews in many ways. It confined Jews to certain areas of England, forbade usury to them, as well as any ownership of English land and contact with the English people, and compelled them to wear a yellow badge to warn others who they were because of their dishonesty, rapacious money lending, and a record of ritual murder of English children. Also, they lied about their dark appearance and claimed they were just Welsh! Now back to the book.

The King of France very shortly followed suit, as did other rulers in Christian Europe. So grave did the situation for the Jews in Europe become, that an urgent appeal for help and advice was addressed by them to the Sanhedrin, then located at Constantinople.

This appeal was sent over the signature of Chemor, Rabbi of Arles in Provence, France, on the 13th January, 1489. The reply came in November, 1489, which was issued over the signature of V.S.S. V.F.F. Prince of the Jews. It advised the Jews of Europe to adopt the tactics of the Trojan Horse; to make their sons Christian priests, lawyers, doctors, etc., and work to *destroy the Christian structure from within*.

The first notable repercussion to this advice occurred in Spain in the reign of [King] Ferdinand and [Queen] Isabella. Many Jews were by then enrolled as Christians, but, remaining secretly Jews, were working to destroy the Christian church in Spain.

NN: This was the famous Queen Isabella who in the year 1492 sent Columbus out successfully to discover America, who 2) expelled



the muslims from Spain and 3) also threw the jews out. Back to the book.



So grave became the menace finally, that the Inquisition was instituted [in 1478 by Ferdinand and Isabella] in an endeavour to cleanse the country from these conspirators. Once again the Jews were compelled to commence an exodus from yet another country whose hospitality they had abused.

NN: As the *History of Christianity* by the esteemed author Paul Johnson points out, this was a decision by the government of Spain, not the Catholic Church, which was far more subject to the kings than to the popes at that time. The Jews were seen as a separate and hostile people living in the kingdom and as a threat to national security just as much as they were to the Church. The kings of Spain supervised the Inquisition.

Despite the propaganda against it, it killed about ten people a month at its height, whereas when bolsheviks Jews seized power in Russia, they killed thousands of Christians every month. When Stalin took over, he killed tens of thousands a month, and eight of the ten death camps in the gulag were run by Jews.

This was revealed by Nobel Laureate Alexander Solzhenitsyn in his monumental 2002 book *Two Hundred Years Together*, which is available in German and French but still has not come out in English, but my translation of a 55-page summary of it is available. Back to the book.

Trekking eastwards, these Jews who had been expelled from England and Spain joined other Jewish communities in western Europe ; considerable numbers flowed on to Holland and Switzerland.



From now on these two countries were to become active centres of Jewish intrigue.

Jewry, however, has always needed a powerful seafaring nation to which to attach itself.

Great Britain, newly united under James I [painting], was a rising naval power, which [see Appendix 2] was already beginning to sway the four corners of the discovered world. Here also there existed a wonderful field for disruptive criticism ; for although it was a Christian kingdom, yet it was one most sharply divided between Protestant and Catholic.

NN: Who was King James? Well, after the famous Queen Elizabeth of the time of Shakespeare, Sir Walter Raleigh, and the Spanish Armada, died in 1603 — and the American state of Virginia was named after her because she was said to be a virgin, and so she had no offspring — the Parliament, to avoid war among the nobles, brought in an outside king, they asked the king of Scotland in, James VI

of Scotland, to also be James I of England, so he was king fo two different, neighboring countries.

Thus began the Scottish Stuart Dynasty in England. King James, as the name suggests, commissioned the most famous Bible translation ever into English, long called the King James Bible. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/King_James_Version]



me, euen thine owne selfe besides:
 20 **Yea**, brother, let mee haue ioy of thee in the Lord: refresh my bowles in the Lord.
 21 **H**aving confidence in thy obedience, I wrote vnto thee, knowing that thou wilt also doe more then I say.
 22 **B**ut withall prepare mee also a lodging: for I trust that through your prayers I shall be giuen vnto you.

23 **T**here salute thee Epaphras, my fellow prisoner in Christ Iesus:
 24 **Marcus**, Aristarchus, Demas, Lucas, my fellow labourers.
 25 **T**he grace of our Lord Iesus Christ be with your spirit. Amen.

Written from Rome to Philemon, by Onesimus a servant.



THE EPISTLE OF PAUL
 the Apostle to the Hebrewes.

CHAP. I.

1 Christ in these last times comming to vs from the Father, 4 is preferred aboute the Angels, both in Person and Office.



God who at sundry times, and in diuers manners, spake in time past vnto the Fathers by the Prophets.

2 **H**ath in these last dayes spoken vnto vs by his Sonne, whom he

hath appointed heire of all things, by whom also he made the worlds.

3 **W**ho being the brightnesse of his glory, and the expresse image of his person, and vpholding all things by the word of his power, when hee had by himselfe purged our finnes, sate down on y^e right hand of the Maiestie on high,

4 **B**eing made so much better then the Angels, as hee hath by inheritance obtained a more excellent Name then they.

5 **F**or vnto which of the Angels said hee at any time, Thou art my sonne, this day haue I begotten thee: And again, I will be to him a Father, and he shall be to me a Sonne.

6 **A**nd againe, when he bringeth in the first begotten into the world, hee saith, And let all the Angels of God worship him.

7 **A**nd of the Angels he saith: who

maketh his Angels spirits, and his ministers a flame of fire.

8 **B**ut vnto the Sonne, he saith, Thy throne, O God, is for euer and euer: a scepter of righteousnesse is the scepter of thy kingdome.

9 **T**hou hast loued righteousnesse, and hated iniquitie, therefore God, euen thy God hath anointed thee with the oyle of gladnesse above thy fellowes.

10 **A**nd, thou Lord in the beginning hast layed the foundation of the earth: and the heauens are the works of thine hands.

11 **T**hey shall perish, but thou remainest: and they all shall waxe old as doth a garment.

12 **A**nd as a vesture shalt thou fold them vp, and they shall be changed, but thou art the same, and thy yeres shall not faile:

13 **B**ut to which of the Angels said hee at any time, Sit on my right hand, vntill I make thine enemies thy footstool:

14 **A**re they not all ministring spirits, sent forth to minister for them, who shall be heires of saluation?

CHAP. II.

1 **W**e ought to bee obedient to Christ Iesus, 5 and that because he vouchsafed to take our nature vpon him, 14 as it was necessarie.

Therefore we ought to giue the more earnest heede to the things which we haue heard, lest at any time we should let them slip.

2 For

Gr. rightnesse, or straightnes.

Pla. 102. 2. cfa. 34. 4.

Pla. 110. 1. matt. 22. 44.

Gr. run out as leaking vessels.

**Wis. 7. 26.*

When he died in 1625, his son, Charles I, born in Fife, Scoland, took over, and that is when the Jews made their big move to get back into England, by promoting a civil war where one side would promise the Jews what they wanted in return for money and military supplies.

Charles I, born in Fife, Scotland, was absolutely right about not bringing the Jews back into England, but his personality was both shy and yet off-putting, and he believed in the divine right of kings, that is, that a proper kings should rule with no interference from Parliament, which outraged many, and broke a custom going back four hundred years to *Magna Carta*. Charles said bluntly: "Kings are not bound to give an account of their actions but to God alone," and in fact when angry at Parliament sent it home for 11 years.



He then raised unusual taxes to pay for things without Parliament, and his marriage to a Catholic Frenchwoman led to criticism. When he entered Parliament with troops looking for those MPs who had criticized her, at that point, many lost all respect for him and saw him as a tyrant.

This king, though full of flaws in handling people, was at least an enemy of the Jews, but now he was very unpopular with many in Scotland and England, a fact which big Jews such as Fernandez Carvajal in London, and also Menassah Ben Israel [drawing] over in Holland, saw as their opportunity.



Now, Appendix 2 of the Ramsay book is short, and I think it would be beneficial to just read it out right now.

APPENDIX 2

THE JEWS IN BRITAIN

1215 Magna Carta

1255 Ritual murder of St. Hugh of Lincoln. Henry III personally ordered trial and 18 culprits were executed — all Jews.

1275 The Statute of Jewry passed ; confined Jews to certain areas, forbade usury to them and also ownership of land and contact with the people: compelled them to wear a yellow badge.

1290 Edward I banished the Jews from England.

1657 Oliver Cromwell, having been financed by Manasseh Ben Israel and Moses Carvajal, allows Jews to return to England, though the order of banishment [by Edward I] was never rescinded by Parliament.

1689 Amsterdam Jews financed the rebellion against King James II. The chief of these — Solomon Medina — follows William of Orange to England.

William of Orange with the interesting hooked nose



1694 The Bank of "England" set up and the National Debt instituted, securing for the Jew moneylenders a first charge on the taxes of England for interest on their loans. The right to print money transferred from the Crown to this "Bank of England".

1707 Economic and political union forced upon Scotland against the vote of every county and borough; the national debt was foisted upon Scotland, and the royal mint in Edinburgh was suppressed. [NN: You can see from this that Ramsay was a patriotic Scot and since England was financially controlled by Jews, he did not want the same to happen to Scotland but in 1707 it did. Before that, England and Scotland were separate kingdoms. Now they were one kingdom, and the Jews took over the Scottish economy too. Bawk to the book.]

A campaign for exploiting this division and fanning hatreds between the Christian communities was soon in process of organization. How well the Jews succeeded in this campaign in Britain may be judged from the fact that one of the earliest acts of 'their creature and hireling,' Oliver Cromwell [painting], after executing the King according to plan, was to allow the Jews free access to England once more.



THE BRITISH REVOLUTION

"It was fated that England should be the first of a series of Revolutions, which is not yet finished."

With these cryptic words [the Jewish writer] Isaac Disraeli [drawing], father of Benjamin, Earl of Beaconsfield, [NN: and, as all Britons knew, prime minister of England for many years under Queen Victoria] commenced his two-volume work, *The Life and Reign of Charles I*, 1828.

A work of astonishing detail and insight, much information for which, he states, was obtained from the records of one Melchior de Salom, French envoy in England during that period.

The scene opens with distant glimpses of the British Kingdom based upon Christianity, and its own ancient traditions ; these sanctions binding Monarchy, Church, State, nobles and the people in one solemn bond on the one hand ; on the other hand, the ominous rumblings of Calvinism.

Calvin, who came to Geneva from France, where his name was spelt *Cauin*, (2) possibly a French effort to spell Cohen, organized great numbers of revolutionary orators, not a few of whom were inflicted upon England and Scotland. Thus was laid the groundwork for revolution under a cloak of religious fervour.

[NN: Ramsay has a footnote here: "At a B'nai B'rith meeting in Paris [and B'nai B'rit was the Jewish Freemasons], as reported in *Catholic Gazette* in Feb. 1936, [[Calvin]] was claimed to be of Jewish extraction.

Captain Ramsay does not assert as a fact that Calvin was Jewish, but suggests that is possible. I concur that it is indeed possible, and perhaps probable.



Calvin taught, just like the Jewish Talmud, that God creates some souls to be damned, and others to be saved, an extremely un-Christian concept. Jesus was known for talking to, preaching to, admonishing or even eating with sinners such as adulterers, prostitutes, tax collectors, and other despised individuals, and famously said "a physician goes to the sick, not the healthy."

Calvin also endorsed usury (money-lending at interest), which was a radical rupture with long Christian tradition, and also for that matter muslim tradition, but his new teaching was in agreement with the Jews.



As for Calvin's name, which is French was "Cauvin," c-a-u-v-i-n, in French it is indeed pronounced similarly to "Cohen," and when Jews change their names, they often do so to a name similar to the original. So a Rothstein can become a Redstone, or a Koenigsberg a Kingsbury.

Finally, it is a fact that it was the Calvinists of Holland who took in the Jews of Spain when they were expelled in 1492, and that Calvinists and Jews were closely allied for centuries against the Catholic Church, and still are to this day in France. In fact, Alsace, in eastern France, whose capital is Strasbourg, was and is heavily Jewish and Calvinist both. The European Union's parliament is located in that city now.



Yes, the Jews and Calvinists did have a common enemy, but I think there is more to the story, and that Captain Ramsay may be correct in his suggestion that Calvin was a crypto-Jew.

We do know that when the Jews of Spain were ordered in 1492 to convert to Christianity or leave the country, the Jewish "Prince of Constantinople" advised them to either leave as the king ordered, and stay Jewish, or stay in Spain, merely pretend to convert, and then infiltrate and as secret Jews, called *marranos*, take over all levels of Christian Spanish society, religion and government.

As I have written (<https://www.johndenugent.com/the-jewish-war-on-the-kennedys/lyndon-johnsons-jewish-mother-and-the-1967-israeli-attack-on-the-uss-liberty/>), there is strong evidence that Lyndon Johnson, his mother Rachelle Baines, and his wife, Lady Bird, who all three had jet-black hair and jet-black eyes, were in fact *marranos* whose ancestors had fled the Spanish Inquisition to the then-Spanish colony of Mexico, and when the Inquisition came to Mexico City, they then moved to the then-Spanish-controlled Texas to continue practicing Judaism in secret.



And as I have also written, I believe firmly that Lyndon Johnson was the architect of the murder of President John Kennedy (<https://www.johndenugent.com/the-jewish-war-on-the-kennedys/>), one, because Kennedy was blocking Israel from getting nuclear weapons, and two, Johnson had a psychopathic obsession with becoming president, he hated the Kennedys personally, he felt jealous of their huge charisma and good looks, and he felt they looked down on him as an especially vulgar hick, which he was.



Johnson was infamous for lifting his beagle dog up by the ears, and for lifting his shirt up to reporters and showing them his gall bladder surgery scar, which many felt demeaned the dignity of the presidency.



And LBJ understood that he was not popular and could become president only by first becoming vice-president, and then by John Kennedy dying in office.



This is why the Spanish Inquisition was instituted, and it was started by the king of Spain, not the Catholic church, and stood under his close royal supervision and authority, because the Jews were viewed as hostile infiltrators, and were known to have betrayed the capital of Spain, Toledo, in the year 719, to the invading Muslim Moors, and the Jews indirectly admit it.

In 1492, Chemor, Chief Rabbi of Spain, wrote to the Grand Sanhedrin, which had its seat in Constantinople, for advice, when a Spanish law threatened expulsion. This was the reply:

'Beloved brethren in Moses, we have received your letter in which you tell us of the anxieties and misfortunes which you are enduring. We are pierced by as great pain to hear it as yourselves.

The advice of the Grand Satraps and the Rabbis is the following:

1. As for what you say that the King of Spain³ obliges you to become Christians: do it, since you cannot do otherwise.

2. As for what you say about the command to despoil you of your property: make your sons merchants that they may despoil, little by

little, the Christians of theirs.

3. As for what you say about making attempts on your lives: make your sons doctors and apothecaries, that they may take away Christians' lives.

4. As for what you say of their destroying your synagogues: make your sons canons and clerics in order that they may destroy their churches.

5. As for the other vexations you complain of: arrange that your sons become advocates and lawyers, and see that they always mix in affairs of State, that by putting Christians under your yoke you may dominate the world and be avenged on them.

6. Do not swerve from this order that we give you, because you will find by experience that, humiliated as you are, you will reach the actuality of power.

(Signed) Prince of the Jews of Constantinople"

]

On both sides of the Tweed[NN: the river that forms part of the border between England and Scotland; see photo]these demagogues contracted all religion into rigid observance of the "Sabbath."



To use the words of Isaac Disraeli, "the nation was artfully divided into Sabbatarians and Sabbath breakers." [NN: The Sabbath was the sacred day of rest and religion for Jews in the Old Testament. The New Testament does not command that Christians observe it.]

"Calvin," states Disraeli, "deemed the Sabbath to have been a Jewish ordinance, limited to the sacred people." He goes on to say that when these Calvinists [however] held the country in their power, "it seemed that religion chiefly consisted of Sabbatarian rigours ; and that a British senate had been transformed [12] into a company of Hebrew Rabbis": and later "In 1650, after the execution of the King, an Act was passed inflicting penalties for a breach of the Sabbath.

Buckingham, Strafford and Laud are the three chief figures round the King in these early stages: Men on whose loyalty to himself, the nation, and the ancient tradition Charles can rely.

Buckingham [equestrian painting], the trusted friend of King James I, and of those who had saved his life at the time of the Gowrie Conspiracy (of ominous cabalistic associations[NN: this was murky but apparently an attempt on the life of the king) and was assassinated in the early years of King Charles' reign under mysterious circumstances.

Strafford [painting below], who had been in his early days inclined to follow the opposite faction, later left them; and became a staunch and devoted adherent of the King.

This opposition faction became steadily more hostile to Charles and by the time that they were led by Pym and decided to impeach Strafford. "The King," writes Disraeli, "regarded this faction as his enemies" ; and he states that the head of this faction was the Earl of Bedford. Walsh, the eminent Catholic historian, states that a Jew wine merchant named Roussel was the founder of this family in Tudor times.

Thomas Wentworth, 1st Earl of Strafford



With the impeachment and execution of Strafford, the powers behind the rising Calvinist, or Cohenist, Conspiracy began to reveal themselves, and their focus, the City of London.



studio of Sir Anthony Van Dyck, painting, circa 1636

[NN: Captain Ramsay is referring to the growing power of the Puritans, who were strict Calvinists, under general Oliver Cromwell. They took over Parliament, got Thomas Wentworth, 1st Earl of Strafford, executed, and eventually went to war against the king, Charles I, and after a rigged trial executed him. After that, Cromwell ruled as dictator for nine years. A few years after he died, tired of the Calvinist Puritans, the English returned to having kings.]

At this time there suddenly began to appear from the City armed mobs of "Operatives" (the medieval equivalent for "workers" no doubt). Let me quote Disraeli: "They were said to amount to **ten thousand** ... with war-like weapons. It was a militia for insurgency at all seasons, and might be depended upon for any work of destruction at the cheapest rate ... as these sallied forth with daggers and bludgeons (from the city) the inference is obvious that this [13] train of explosion must have been long laid."

It must indeed ; and we must recollect here, that at this time Strafford was still unexecuted, and civil war in the minds of none but of those behind the scenes, who evidently had long since resolved upon and planned it.

These armed mobs of "workers" intimidated all and sundry, including both Houses of Parliament and the Palace at critical moments, exactly on the model employed later by the "Sacred Bands" and the "Marseillais" in the French Revolution.

Isaac Disraeli draws again and again startling parallels between this and the French Revolution : Notably in his passages on the Press, "no longer under restraint," and the deluge of revolutionary pamphlets and leaflets. [NN: Pamphlets and leaflets, handed out free on the street, were a major way of riling the masses up, and spreading rumors, attacks and criticism.] "From 1640 to 1660," he writes, "about 30,000 appear to have started up." And later, "the collection of French revolutionary pamphlets now stands by the side of the French tracts of the age of Charles I, as abundant in number and as fierce in passion."

He goes on, "Whose hand behind the curtain played the strings ... could post up a correct list of 59 commoners, branding them with the odious title of 'Straffordians or betrayers of their country'." Whose hand indeed? But Disraeli who knew so much, now discreetly draws a veil over that iron curtain ; and it is left to us to complete the revelation.

To do so we must turn to such other works as the *Jewish Encyclopedia*, Werner Sombart's work, *The Jews and Modern Capitalism*, and others. From these we learn that Cromwell, the chief figure of the revolution, was in close contact with the powerful Jew financiers in Holland ; and was in fact paid large sums of money by Manasseh Ben Israel ; whilst Fernandez Carvajal, "The Great Jew" as he was called, was the chief contractor of the New Model Army.

[NN: This was the Puritan army and was the first modern army with professional soldiers and officers, highly trained at war, sendable anywhere, and promoted based on ability, not noble blood.]

In *The Jews in England* we read :

"1643 brought a large [14] contingent of Jews to England. Their rallying point was the house of the Portuguese Ambassador De Souza, a Marrano (= a secret Jew). Prominent among them was Fernandez Carvajal, a great financier and army contractor."

NN: An "army contractor" is a merchant who sells cannons, uniforms, bullets, tents, food, etc., to an army or navy. The expression goes: "Wars are the Jews' harvest." Why? In the old days, Jews literally swarmed out over battlefields, taking everything dead soldiers had on them. In modern times, they get rich off selling things to armies, lending money to governments that have to pay for these armies, and their whole agenda of taking countries over advances because the best and brightest men are drafted, sent to the front and killed off there; the cowardly, sick and stupid are left behind to breed. Back to the book.

In January of the previous year, the attempted arrest of the five members had set in violent motion the armed gangs of "Operatives" already mentioned, from the city. Revolutionary pamphlets were broadcasted on this occasion, as Disraeli tells us : "Bearing the ominous insurrectionary cry of 'To your tents, O Israel.'" Shortly after this the King and the Royal Family left the Palace of Whitehall. The five members with armed mobs and banners accompanying them, were given a triumphal return to Westminster. The stage was now set for the advent of Carvajal and his Jews

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Antonio_Fernandez_Carvajal] and the rise of their creature Cromwell.

NN: As even Wikipedia, controlled by the Jew Jimmy Wales, admits, Carvajal was an army contractor and living in London, defying the ban on Jews. He became so cheeky that at the end of the article we read this: "According to Lucien Wolf, in 1658 a cargo of logwood belonging to Carvajal was seized by the customs officers. He assembled his servants and friends, broke open the government warehouses, and carried off his merchandise."

The 1645 Battle of Naseby, where Cromwell's professional army of Calvinist Puritans crushed the royalist army.



"And when did you last see your father?" A famous painting by William Frederick Yeames – in which the little son of an escaped Royalist is interrogated by fanatical Puritans during the English Civil War, who demand he betray his father's location. Note the same style of hat as was worn in Puritan Massachusetts.



The scene now changes. The English Civil War has taken its course. The year is 1647: The Puritans have won at Naseby. The King is virtually a prisoner, while treated as an honoured guest at Holmby House.

According to a letter published in the magazine *Plain English* on 3rd September, 1921 :

"The Learned Elders have been in existence for a much longer period than they have perhaps suspected.

My friend, Mr. L. D. van Valckert, of Amsterdam, has recently sent me a letter containing two extracts from the Synagogue at Mulheim. The volume in which they are contained was lost at some period during the Napoleonic Wars, and has recently

come into Mr. van Valckert's possession.

It is written in German, and contains extracts of letters sent and received by the authorities of the Mulheim Synagogue. The first entry he sends me is of a letter received :

16th June, 1647.

From O.C. (i.e. Oliver Cromwell), by Ebenezer Pratt.

In return for financial support will advocate admission of Jews to England: This however impossible while Charles living.

[NN: In other words, Charles I was totally opposed to letting the Jews back into England, as any good ruler would be. So he had to be killed.] [15]

Charles cannot be executed without trial, adequate grounds for which do not at present exist. Therefore advise that Charles be assassinated, but will have nothing to do with arrangements for procuring an assassin, though willing to help in his escape.

In reply was dispatched the following :

12th July, 1647.

To O.C. by E. Pratt.

Will grant financial aid as soon as Charles removed and Jews admitted. Assassination too dangerous.

Charles shall be given opportunity to escape : His recapture will make trial and execution possible. The support will be liberal, but useless to discuss terms until trial commences."

With this information now at our disposal, the subsequent moves on the part of the regicides stand out with a new clearness.

[NN: A little note about Mülheim, France. I was married in 2002-05 to a Frenchwoman with ancestors from Alsace, France, which was actually a German-speaking area, or bilingual now, which had belonged to the Fatherland for 1200 years. Mülheim is a city in this province of Alsace.

Then in the 1600s Louis XIV, the Sun King, ruler of France, treacherously seized it from Germany it and Poland were very busy fighting, in the common interest of all Europeans, DESPERATELY to stop the Turkish invasion of 1683.

Not surprisingly, this German-speaking area is to this day the cleanest, neatest, wealthiest and most crime-free area of France, and Germany fought several times to get it back.





Four things I learned when my then spouse and I visited her native Alsace:

1. Alsace was and is **full of Jews**, and Mühlheim, whence the letter from the synagogue, as I just stated, is a city in Alsace. When Lousi XIV seized Alsace, the then jew-free France, which had thrown the Jews out 300 years before (in 1394), and had then experienced a golden age, suddenly once again, by this illegal act, seizing German territory, had a ton of Jews in it. And these Jews in Alsace, 100 years later, were the undoing of France and the Bourbon dynasty and the literal death of his great-grandson, Louis XVI and of his wife, Marie Antoinette. That was the Sun King's karma for seizing Alsace while Germany, a fellow white and Christian nation, was fighting with all its troops to stop the muslim Turks.

The evil European Parliament is located in Strasbourg, Alsace in a cold, steely building. Note how the building looks "unfinished" and half-built at the top? There is an evil reason for that.



Here is a poster for the European Union, and note the baphomet stars pointing downward, symbolizing Satan, and in the background you clearly see the Tower of Babel, partly built, signifying a great, wicked plan to unite mankind against God. You just cannot get any more open about it than that, can you?



The Star of Baphomet, who is the goat god, symbolizing the obsession with sex.



Satan even has a Jewish NOSE in this statue!





2) Jews allied with the Protestants for centuries against the common foe, the Catholics, the form of Christianity Jews seem to fear and hate most. Also, the Calvinists, the Protestants who ran Alsace, believed in usury, that is, lending money at interest, just like the Jews. So the two groups got along fine, both lending money, revering wealth, and hating the Catholics.

3) As a final note, my then wife and I traveled around France in a motor home, and the mobile home park where we parked our vehicle in Strasbourg, the capital of Alsace, was right next to a Jewish cemetery.

I went for a walk in the neighborhood and spotted, lo and behold, a Jewish cemetery. To my shock, since the Jews are wealthy, the cemetery was in terrible condition. Many tombstones were leaning sideways, covered with moss, and high weeds grew between the rows. Remember, this was in a big city in France, with a wealthy Jewish community, not in some area of Poland where there are no more Jews.

I suddenly recalled what Hitler had said in *Mein Kampf*, how the Jews have no doctrine of an afterlife. When you are dead, your body for them is just a dead carcass. No more sex, money and vengeance for you! Why spend money on some dead meat? It was such a contrast with Christian cemeteries, which express love and reverence for the dead and the hope of the resurrection — in short, the belief in immortality, which the Jews do not have.

In 2004, on National Public Radio's "Morning Edition," correspondent Nina Totenberg declared, "Jews do not believe in an afterlife." 80% of American Christians do and 65% of non-Christian Americans do. But only 19% of Jews did, in a poll taken in the 1970s. The *Jewish Encyclopedia* states <http://www.jewishencyclopedia.com/articles/8092-immortality-of-the-soul>

The belief that the soul continues its existence after the dissolution of the body is a matter of philosophical or theological speculation rather than of simple faith, and is accordingly nowhere expressly taught in Holy Scripture [meaning in the Old Testament]. As long as the soul was conceived to be merely a breath ("nefesh"; "neshamah"; comp. "anima"), and inseparably connected, if not identified, with the life-blood (Gen. ix. 4, comp. iv. 11; Lev. xvii. 11; see Soul), no real substance could be ascribed to it.

As soon as the spirit or breath of God ("nishmat" or "ruah hayyim"), which was believed to keep body and soul together, both in man and in beast (Gen. ii. 7, vi. 17, vii. 22; Job xxvii. 3), is taken away (Ps. cxlvi. 4) or returns to God (Eccl. xii. 7; Job xxxiv. 14), the soul goes down to Sheol or Hades, there to lead a shadowy existence without life and consciousness (Job xiv. 21; Ps. vi. 6 [A. V. 5], cxv. 17; Isa. xxxviii. 18; Eccl. ix. 5, 10).

*The belief in a continuous life of the soul, which underlies primitive **Ancestor Worship** and the rites of necromancy, practised also in ancient Israel (I Sam. xxviii. 13 et seq.; Isa. viii. 19; see **Necromancy**), was discouraged and suppressed by prophet and lawgiver as antagonistic to the belief in Yhwh, the God of life, the Ruler of heaven and earth, whose reign was not extended over Sheol until post-exilic times (Ps. xvi. 10, xlix. 16, cxxxix. 8).*

As a matter of fact, eternal life was ascribed exclusively to God and to celestial beings who "eat of the tree of life and live forever" (Gen. iii. 22, Hebr.),

So Adolf Hitler was absolutely right when he wrote, in *Mein Kampf*, that Judaism is a non-religion, a mere philosophy or attitude that is pure materialism, an ideology that preaches that one specific race or people should stick together like a mafia to get money, power, big houses, big cars, servants, sex, and vengeance, and all that in this world, the only one the average Jews believes to exist.

He wrote:

(Mein Kampf) "Due to his own original, special nature, the Jew cannot possess a religious institution, if for no other reason because he lacks idealism in any form, and hence belief in a hereafter is absolutely foreign to him.

And a religion in the Aryan sense cannot be imagined which lacks the conviction of survival after death in some form. Indeed, the Talmud is not a book to prepare a man for the hereafter, but only for a practical and profitable life in this world.

And now, back to the Ramsay book.

On 4th June, 1647, Cornet Joyce, acting on secret orders from Cromwell himself, and, according to D'Israeli, unknown even to General-in-Chief Fairfax, descended upon Holmby House [where the king was under comfortable house arrest] with 500 picked revolutionary troopers, and seized the King.



According to Disraeli,

“The plan was arranged on May 30th at a secret meeting held at Cromwell's house, though later Cromwell pretending that it was without his concurrence.”

This move coincided with a sudden development in the army ; the rise of the “Levelers” and “Rationalists”. Their doctrines were those of the French revolutionaries; in fact, what we know today as Communism. These were the regicides [NN, that is, king killers], who four times “purged” Parliament, till there was left finally 50 members, Communist-like themselves, known later as the Rump.

[NN: This refers to the so-called Rump Parliament and a notorious and outrageous incident, where Puritan troops threw members of Parliament out who were against trying and executing the king for treason. Once all that disagreed were brutally expelled, the rest voted to indict the king.]

To return to the letter from Mulheim Synagogue of the 12th June, 1647, and its cunning suggestion that attempted escape should be used as a pretext for execution. Just such an event took place, on 12th November of that year. Hollis and Ludlow consider the flight as a stratagem of Cromwell's. Isaac Disraeli states:

“Contemporary historians have decided that the King from the day of his deportation from [16] Holmby to his escape to the Isle of Wight was throughout the dupe of Cromwell.”

Little more remains to be said. Cromwell had carried out the orders from the Synagogue, and now it only remained to stage the mock trial.

Maneuvering for position continued for some time. And it became apparent that the House of Commons, even in their partially “purged” condition, were in favour of coming to an agreement with the King. On 5th December, 1648, the House sat all night ; and finally carried the question, “That the King's concessions were satisfactory to a settlement.”

Should such agreement have been reached, of course, Cromwell would not have received the large sums of money which he was hoping to get from the lews. He struck again. On the night of December 6th, Colonel Pryde, on his instructions, carried out the last and most famous “purge” of the House of Commons, known as “Pryde's Purge.” On 4th January, the Communist remnant of 50 members, the Rump, invested themselves with “the supreme authority.”

On 9th January “a High Court of Justice” to try the King was proclaimed [NN: that is, invented out of thin air.] Two-thirds of its members were Levelers from the Army.

Algernon Sidney [NN: a leading politician], warned Cromwell, as he wrote later:

First, the King can be tried by no court; secondly, no man can be tried by this court.


This being alleged in vain, and Cromwell using these formal words “I tell you, we will cut off his head with the crown upon it,” I immediately went out of the room, and never returned.

So tells us author Hugh Ross Williamson in his *Charles and Cromwell* ; and he adds a finishing touch to the effect that “no English lawyer could be found to draw up the charge, which was eventually entrusted to an accommodating alien, Isaac Dorislaus.” Needless to say, Isaac Dorislaus was exactly the same sort of alien as Carvajal and Manasseh Ben Israel and the other financiers who paid the “Protector” his blood money.

NN: In January 1649 Charles I was beheaded in public. The crowd was dead-silent and many women fainted. He actually gave an excellent, brave little speech before his head was chopped off, where he forgave his enemies and as for parliament ruling the country, he put in this line: “As for the people, truly I desire their liberty and freedom as much as anybody, but I must tell you that their liberty and their freedom consists in having a government; those laws by which their life and their goods may most be their own.” Twenty-one years later, his son, Charles II, ascended the throne after Cromwell had died and his weak brother had proved a poor successor. The Charles River in Boston and the colonies, now states, of North and South Carolina honor this executed king whom the Jews got rid of so they could reenter England.



The Jews were once again permitted to land freely in England in spite of strong protests by the sub-committee of the Council of State, which declared that they would be a [17] grave menace to the State and the Christian religion. Perhaps it is due to their protests that the actual act of banishment has never to this day been repealed.



NN: In Michael Jones's huge and magisterial *The Jewish Revolutionary Spirit*, the author offers much evidence that what happened was Jews began sneaking in, hiding their Jewishness and the police not asking too many questions.

He also states that Cromwell himself got cold feet about letting the Jews back in.

Cromwell had some sort of fanaticizing personal religious experience around 1628, after seeking treatment for depression from a very famous London doctor who had treated James I for various ailments and later would treat Charles II.

Whatever happened, Cromwell got in a violent fight over a town charter, which caused the Privy Council, the advisors to the king, to summon him to explain himself. Not long after, he became a rigid Puritan.

Cromwell actually imagined that he as ruler of England 1) would let the Jews into England, 2) that they would be grateful and open-hearted, and then 3) he would convert them to Christianity.

The Jew in turn were certainly stunned he had had the king's head chopped off, since he had been their enemy, and thought Cromwell maybe was a closet Jew himself and might even be the Messiah.

But when Cromwell made it clear to the wealthy Jewish leader Menassah Ben Israel in Holland (which is of course across the North Sea from England), who was a major rabbi, author and even a printer, that the Jews would have to attend church and become Christians, the party was over.

While they did begin trickling in in 1655, what put the big chill on large numbers of Jews getting in was a key pamphlet against the Jews written in December of that same year by the then well-known author William Prynne [drawing], whom, btw, Cromwell had thrown in prison without charges for three years for advocating that no one pay taxes to the Cromwell dictatorship.



Prynne wrote in his pamphlet, called "A Short Demurrer," that the Jews had been a curse on England, that Edward I, called Longshanks, had had good reasons to expel them, and that if they returned they would destroy Christianity, take over England, and make themselves rich and the English poor.

He noted that the Jews had been so hated before their expulsion in 1290 that they were allotted only one cemetery in all of England, to which all the bodies of dead Jews around the country had to be carted, because no one even wanted their bodies in English soil.

It was not just their economic takeover of England via money-lending, or their coin-clipping, which was slicing slivers of gold off coins and keeping it.

Prynne wrote extensively of *Jewish ritual murder of Christian children*. All this confirms the accusations of ritual murder finally made in 2007 by Ariel Toaff, referred to earlier, who was professor of Italian Jewish studies at Bar-Ilan University in Tel Aviv, Israel and son of the Grand Rabbi of Rome.

I quote Prynne now:

By this history we may perceive what a prevailing engine the Jews' money is, both to insert them into Christian kingdoms, though the most bitter, inveterate, professed enemies of Christ himself, of Christians, and of Christianity, and also how their money can induce even Christian princes to perpetrate most un-Christian, and anti-Christian actions... And do not they still work by the self-same money engine today?

In the year of our Lord 1145, during the reign of King Stephen, the Jews grew so presumptuous in England that they crucified a child called William, in the city of Norwich, in derision of Christian religion. Not long after this, Anno 1160. (the 6th year of Henry the II.) they crucified another child at Gloucester, (in contempt of Christ and his Passion). And in the same king's reign, Anno 1181, upon the same account, the Jews on the Feast of Easter itself martyred and crucified another child at St. Edmondsbury, called Robert.

King Henry in the year 1235, keeping his court and the Nativity [christmas] at Westminster, with many of his Bishops and Nobles, there were brought before him; upon the complaint of John Toly, 7 Jews, who had circumcised a certain Christian child in Norwich, whom they had stolen away from his parents, and kept for a year, intending to crucify him on the Feast of Easter. But being convicted for this fact, they confessed the truth of the thing in the King's presence: and some of them were drawn and hanged.

In the year of our Lord 1240 the Jews circumcised a Christian child at Norwich, and being circumcised, they called him Jurninus, but reserved him to be crucified in contumely of Jesus Christ crucified. But the father of the child, from whom the Jews had stolen him, diligently seeking after his son, at last found him shut up in the custody of the Jews; and with loud clamours declared that his son, whom he thought to have been lost, was wickedly kept up in the chamber of a certain Jew, which great premeditated wickedness came to the knowledge of Bishop William Rele... All the Jews of the city were apprehended... and four of the Jews being convicted, ere first dragged at the tails of horses, and at last hanged on the gallows, lamentably breathing forth the remains of life.

The very next year the Jews in foreign parts, especially in Germany, believing that the Tartars were of their own nation [NN: the Tatars, as Mongols, were related to their ancestors, the Khazars, who had converted to Judaism] entered into a secret league with them to destroy the Christians, and subdue the whole world to themselves.

To this end they provided many hogsheads [barrels] filled with arms to be transported to the Tartars; pretending to the Christian princes that they were vessels filled only with poisoned wines, wherewith they intended to poison and destroy the Tartars, who would drink no wines but such as were made by the Jews.

But this their treachery being detected by the customers in Germany, who found these pretended vessels of wine to be fraught with arms for the Tartars wherewith to destroy the Christians of Germany; thereupon the Jews were delivered to tormentors, to be perpetually imprisoned –or slain with their own swords.

Interestingly, Prynne ends with a quote from Jesus about wine, saying the old wisdom, namely, keep the Jews out, was better than new ideas about letting them back in.


He concludes:

Luke 5:39. “No man having drunk old wine straightaway desireth new, for he saith “the old is better.”

I have my own stories to tell of my family, in the 1600s, and the Puritans, and their Old Testament barbarism. My ancestor via both parents, Thomas Angell, came from England with Roger Williams to Salem, in Puritan Massachusetts, yes, to the very same town where, 60 years later, the Salem Witch Trials would be held and 24 innocent people were hanged, crushed to death under rocks or died in prison, including a baby.

Thomas Angell was a poor English lad and was given away by his starving parents, as was the custom with the poor, to a gentleman on the promise to teach him to read and write and learn a skill. The gentleman was Roger Williams, who took him to America and founded the first colony dedicated to religious freedom. Williams believed in freedom in general, and was close to the Baptists, who were against the baptism of infants for that reason, viewing the decision to join a church as one only a n adult can make. Williams and young Angell were appalled in Salem to see the Puritan, Calvinist attitude, which required six hours of mandatory attendance in a freezing church every Sunday — with the death penalty for frequent non-attendance.

No dancing, cards, plays, music or other wicked things were allowed. If you fell asleep in church they hit you on the head with a stick. Work, make money and pray. This was Puritanism. And my ancestral Rhode Island, which the Puritians labeled “Rogues’ Island,” began filling up with refugees from it. Back to the book.



“The English Revolution under Charles I,” writes Isaac Disraeli, “was unlike any preceding one ... From that time and event we contemplate in our history the phases of revolution.” There were many more to follow on similar lines, notably in France.

*** JdN A hoard of coins and Cromwell truth

Adapted from a Daily Mail (UK) article of November 17, 2016 (<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/sciencetech/article-3944970/Buried-treasure-1-000-coins-English-Civil-War-dug-muddy-Lincolnshire-field.html>)

Buried treasure! 1,000 coins from the English Civil War are dug up in a muddy Lincolnshire field

- The coins were found in a ploughed field in Ewerby, Lincolnshire
- It is the largest hoard that has been found from that time in the county
- The coins come from the reigns of various monarchs, including Edward VI, Elizabeth I, Mary, James I, and Charles I

By [Shivali Best For Mailonline](#)

Published: 07:08 EST, 17 November 2016 | Updated: 07:14 EST, 17 November 2016

A haul of 1,000 coins dating back to the English Civil War has been found in the village of Ewerby in Lincolnshire.

The coins, unearthed by local treasure hunter Steven Ingram, were scattered in the soil with fragments of the pot they were originally contained in found nearby.

The total value of the hoard at the time would have been just over £34 (\$42) – nearly double the annual salary of an infantry soldier in the English Civil War.



A massive hoard of 1,000 coins dug up in a field and dating back nearly 400 years to the English Civil War, has been described as a monumental find

CIVIL WAR IN LINCOLNSHIRE

The English Civil War (1642-1649) took place between the Royalists and the Parliamentarians.

Several battles happened in Lincolnshire, including at Ancaster Heath, Riby Gap, and most significantly at Winceby, in October 1643.

There, the royalists were defeated by a Parliamentarian army, that included Oliver Cromwell.

This loss was the end of the Royalist cause in Lincolnshire, and Parliamentarians remained in control of the county until the end of the war.

From time to time there were a few smaller-scale battles in the county led by the Royalist garrison, for example at Waddington in

1644.

Source: [Lincs to the Past](#)

The buried treasure was discovered in a ploughed field and has been described as a 'monumental find'.

During the English Civil War (1642-1649), several battles took place in Lincolnshire, including the battle at Winceby in 1643, where the royalists were defeated by the Parliamentary army that included Oliver Cromwell.

Dr Adam Daubney, finds officer for the Portable Antiquities Scheme at Lincolnshire County Council said: 'This is a monumental find from the turbulent years of the English Civil War.'

The latest coin in the hoard shows that it was buried early in 1643 just months after the war broke out.

The total value of the hoard, in terms of when it was buried, comes to just over £34 (\$42).

To put that in perspective, an infantry man in the Civil War earned about a shilling a day, while a gentleman could easily live off £20 (\$25) a year.

'So this is a significant sum of money.'

This is the largest of the hoards that has been found from that time in the county, and it contains coins from the reigns of Edward VI, Elizabeth I, Mary, James I, and Charles I.

The area between Grantham and Boston was a zone of intense conflict between the Parliamentarians and the Royalists in the early years of the war, so we can think of the Ewerby hoard as being from the "front-line".



This is the largest of the hoards that has been found from that time in the county, and it contains coins from the reigns of Edward VI, Elizabeth, Mary, James I, and Charles I.

'It might have been buried by someone who went off to fight and never returned.'

'Interestingly, several of the estates that lay close to the site of the hoard were fined after the war for supporting the King.'

Farmer Chris Sardeson, who owns the land, said: 'I've worked this field for more than 50 years, so no-one is more surprised than me.'

The hoard is being processed under the Treasure Act and a report on the coins is currently being prepared for the coroner.



The buried treasure was discovered in a ploughed field near the village of Ewerby near Sleaford in Lincolnshire

BATTLE OF DUNBAR WAS ONE OF THE CIVIL WAR'S BLOODIEST

Following Parliamentary victory in the first and the second Civil Wars, Charles I had been executed in January 1649 and a Commonwealth declared in England.

In June 1650 his son landed in Scotland, where he was proclaimed King Charles II.

In July the English Parliament, expecting Charles to initiate a Scottish led campaign for the English crown, launched a pre-emptive invasion of Scotland.

Ten thousand men and 5,000 horses from the New Model Army was sent north under the command of Oliver Cromwell.

Scottish forces numbering 25,000 were raised in response, under General Sir David Leslie.

Leslie fought a defensive campaign about Edinburgh, avoiding a pitched battle.

The New Model Army was transported by sea via the port of Dunbar.

Having failed to bring Sir Leslie to battle they were forced by the weather, sickness and supply problems, to retire to Dunbar, first in early August and then again in late August.

"Cromwell at Dunbar," painted by Andrew Carrick Gow



Following the battle, Cromwell was able to march to Edinburgh where he eventually captured the capital

Sir Leslie, outnumbering the New Model Army two-to-one, saw his opportunity and marched around Dunbar to cut Cromwell's road connection to the border fortress of Berwick.

Cromwell now finally had Leslie offering battle, but his New Model Army was at a severe disadvantage.

Despite this, rather than evacuate by sea, Cromwell met the challenge, achieving what was arguably the most dramatic victory of the Civil War.

Following the battle, Cromwell was able to march to Edinburgh where he eventually captured the capital following the defeat of the castle.

Prisoners were force-marched towards England, to prevent any attempt at rescue, and imprisoned in Durham Cathedral.

Conditions on the march and in the prison were terrible. Of the reported 6,000 prisoners, 5,000 were marched south resulting in the loss of 2,000, a further 1500 dying whilst in captivity and the majority of the survivors sold into slavery. More died as a result of capture than on the battlefield.

Sources: The Battlesfield Trust/Historic UK [End of *DM* article]

My comment (JdN):

Horrible what this Jew-loving Cromwell did to those brave, decent, righteous Scottish prisoners. He also sold white Irish into slavery and death on the sugar cane plantations run by sephardic Jews in the Caribbean (like the billionaire Fanjuls today, "Cubans" who employ Don Black's wife Chloe, whom I have known since 1989, along with the whole Duke-Black gang.) The Irish hate the name Cromwell to this day for this atrocity. It is also said he or his Jews bred white Irish women with niggers to produce smarter slaves, especially in Jamaica. Here Cromwell was a pure-blooded Englishman with blue eyes and of distinguished family, a very gifted leader, but his mind was totally infected with Calvinist-Protestant judeophilia.

*** (Back to Ramsay)

In 1897 a further important clue to these mysterious happenings fell into Gentile hands in the shape of the *Protocols of the Elders of Zion*.

In that document we read this remarkable sentence:


“Remember the French Revolution. The secrets of its preparation are well known to us, for it was entirely the work of our hands.” [Protocol No. 3, point 14.]

The Elders might have made the passage even fuller, and written, “Remember the *British and French* revolutions [also], the secrets of which are well known to us for they were entirely the work of our hands.”

The difficult problem of the subjugation of both kingdoms was however unsolved. Scotland was Royalist before everything else; and she had proclaimed Charles II King. Cromwell’s armies marched round Scotland, aided by their Geneva [Calvinist] sympathizers, dispensing Judaic barbarity; but Scotland still called Charles II King. He moreover accepted the Presbyterian form of Christianity for Scotland; and slowly but steadily the feeling in England began to come round to the Scottish point of view.

Finally upon the death of Cromwell, all Britain welcomed the king’s restoration to the throne of England.

Charles II is presented the first pineapple grown in England!



In 1660 Charles II returned ; but there was an important difference between the kingdom he had fled from as a boy, and the one to which he returned as king. The **enemies of kingship were entrenched** within his kingdom now, and as soon as the stage should be set for renewing the propaganda against the papacy and so, dividing once more persons, all of whom considered themselves as part of Christ’s Church, [18] the next attack would develop.

The next attack would aim at placing the **control of the finances** of both kingdoms in the hands of the Jews, who were now firmly ensconced within.

Charles evidently had no consciousness of the Jewish problem or plans, or the menace they held for his peoples. The wisdom and experience of Edward I had become **lost in the centuries of segregation** [1290-1650] from the Jewish virus. A consciousness of the danger to the Crown in placing his enemies in possession of the weapon of a “Popish Plot” cry he did retain.

With James II’s accession [painting], the crisis could not be long delayed. The most unscrupulous pamphleteering and propaganda was soon in full swing against him, and it is no surprise to find that many of the vilest pamphlets were actually printed in Holland. This country was now quite openly the focus for all disaffected persons; and considerable comings and goings took place during these years.



Stories were brought to the King that his own brother-in-law had joined those who plotted against him ; but he utterly refused to credit them, or take any action till news came that the expedition against himself was actually under way.

The chief figure amongst those who deserted James at that crucial juncture was **John Churchill [painting]**, first Duke of Marlborough. It is interesting to read in the *Jewish Encyclopedia* that this Duke, for many years, received not less than 6,000 pounds a year from the Dutch Jew Solomon Medina.


[NN: This amounts to 400 thousand pounds today annually, or \$600 thousand dollars per year. This means the Churchill family's rise to power was started with Jewish money 250 years before their descendant, prime minister Winston Churchill, got England into an unnecessary war with the anti-Jewish Hitler Germany.]

The real objective of the "Glorious Revolution" was achieved a few years later in 1694, when the Royal consent was given for the setting up of the "Bank of England" and the institution of the **National Debt**.

This charter handed over to an anonymous committee the Royal prerogative of **minting money**; converted the basis of wealth to **gold**; and enabled the international money lenders to secure their loans [19] on the **taxes** of the country, instead of the doubtful undertaking of some ruler or potentate which was all the security they could previously obtain.

From that time economic machinery was set in motion which ultimately reduced all wealth to the fictitious terms of gold, which the Jews control; and it drained away the life blood of the land, the real wealth which was the birthright of the British peoples.

[Narrator's note: Under Adolf Hitler, from 1933-45, there was a very successful new economic system, and one that was not backed by gold. Hitler eluded the bloodsucking grip of the Zionist moneymasters. Therefore, as the American Jew Theodore Kaufman preached in his 1941 bestseller, "Germany must be perish."



And Adolf Hitler has been vilified now for generations so that the uninformed will demand that their government return to the gold standard - because the jews control the gold.]

The political and economic union of England and Scotland was shortly afterwards forced upon Scotland with wholesale corruption, and in defiance of formal protests from every county and borough. The main objects of the Union were to suppress the Royal Mint in Scotland, and to force upon her, too, responsibility for the "National Debt." The **grip of the moneylender** was now complete throughout Britain. The danger was that the members of the new joint Parliament would sooner or later, in the spirit of their ancestors, challenge this state of affairs.

To provide against this, therefore, the **party system** was now brought into being, frustrating true national reaction and enabling the wire-pullers to **divide and rule**; using their newly-established financial power to ensure that their own men and their own policies should secure the limelight, and sufficient support from their newspapers, pamphlets, and banking accounts to carry the day.

Gold was soon to become the basis of loans, ten times the size of the amount deposited. In other words, 100 pounds in gold would be legal security for 1,000 pounds of loan ; at 3% therefore, one

hundred pounds in gold could earn 30 pounds interest annually with no more trouble to the lender than the keeping of a few ledger entries.



The owner of 100 pounds of land, however, still must work every hour of daylight in order to make perhaps 4%. The end of the process must only be a matter of time. The moneylenders must become millionaires ; those who own [20] and work the land, the Englishman and the Scotsman, must be ruined.

The process has continued inexorably till now, when it is nearly completed.

It has been hypocritically camouflaged by clever propaganda as helping the poor by mulcting [NN: which means, "ripping off"] the rich. It has been, in reality, nothing of the kind. It has been in the main the deliberate **ruination of the landed classes, the leaders among the Gentiles**, and their supplanting by the Jew financiers and their hangers-on.

[NN: In other words, the Jew earns seven times more, by doing nothing but moneylending, than the farmer who by toil produced an essential product, food.]

The French Revolution

The French Revolution of 1789 was the most startling event in the history of Europe since the fall of Rome. A new phenomenon then appeared before the world.



Never before had a mob apparently organized successful revolution against all other classes in the state, under **high-sounding**, but quite nonsensical **slogans**, and with methods bearing not a trace of the principles enshrined in those slogans. Never before had any one section of any nation conquered all other sections; and still less swept away every feature of the national life and tradition, from king, religion, nobles, clergy, constitution, flag, calendar, and place names, to coinage.

A silver écu coin of the Kingdom of France in 1781: Louis is (Latin texts) "the king by the grace of God," and "may the name of the Lord be blessed"



In 1909, after a radical secularization, France is now "the French Republic" (no longer a nation but a republic, a subtle but important difference) and a rooster crows on the back about "liberty, equality and fraternity." God and nation are gone.



Such a phenomenon merits the closest attention, especially in view of the fact that it has been followed by identical outbreaks in many countries.

The main discovery that such an examination will reveal is this fact: the revolution was not the work of Frenchmen to improve France. It was the work of **aliens**, whose object was to destroy everything which had been France.

This conclusion is borne out by the references to "foreigners" in high places in the Revolutionary Councils, not only by Sir Walter Scott, but by Robespierre himself [painting].

We have the names of several of them, and it is clear that they were not British, or Germans, or Italians, or any other nationals ; they were, of course, Jews.

Let us see what the Jews themselves have to say about it :

"Remember the French Revolution to which it was we who gave the name of 'Great.' The secrets of its preparation are well known to us, for it was wholly the work of our hands."Protocols of Zion — No. 7.

"We were the first to cry among the masses of the people the words 'Liberty, Equality, Fraternity.' The stupid Gentile poll parrots flew down from all sides onto these baits, and with them carried away the well-being of the world.

The would-be-wise men of the Gentiles were so stupid that they could not see that in nature there is no equality."

Protocols of Zion — No. 1.



With this knowledge in our possession we shall find we possess a master key to the intricate happenings of the French Revolution. The somewhat confused picture of characters and events moving across the

screen, which our history books have shown us, will suddenly become a concerted and connected human drama.

When we begin to draw parallels between the France of 1789, the Britain of 1640, the Russia of 1917, the Germany and the Hungary of 1918-19, and the Spain of 1936, we shall feel that drama grip us with a new and personal sense of reality.

“Revolution is a blow struck at a paralytic.”

Even so, however, it must be obvious that immense organization, and vast resources, as well as cunning and secrecy far above the ordinary are necessary for its successful preparation.

It is amazing indeed that people should suppose that **“mobs”** or **“the people”** ever have, or ever could, undertake such a complicated and costly operation.

No mistake, moreover, could be more dangerous ; for it will result in total inability to recognize the true significance of events, or the source and focus of a revolutionary movement.

The process of organizing revolution is seen to be firstly the infliction of **paralysis** ; and secondly, the striking of the blow or **blows**. It is for the first process, the production of paralysis, that [23] the secrecy is essential. Its outward signs are *debt, loss of publicity control,* and the existence of *alien-influenced secret organizations* in the doomed state.

Debt, particularly international debt, is the first and over-mastering grip.

Through it men in high places are suborned, and alien powers and influences are introduced into the body politic.

When the debt grip has been firmly established, control of every form of publicity and political activity soon follows, together with a full grip on industrialists.

The stage for the revolutionary blow is then set. The grip of the right hand of finance established the paralysis; while it is the revolutionary left that holds the dagger and deals the fatal blow. Moral corruption facilitates the entire process.

By 1780 financial paralysis was making its appearance in France. The world's big financiers were firmly established. “They possessed so large a share of the world's gold and silver stocks, that they had most of Europe in their debt, certainly France.” So writes Mr. McNair Wilson in his *Life of Napoleon*, and he continues, on page 38:

“A change of a fundamental kind had taken place in the economic structure of Europe whereby the old basis had ceased to be wealth and had become debt.

In the old Europe wealth had been measured in lands, crops, herds and minerals; but a new standard had now been introduced, namely, a form of money to which the title ‘credit’ had been given.”

French peasants till the land, whose food harvest was their wealth.



The debts of the French Kingdom, though substantial [NN: partly through King Louis XVI generously financing the American Revolution and supporting it also with French troops and a fleet], were by no means insurmountable, except in terms of gold: and had the King's advisers decided to issue money on the security of the **lands and real wealth of France**, the position could have been fairly easily righted.

As it was, the situation was firmly gripped by one financier after another, who either could not, or would not, break with the system imposed by the international usurers.

Under such weakness, or villainy, the bonds of usury [24] could only grow heavier and more terrible, for debts were in terms of gold or silver, neither of which France produced.

And who were the potentates of the new debt machine, these manipulators of gold and silver, who had succeeded in turning upside down the finances of Europe, and **replacing real wealth by millions upon millions of usurious loans?**

The late Lady Queenborough, in her important work *Occult Theocracy* gives us certain outstanding names, taking her facts from *L'Anti-Semitisme* by the Jew Bernard Lazare, 1894.

[NN: This work, sometimes spelled *Occult Theocracy*, is a massive 700-page tome "exposing" secret societies like the Freemasons, and was originally published in the UK in 1933.

Edith Starr Miller, the author of this book, was the daughter of an American industrialist who married an British nobleman.



She understood occult religions and secret societies as she experienced them first-hand — her husband was the 1st Baron of Queensborough, treasurer of the League of Nations and member of British Union of Fascists. He had helped secure oil monopolies for John D. Rockefeller. Shortly after the book's publication, Edith Starr Miller, age 45, died, as even as the occult Grand Lodge of Canada attested, "under suspicious circumstances."


https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Edith_Starr_Miller

Excerpts:

Edith Starr Miller, Lady Queenborough (July 16, 1887 – January 16, 1933) was a New York socialite, author and anti-Mormon agitator who in 1921 became the second wife of **Almeric Hugh Paget**, 1st Baron Queenborough. [His grandfather had commanded the British cavalry at the **Battle of Waterloo** in 1815.]

[...]

Edith's observations were outlined in her posthumously published *Occult Theocracy*,^[9] and added to the discussion of the secret societies and their conspiracies. Her information was drawn from existing sources^[citation needed], including the works of Dr. **Karl Hacks** and **Léo Taxil** (pseudonym of Marie-Joseph Gabriel-Antoine Jogand-Pages; 1854-1907), Taxil's supporter Abel Clarin de la Rive (1855-1914), Samuel Paul Rosen (1840-1907), theosophist **Alice Bailey** (1880-1949), **Nesta Helen Webster** (1876-1960), and esotericist **Christina M. Stoddard**, who wrote under the pseudonym "**Inquire Within**". The Pagets later separated, and Edith sued in New York for legal separation on January 8, 1932, citing cruelty.^[10]



Death[edit]

She died in Paris a year later, on January 16, 1933.^[11]

<https://archive.org/details/OccultTheocracy>

*** [back to Ramsay]

In London she gives the names of Benjamin Goldsmid and his brother Abraham Goldsmid, Moses Mocatta, their partner, and his nephew Sir Moses Montifiore, as being directly concerned in financing the French Revolution, along with Daniel Itsig of Berlin and his son-in-law David Friedlander, and Herz Cerfbeer of Alsace.

These names recall the *Protocols of Zion*, and turning up Number 20 we read:

"The gold standard has been the ruin of States which adopted it, for it has not been able to satisfy the demands for money, the more so as we have removed gold from circulation as far as possible."

And again :

"Loans hang like a Sword of Damocles over the heads of rulers who ... come begging with outstretched palm."

No words could describe more aptly what was overtaking France. Sir Walter Scott, in his *Life of Napoleon*, Vol. 1, thus describes the situation [<https://archive.org/details/lifenapoleonbuo07unkngoog>] :


“These financiers used the government as bankrupt prodigals are treated by usurious moneylenders, who feeding their extravagance with the one hand, with the other wring out of their ruined fortunes the most unreasonable recompenses for their advances.

By a long succession of these ruinous loans, and the various rights granted to guarantee them, the whole finances of France were brought to total confusion.”

King Louis' chief finance minister during these last years of growing confusion was Jacques Necker, “a Swiss” of German [25] extraction, son of a German professor of whom McNair Wilson writes:



Finance minister Jacques Necker's January 1781 budget report to his majesty, the king of France



“Necker had forced his way into the King's Treasury as a representative of the debt system and owing allegiance to that system.”

We can easily imagine what policy that allegiance inspired in Necker; and when we add to this the fact that his previous record was that of a daring and unscrupulous speculator, we can understand why the national finances of France under his baneful aegis rapidly worsened, so that after four years of his manipulations, the unfortunate King's government had contracted an additional and far more serious debt of 170,000,000 pounds.

By 1730 Freemasonry had been introduced into France from England. By 1771 the movement had attained such proportions that Phillipe Duc de Chartres, afterwards d'Orleans [painting], became Grand Master.

This type of Freemasonry was largely innocent, both in policy and personnel in its early days ; but as events proved, the real moving spirits were ruthless and unscrupulous men of blood.

The Duc d'Orleans was not one of these latter. Though a man of little principle, and an extravagant, vain and ambitious libertine, he had no motives beyond the ousting of the King, and the establishing of a democratic monarchy with himself as that monarch.

Having in addition but little intelligence, he made the ideal stalking horse for the first and most moderate stage of revolution, and a willing tool of men whom he probably scarcely knew; and who sent him to the guillotine soon after his base and ignominious role had been played out.

The Marquis de Mirabeau [painting] who succeeded him as the leading figure of the Revolution was cast in much the same role.



He was a much abler man than d'Orleans, but so foul a libertine that he was shunned by all his own class, and imprisoned more than once at the instance of his own father.



[26]
He is

known to have been financed by Moses

Mendelssohn [photo], head of the Jewish Illuminati, and to have been more in the company of the Jewess Mrs. Herz than was her husband.


He was not only an early figure-head in French Freemasonry in the respectable years, but introduced Illuminism into France.

This Illuminism was a secret revolutionary

Adam Weishaupt

society behind Freemasonry. The Illuminati penetrated into all the lodges of Grand Orient Freemasonry, and were backed and organized by cabalistic Jews.

It is interesting to note that the Duc d'Orleans and Talleyrand were both initiated into Illuminism by Mirabeau shortly after the latter had introduced it in France from Frankfurt, where its headquarters had been established in 1782 under Adam Weishaupt [drawing].



In 1785 there happened a strange event, which makes it seem as though the heavenly powers themselves made a last moment attempt to warn France and Europe against these massing powers of evil. **Lightning struck dead a messenger of the Illuminati** at Ratisbon [= today usually called by its German name, Regensburg].

Regensburg, once the seat of the "Diet" or senate of the Holy Roman Empire of the German nation"



The police found on the body papers dealing with plans for world revolution. Thereupon the Bavarian Government had the headquarters of the Illuminati searched, and much further evidence was discovered. French authorities were informed, but the process of paralysis was too far advanced, and no action resulted.

By 1789 there were more than two thousand masonic "Lodges" in France affiliated to the Grand Orient, the direct tool of international revolution; and their adepts numbered over 100,000.

***Wiki

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Grand_Orient_de_France

The Grand Orient requires no belief in a supreme being, and thus has atheistic members, which is forbidden in other branches of Freemasonry.



Interior of the Grand Orient temple in Paris; this order has 50,000 members in France and is militantly anti-Christian. It promotes race-mixing,

mass immigration and judeophilia.



Thus we get Jewish Illuminism under Moses Mendelssohn and Masonic Illuminism under Weishaupt established as the inner controls of a strong secret organization covering the whole of France. Under the Illuminati-worked Grand Orient Freemasonry, and under that again the Blue, or National, Masonry had operated until it was converted over-night into Grand Orient Masonry by Phillippe d'Orleans in 1773.

Little did [Philippe, the duke of Orleans, dubbed "*Égalité*" [NN: after the French word for "equality"]] suspect the satanic powers that he was invoking when he took that action, and satanic they certainly were. The name Lucifer means "Light Bearer"; and "Illuminati" those who were lit by that light.

By the time the Estates General met at Versailles on 5th May, 1789, the paralysis of the executive authority by the secret organizations was complete.

NN: The "Estates General" was an ancient French institution, started back in the 1300s, a sort of parliament that consisted of three chambers that advised the king. One "estate" was for the Catholic clergy, one for the nobles, and the last for the commoners. They had no actual power except to advise and assist the king, unlike, for example, the British parliament. This institution was done away with in the French Revolution, and was replaced by one group, which still exists today, the National Assembly.

Paralysis by control of public opinion and publicity was well advanced by then also.

This was the manner of its accomplishment.

By 1780 d'Orleans' entire income of 800,000 livres, thanks to his reckless gambling and extravagance, was mortgaged to the moneylenders. In 1781, in return for accommodation, he signed papers handing over his palace, estates, and houses, such as the *Palais Royal*...



...to his creditors, with powers to form there a centre of politics, printing, pamphleteering, gambling, lectures, brothels, wine-shops, theatres, art galleries, athletics, and any other uses, which subsequently took the form of every variety of public debauchery.

In fact, "Égalité's" financial masters used his name and property to install a colossal organism for publicity and corruption, which appealed to every lowest instinct in human nature; and deluged the enormous crowds so gathered with the filthy, defamatory and revolutionary output of its printing presses and debating clubs.

As Scudder writes in *A Prince of the Blood*: "It gave the police more to do than all the other parts of the city."

It is interesting to note that the general manager installed by the creditors at the Palais Royal was one Choderlos de Laclos [painting], a political adventurer of alien origin, author of *The Liaisons Dangereuses*, and other pornographic workswho was said "to study the politics of love because of his love for politics."



The 1989 Hollywood film "Liaisons dangereuses" featured Glenn Close and John Malkovich



This steady stream of corruption and destructive propaganda was linked with a series of systematic personal attacks of the vilest and most unscrupulous nature upon any public characters whom the Jacobins thought likely to stand in their [28] way.

This process was known as "L'infamie."

NN: This means a campaign to defame someone and make them "infamous."

Marie Antoinette herself was one of the chief targets for this typically Jewish form of attack. No **lie** or **abuse** was too vile to level at her.

She was more intelligent, alert, and vigorous than the weak and indolent [King] Louis,



....so Marie Antoinette presented a considerable obstacle to the revolution.



She had, moreover, received many warnings regarding Freemasonry from her sister in Austria; and no doubt was by this time more awake to its significance than when she had written to her sister some years previously:

"I believe that as far as France is concerned, you worry too much about Freemasonry. Here it is far from having the significance that it may have elsewhere in Europe. Here everything is open and one knows all.

Then where could the danger be?

One might well be worried if it were a question of a political secret society. But on the contrary the government lets it spread, and it is only that which it seems, an association, the objects of which are union and charity.

One dines, one sings, one talks, which has given the King occasion to say that people who drink and sing are not suspected of organizing plots.

Nor is it a society of atheists, for we are told 'God is on the lips of all.' They are very charitable. They bring up the children of their poor and dead members. They endow their daughters. What harm is there in all that?"

What harm indeed — if these blameless pretensions masked no darker designs?

Doubtless the agents of Weishaupt and Mendelssohn reported on to them the contents of the Queen's letter; and we can imagine them shaking with laughter, and rubbing their hands in satisfaction; hands that were itching to destroy the very life of France and her Queen; and which, at the appropriate hour, would give the signal that would convert secret conspiracy into the "Massacres of September" and the bloodbaths of the guillotine.

In order to further the campaign of calumny against the Queen, an elaborate hoax was arranged at the time, when [29] the **financiers and grain speculators were deliberately creating conditions of poverty and hunger in Paris.**



A diamond necklace valued at nearly a quarter of a million was ordered at the Court jewellers in the Queen's name by an agent of the Jacobins.

Marie Antoinette



The unfortunate Queen knew nothing of this affair until the necklace was brought round to her for acceptance, when she naturally disclaimed anything to do with the matter, pointing out that she would consider it wrong to order such a thing when France was in so bad a financial way.

The printing presses of the Palais Royal, however, turned full blast on to the subject; and every kind of criticism leveled at the Queen.

A further scandal was then engineered for the presses. Some prostitute from the Palais Royal was engaged to disguise herself as the Queen; and by a forged letter the Cardinal Prince de Rohan was induced to meet this supposed Queen about midnight at the Palais Royal, supposing he was being asked for advice and help by the Queen on the subject of the necklace.

Louis René Edouard de Rohan-Guéméné before the Revolution



This event, needless to say, was immediately reported to the printing presses and pamphleteers, who started a further campaign containing the foulest innuendos that could be imagined concerning the whole affair.

The moving spirit behind the scene was Cagliostro [drawing], alias Joseph Balsamo, a Jew from Palermo, [Italy], a doctor of the cabalistic art and a member of the Illuminati, into which he was initiated at Frankfurt by Weishaupt in 1774.

When the necklace had finally served its purpose, it was sent over to London, where most of the stones were retained by the Jew Eliason.


Attacks of a similar nature were directed against many other decent people who resisted the influence of the Jacobin clubs. After **eight years of this work ,the process of paralysis by mastery of publicity was complete.**

In every respect, therefore, by the year 1789, when the financiers forced the King to summon the Estates General [NN: like the French version of Parliament], the first portion of their plans for revolution (i.e. paralysis) were [30] accomplished.


It now only remained to strike the blow or series of blows, which were to rob France of her throne, her church, her constitution, her nobles, her

clergy, her gentry, her bourgeoisie, her traditions, and her culture; leaving in their place, when the guillotine's work was done, citizen "hewers of wood and drawers of water" under an alien financial dictatorship.

NN: This is a reference to Joshua 9:23, where the Canaanites known as Gibeonites were condemned to serve as servants of the Jews and, to humiliate them, were assigned traditionally female occupations.



From 1789 onwards a succession of revolutionary acts were set in motion; each more violent than the one preceding it; each unmasking fresh demands and more violent and revolutionary leaders. In their turn, each of these leaders, a puppet only of the real powers behind the revolution, is set aside; and his head rolls into the basket to join those of his victims of yesterday.



Philippe *Égalité*, the Duke of Orleans, was used to prepare the ground for the revolution; to protect with his name and influence the infancy of the revolutionary club; to popularize Freemasonry and the Palais Royal; and to sponsor such acts as the "March of the Women to Versailles."

The "women" on this occasion were mostly men in disguise.

[NN: Here Captain Ramsay is making a merely passing reference to this critical event, assuming the reader may know all about this "March of the Women to Versailles." I think it would be wise to back up and explain the key events of 1789 and also about the normal nature of the French people, whom I know well and who are really not bloodthirsty at all.

In the year 1789, here in America, the various individual states of the United States were busy voting on ratifying the US Constitution, and establishing a stable government, one that had freedom and order, as intended by George Washington, the president of the convention which created the Constitution and then as the first president of the United States.

He was aided by highly respected men who were brilliant, idealistic, but also practical and level-headed, such as Thomas Jefferson and Benjamin Franklin.

But the French Revolution, which many thought would cause France to peacefully evolve in the right direction, leading to a constitutional monarchy and a good constitution, was quickly taken over by violent mobs that were being stoked to extreme hatred and violence by evil masterminds lurking in the shadows.


Their real desire was to **murder** tens of thousands of people, and eventually they got to do just that during the so-called Terror, the guillotine phase, when tens of thousands of innocent people had their heads chopped off, just as ISIS does today.

For Captain Ramsay, this level of hatred and violent mob action in itself was an indicator that some foreign element, not French at all, but Jewish, was steering things.

As for me, my own distant ancestry is French, Norman French. I minored in French at Georgetown University, they graduated me there with high honors, and I speak the language fluently. My second marriage was in fact to a Frenchwoman, and I lived in France with her in 2004-05, and made many friends there.

(Btw, unlike Barack Obama, I AM willing to release MY college transcript. I have dotted the French courses - one B and 4 A's.)

NAME: John H Nugent
 STUDENT NUMBER: 036-
 ADMITTED FROM: NORTHERN VIRGINIA COMM COLLEGE
 ANNANDALE VA



GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY
 OFFICE OF THE UNIVERSITY REGISTRAR
 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20057
 (202) 687-4020

COURSE NUMBER	TITLE	GRADE	SEM. HRS. EARN.	QUAL. PTS.	COURSE NUMBER	TITLE	GRADE	SEM. HRS. EARN.	QUAL. PTS.
Degrees Awarded: May 24, 1981 B.S. in Languages School of Languages and Linguistics Major: German Minor: French Rank: 20 of 110 Honors: Magna Cum Laude Cum QPI: 3.702					-----Fall 1979-----				
Transfer Credit: Jan 1977 - May 1977					FREN-111 INTENSIVE ADV FRENCH A 5 20.00				
BARRINGTON COLLEGE RI					GERM-523 HIST OF THE GERMAN LANG I A 3 12.00				
ELECTIVE 3					LING-441 INDO-EUROPEAN LINGUISTS A 3 12.00				
HUMANITIES 3					PHIL-265 PLATO'S POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY A 3 12.00				
PHILOSOPHY 3					PSYC-001 GENERAL PSYCHOLOGY W (4)				
THEOLOGY 3					First Honors				
School Total: 12					-----Spring 1980-----				
Entering Program: School of Languages and Linguistics					FREN-112 INTENSIVE ADV FRENCH II A 5 20.00				
B.S. in Linguistics					GERM-572 GERMAN NOVELLA-GOETHE TO KAFKA A 3 12.00				
Linguistics					LING-442 INDO-EUROPEAN LING A 3 12.00				
-----Fall 1977-----					MGMT-110 INTRODUCTION TO BUSINESS B+ 3 10.50				
FREN-113	INTENSIVE FRENCH LEV II	A	6	24.00	THEO-001	THE PROBLEM OF GOD	A	3	12.00
GERM-213	INTRO TO GERMAN LIT & STYLE	A	3	12.00	First Honors				
HIST-003	MODERN HISTORY: 1500 - 1815	A	3	12.00	-----Fall 1980-----				
INTR-377	ADV GER-ENG/ENG-GER TRANSLAT	A	3	12.00	BIOL-003	INTRODUCTORY BIOLOGY (BOTANY)	B	4	12.00
LING-003	INTRO TO GENERAL LING	W	(3)		CLAS-003	ANCIENT GREEK I	A	5	20.00
First Honors					CLAS-227	AGE OF PERICLES	B	3	9.00
-----Spring 1978-----					FREN-211	BASIC FRENCH COMPOSITION	A	3	12.00
ENGL-001	EXPOSITORY WRITING	S	3		GERM-529	GERMAN DIALECTOLOGY	B+	3	10.50
FREN-114	INTENSIVE FRENCH LEV II	B	6	18.00	Dean's List				
GERM-214	INTRO TO GERMAN LIT-STYLE II	A	3	12.00	-----Spring 1981-----				
GERM-234	GERM LANG & SOCIETY	A	3	12.00	BIOL-004	INTRODUCTORY BIOLOGY (ZOOLOGY)	C	4	8.00
HIST-004	MOD HIST:1815-PRESENT	A	3	12.00	CLAS-004	ANCIENT GREEK II	A	5	20.00
Dean's List					FREN-259	HUMOR IN FRENCH LITERATURE	A	3	12.00
-----Fall 1978-----					GERM-436	AUSTRIAN CIVILIZATION	A	3	12.00
ENGL-015	POETRY - DRAMA	W	(3)		Requirements completed for B.S. in Languages				
GERM-253	GERMAN CLASSICISM	A	3	12.00	Transferred To:				
GERM-261	SURVEY OF GERMAN LITERATURE I	A	3	12.00	School for Summer & Continuing Ed.				
LING-001	INTRO TO LANGUAGE	B	3	9.00	Non-degree Program				
RUSS-001	INTRO RUSSIAN I	B	3	9.00	Undeclared				
Dean's List					-----Summer 1982-----				
Program Changed To:					MATH-001	INTRO TO COLLEGE MATH	B+	3	10.50
B.S. in Languages					MATH-003	INTRO TO CALCULUS	W	(3)	
German					EHRS QHRS QPTS QPI				
-----Spring 1979-----					Current	3	3	10.50	3.500
ENGL-015	POETRY - DRAMA	B	3	9.00	Cumulative	139	124	458.50	3.697
GEOG-130	REGIONAL GEOGRAPHY IN EUROPE	A	3	12.00	-----End of Undergraduate Record-----				
GERM-262	SURVEY OF GERMAN LITERATURE II	A	3	12.00	APR -3 1996				
GERM-536	HIST & CULT ASPECTS-GERMANY	A	3	12.00	ISSUED DIRECTLY TO STUDENT				
LING-002	INTRO TO LANGUAGE	B	3	9.00	John Page 1 of 1				
Dean's List					REGISTRAR				

04-03-96

OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPTS BEAR SIGNATURE STAMP EMBOSSED WITH UNIVERSITY SEAL. SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR EXPLANATION OF GRADES, COURSE LEVEL, AND COURSE TYPE.



Lavender fields



By coincidence or fate, I was even born on the 14th of July, Bastille Day, the day the French Revolution began, the day which is now the national holiday of that country, with a huge military parade in Paris being held, right through the Arc de Triomphe and down the Champs Elysées Boulevard. I always thought it cool all of France was celebrating my birthday. 🇫🇷



Students of the French Special Military School of Saint Cyr march down the Champs-Élysées Avenue during the annual Bastille Day military parade on July 14, 2014, in Paris, France.





So I can say, as a result of all this, that I know the French, and basically they are not a bloodthirsty people at all, nor are they cowards, and the fact of being beaten in war twice by the Germans is not really a disgrace.

The Germans are magnificent warriors who have beaten many people, including even the Roman Empire, 1,600 years ago. It was destroyed by the ancient ancestors of the Germans of today.

And the Germans beat the British too, in 1940, after previously crushing Poland, Holland, Belgium, Denmark and Norway, and then the British Army fled from Dunkirk back over to England with just the shirts on their back. This happened only because **Hitler let them go** — rather than massacre them on the beach, because he wanted peace with England and not a bloodbath.

So the French are neither cowards nor violent, just normal people.

In fact, French mobs never chopped peoples' heads off before, especially not those of beautiful women 🍑 or well-dressed, stylish men.

They hate vulgarity, drunkenness and peasant behavior. They hate mobs, sweat and garlic breath. Even fistfights are almost unheard of in French culture — and a sign that you are a dimwit and a moron.

The French love their children, but are strict with them too, because they want to enjoy parenting, they want to enjoy their life, and just as the stereotypes go, they make love, they laugh, and they dine well, with good wine and cheese, loyal friends, and trying to be merry as much as possible. The French are not a nasty people, *au contraire*. 😊

Here are three short anecdotes from my own experience showing what the French are like, and that is anything but heavy, or fanatic, and lacking a sense of humor. They also respect others, even their former enemies:

1) They are supposed to dislike the Germans, but ever since World War Two, to my astonishment, they eat sauerkraut and they drink beer. They love to buy German cars, and always say "German products are *robuste*." Even Charles de Gaulle admitted in his memoirs that "in 1940, the armies of Germany swept us aside in six weeks — like a broom."

Once I overheard a French friend scolding his teenage daughter for not cleaning her room up, and he concluded with "Now clean it and *schnell!*" I asked him: "Did you just say '*schnell*'? That's the **German** word for 'fast!'"

He replied: "Well, I could say the French word, *vite*, but she might ignore me. If I say *schnell*, she won't!"

2) Another time, he, his wife and I went to the festive opening of a new business, a big wine-and-cheese party, and the local people were invited by flyers to attend and meet the owner. My friend already knew the owner but then did introductions. "Marcel, this is my wife, Sophie, and this, John de Nugent, is 'er loverre!"

It was such an unexpected joke, and it came out with perfect timing.

You can see the French love to laugh and have a good time. They don't nurse hatred, they don't want to cut your throat off like ISIS, and the worst thing you can say to a Frenchman is "you are long-winded and boring."

For example, if your story goes too long, a Frenchman will cock his head sideways, and scratch his fingers up his cheeks to see if, during your speech, he finds he needs to shave again!

I have a final anecdote, which is not from my own experience, but from the end of the French Revolution in 1792. Despite all the hatred injected by "operatives" into the French people, an ire aggravated by seeing aristocrats sneer at them while going to the guillotine, the death of the humble and beautiful Madame du Barry totally broke the spell of hatred that had been cast over the people.

Her real name at birth was Jeanne Bécu. She was a beautiful, shapely girl and the daughter of a seamstress. Louis XV made her his official mistress, which meant, as with earlier French monarchs, having her own apartment and official rank.



Louis XV ruled from 1715-74, a reign of 59 years. Jeanne Bécu became his mistress when he was 58 and she was just 25.



Now, the French knew that royal marriages were arranged; they often were alliances between countries and dynasties, and royal marriages usually were not based on love or even very happy. (This was certainly the problem with England's Prince Charles and Lady Diana, who in the best of times, at most, merely liked each other.)

The French knew their monarchs were human beings like anyone else, who needed real love and fun in their relationships, not just the duties of producing a royal heir.

They also did not want their kings being blackmailed via adultery scandals, or jumping from bed to bed with unknown women who could poison them, or make them talk about state secrets during "pillow talk." So the practical French (and also the Chinese and Japanese, btw) gave their kings for centuries an official, approved mistress.


So this Jeanne Bécu, later ennobled as the Countess du Barry, was the official mistress of the *previous* king of France, Louis XV, the one *before* the Louis XVI who was overthrown and executed during the French Revolution.

After King Louis XV died in 1774, Madame du Barry was no longer at the court and she had other lovers. The Duke de Brissac was one of them.

As Wikipedia relates,

“During the French Revolution, Brissac was captured while visiting Paris, and was slaughtered by a mob. Late one night, Jeanne heard the sound of a small drunken crowd approaching the Château, and into the opened window where she looked out someone threw a blood-stained cloth. To Jeanne’s horror, it contained Brissac’s head, at which sight she fainted.

Madame du Barry, whose only crime was having been the mistress of the previous king of France, is dragged from jail to be taken to the guillotine. She could not believe she had to die for that.



As Wikipedia relates:

In 1792, Madame du Barry was suspected of financially assisting émigrés who had fled the French Revolution, and in the following year she was finally arrested. When the Revolutionary Tribunal of Paris accused her of treason and condemned her to death, she vainly attempted to save herself by revealing the hiding places of some gems she had hidden.^[31]

On 8 December 1793, Madame du Barry was beheaded by means of the guillotine on the Place de la Révolution (nowadays, Place de la Concorde).


On the way to the guillotine, she collapsed in the cart and cried “You are going to do something awful to me! Why?!” Terrified, she screamed for mercy and begged the crowd for help. Her last words to the executioner were: “One more moment, Mr. Executioner, I beg you!”

Well, the Terror had finally gone too far even for the mob, hearing the screams of a beautiful and completely innocent woman. In a few months, Robespierre, the main villain of the Revolution, would himself be guillotined and the Terror was over. Later, Napoleon Bonaparte would come in, end the chaotic republic, restore law and order and make himself emperor.



So the French Revolution, which involved appalling viciousness, lies, defamation, hatred, violence, and cruelty, was out of character for the French, which implies someone else was guiding it, another people, a people who for millennia have been **well known** for these very traits of viciousness, lies, defamation, hatred, violence, and cruelty.

Maximilien Robespierre made France a land of executions



And the exact same thing applies to the Paris Commune of 1870, 81 years later. Somebody was pulling the strings and scientifically bringing out the **worst** in people.


And the Treaty of Versailles in 1920 was the same way. An observer said that the assistant of every minister of the Allied governments creating this cruel document was a Jew. It was designed to leave the Germans poor and defenseless for a hundred years — after they had surrendered in good faith, and gone home to Germany, unbeaten, and desiring only peace,

In sum, I would say that as long as the French are eating their long fresh sticks of bread, their *baguettes*, and making love three times a week and drinking their wine (which is cheap and very good over there, btw), they are among the nicest and funniest people on earth, and loyal friends who really care. They will invite you to a party even if you are broke, as long as you are polite, witty and interesting. And they stay friends even after a bad argument, and are there for you in your hour of need.

I would only say to my fellow Anglos — to stay on their good side, do try hard to speak French when in France, just as Frenchmen, when they come to us in England or America, speak our language and not theirs. A Frenchman would never walk into a Chevrolet dealership in the United States and expect to be waited on in French, nor would he point out that Chevrolet and Cadillac are both French names. Now, as for the Parisians, well.... they are rude even to other Frenchmen! Paris is like the New York of France.

Having said all that about the normal French character, which is not sinister at all, here are the suspicious details about the French Revolution from the get-go.

One, it starts when a huge and ugly mob suddenly forms on July 14 (that is, on my birthday) in 1789, and after months of huge and suspicious rises in food prices and mass hunger. This mob had already seized 29,000 muskets (ancient rifles) elsewhere in the city, but now they needed the gunpowder and bullets stored in the Bastille, a prison and armory with enormous walls, but containing, btw, just six prisoners.



This guy, with a big, hooked nose, calling himself Stanislas-Marie Maillard, 28, was a captain of the "Bastille Volunteers." As a national guardsman (they were revolutionary troops), he participated in the attack on the Bastille.




The warden, Bernard de Launay, used rifle and cannon fire attempting to disperse the mob, killing about 98 of them, and could have blown the whole fortress sky-high with the 39,000 pounds of gunpowder located inside, and the surrounding neighborhood as well, but he finally offered to surrender, though that was refused, and came out with his men peacefully around 5 pm.

But his peace gesture was ignored, he was bayoneted and shot, then his head was cut off. The same happened to four of his officers and men, and all their heads were stuck onto pikes.



PRISE DE LA BASTILLE

*Par les Citoyens de Paris ayant à leurs têtes M^{rs} les Gardes Françaises, le 14 Juillet 1789
 Cette Forteresse fut commencée en 1369 sous le regne de Charles V. Hugues Aubriot Prevot de Paris en posa la
 1^{re} Pierre elle ne fut entièrement achevée qu'en 1382. Il étoit natif de Dijon. Il y fut un des premiers renfermés
 sous prétexte d'hérésie. Il fut délivré par les Parisiens pendant les troubles qui agitoit la Capitale, et se sauva dans sa patrie*



C'est ainsi que l'on Punit les Traîtres.

Others were lynched and murdered around the city for opposing the mob. A unit of the Royal French Army with 5,000 men that was located near the Bastille, very bizarrely, **just watched all this — and did absolutely nothing.**

So the Revolution began with one side trying to be peaceful, trying to be reasonable and seeking to avoid bloodshed, but the mob was into betrayal and murder from Day One.

Later, the hook-nosed Maillard was involved in the outrageous "March of the Women" to the royal castle at Versailles, where Ramsay says many of the so-called women were actually **men wearing women's clothes.** They invaded the bedroom of the queen....



...murdered two royal bodyguards, put their heads on pikes, a real obsession with those agitators, as with the Israeli-created ISIS right now, and forced the king, queen and their children to come with them to Paris.

The Marquis de Lafayette, who had been a hero in the American Revolution, helping George Washington and securing French help for the Americans, was then back in France and was the commander of the National Guard.





He was terrified to see agitators among his soldiers, telling them to **kill him, Lafayette, if he did not lead them to the king's castle** at Versailles outside Paris and bring the king and queen back to the city to be put under the control of, quote, "the people."

The people had been told the utter falsehood that Marie Antoinette, the queen, who was the blonde, blue-eyed, German-speaking daughter of the emperor of Austria, had been told that the people had no bread to eat and had merely responded, **"then let them eat cake!"**

This vicious lie is a classic example of **seizing on a popular perception** — in this case, that the queen supposedly did not care about the poor and the hungry — and strengthening it by inventing a rumor — a **pure lie**, in this case — which seemed to prove it, and this defamatory lie then fanned what had been a certain level of popular resentment into a fiery hatred.

The Marquis de Lafayette was shocked to hear his own soldiers calling the queen a "bitch" or a "whore" as he escorted the royal family back to Paris, and to see his own troops carrying the heads of two royal bodyguards on pikes.

Going back to the hook-nosed Maillard, Wikipedia reports:

*While serving as president of the improvised tribunal at the Prison de l'Abbaye, Maillard released the Marquis **Charles François de Virot de Sombreuil**, who had been saved by his daughter Marie-Maurille, to whom legend confers the status of *l'héroïne au verre de sang*. [= "the heroine of the glass of blood"]*

This name refers to the legend that, in order to spare her father's life, she was compelled to drink a glass of blood. Jules Claretie, the man who was second-in-command at the tribunal, gave an eyewitness account of the now Judge Maillard: "Maillard was a young man of thirty, large, dark, with matted hair."^[4]

I can tell you from MY experience, speaking as John de Nugent, that the French hate filthy clothes, nasty hair, and disrespect toward girls or women.

They would never ask a girl to drink a glass of blood in order to save her father.

The cruelty and the filthy, unshampooed, black, matted hair suggest to me just one thing: Maillard was really an Orthodox Jew.

The Duke of Orleans was under the impression that the King and Queen would be assassinated by this mob, and *himself* proclaimed a democratic king.

The real planners of the march, however, had other schemes in view. One main objective was to secure the removal of the royal family to Paris, where they would be clear of protection from the army, and instead under the power of the "Commune," or Paris County Council, in which the [radical] Jacobins were supreme.

They continued to make use of *Égalité* right up to the time of the vote on the King's life, when he crowned his sordid career by leading the open vote in voting for the death of his cousin. His masters thereafter had no further use for his services; and he very shortly followed his cousin to the guillotine amidst the execrations of all classes.

The Marquis de Mirabeau [painting] played a similar role to that of Égalité. He had intended that the revolution should cease with the setting up of Louis as a democratic monarch with himself as chief adviser. He had no desire to see violence done to the King.

On the contrary, in the last days before he died mysteriously by poison, he exerted all his efforts to get the King removed from Paris, and placed in charge of loyal generals still commanding his army.

He was the last of the moderates and monarchists to dominate the Jacobin club of Paris — that bloodthirsty focus of revolution which had materialized out of the secret clubs of the Orient Masons and Illuminati. It was Mirabeau's voice, loud and resonant, that kept in check the growing rage of the murderous fanatics who swarmed therein.

There was no doubt that **he perceived at last the true nature and strength of the beast**, which he had worked so long and so industriously to unchain.

In his last attempt to save the royal family by getting them out of Paris, he actually succeeded in shouting down all opposition in the Jacobin club. That very evening he died by a sudden and violent illness; and, as the author of *The Diamond Necklace* writes:



“Louis was not ignorant that Mirabeau had been poisoned.”

Thus, like Philippe Égalité, and later Danton....

Georges Danton



....and Robespierre, Mirabeau too was removed from the stage when his role had been played.

We are reminded of the passage in Number 15 of the *Protocols of Zion*:

“We execute Masons in such ways that none save the brotherhood can even have a suspicion of it.”

And again :

“In this way we shall proceed with those goy Masons who know too much.”

As Mr. E. Scudder writes in his *Life of Mirabeau*:

“He died at a moment when the revolution might still have been checked.”

The figure of [the Marquis] de Lafayette occupies the stage on several important occasions during these first revolutionary stages.


Lafayette at the battle of Brandywine, Pennsylvania, fighting for the Americans, where he was wounded



With General Washington at Valley Forge



In full dress uniform



With George Washington at Mount Vernon, Virginia



As commander of the Paris National Guard



He was one of those *simple* Freemasons, who are borne they know not whither, in a ship they have not fully explored, and by currents concerning which they are totally ignorant.

Above the "Declaration of the Rights of Man" is of course an eye and the pyramid of Masonry



Left side of the back of the US one-dollar bill




While a popular figure with the revolutionary crowds, he very severely handled several incipient outbreaks of revolutionary violence, notably in the "March of the Women to Versailles" [of October 1789], during "the Attack on the Tuileries [palace]," and then at the Champs de Mars

NN: The Champs de Mars, the "field of Mars," that is, the drill field of the god of war, was the place where troops drilled in Paris.

On July 17, 1791, Lafayette ordered his troops to fire on a wild crowd demanding the king abdicate; at least twelve were killed.



...and during the mob attack on the palace of the Tuileries [of August 10, 1792], when the mob seized the king and his family in Paris, thus ending the monarchy.



The standoff on the staircase that became a shootout; the Swiss Guard in red faced off for 45 minutes with the insurgents, but refused to surrender — and then someone opened fire.



In the end, 700 of the 1,000 Swiss Guards were killed — or murdered after surrendering by the mob.



The murder even of the female staff of the palace was only narrowly prevented. (I [JdN] can say that no Frenchman — in his right mind — would ever gun down a woman, especially not a good cook. 🙄)

The Marquis too desired the establishment of a democratic monarchy, and would countenance no threat to the King even from Philippe *Égalité*, whom he treated with the utmost hostility during and after “the March of the Women to Versailles,” believing on that occasion that *Égalité* intended the assassination of the King and the usurpation of his crown.

[NN: Every time I have revisited the French Revolution by reading, I am struck at how often some mob shows up and how it then stays fired up, it marches all over Paris, and it wants blood for hours on end.

First of all, mobs tend to cool off, especially if the other side is compromising, and no one is hungry, starving or being hurt.

But with the French Revolution, one sort of gets used to reading about mobs arising, marching, attacking buildings, cutting peoples’ heads off and sticking them on pikes, and increasing their demands all the time, as if it were somehow normal for French people or for anyone to just run around in a lather all day.

This is just not the case, and Ramsay is trying to say that professional instigators were behind this phenomenon.

The Marquis de Lafayette sensed this as well, and when he ordered his troops to fire on the mob, it was because he could see clearly in their faces and chants that this was now a **wild beast and not something to be reasoned with**.

And why? Because it was being incited to violence by professional agitators such as the hook-nosed Maillard and many, many others, who likely were being trained and paid to do so.

The Marquis had hoped the French Revolution would stay sane, as did the American Revolution which he had helped to succeed, and that revolution ended up in sensible reforms, not bloodbaths, massacres and the overthrowing of important traditions of the country.

But the storming of the Tuileries palace ended the moderate phase of the Revolution, or rather it meant that entirely different people eventually took over.

The monarchy was abolished, and so-called “committees of vigilance” formed and ordered mass arrests of nobles, priests and the middle class. All property of nobles was confiscated. Monasteries and all religious orders were closed. Opposition newspapers were shut down, and the most uneducated of the mob, the *sans culottes*, meaning “people without underwear,” began to rule.

The very next month, prisoners all over France were simply massacred in their jail cells in the “September [1792] Massacres” as “escape risks.”



Wikipedia reports:

-

The first instance of massacre occurred when 24 **non-juring priests** [NN: that is, priests who would not take an oath of loyalty to the anti-Christian government] were being transported to the **prison** of the **Abbey of Saint-Germain-des-Prés**, which had become a national prison of the revolutionary government.

They were attacked by a mob that quickly killed them all as they were trying to escape into the prison, then mutilated the bodies, "with circumstances of barbarity too shocking to describe" according to the British diplomatic dispatch.

Of 284 prisoners, 135 were killed, 27 were transferred, 86 were set free, and 36 had uncertain fates.^[14] In the afternoon of 2 September 150 priests in the convent of Carmelites were massacred, mostly by **sans-culottes**.

[NN: Here you can see a sinister force, which had to be Freemasons or Jews, because the working class in most countries back then was devoutly Christian. Some intellectuals were atheists or agnostics, or perhaps deists, but the working class was not. So clearly there was some new and sinister force changing society.]

On 3 and 4 September, groups broke into other Paris prisons, where they murdered the prisoners, who, some feared, were counter-revolutionaries who would aid the invading Prussians. From 2 to 7 September, summary trials took place in all Paris prisons. Almost 1,400 prisoners were condemned and executed [...]. Among the victims were more than 200 priests, almost 100 **Swiss guards**, and many political prisoners and aristocrats,^[15] including the queen's friend, the **Princesse de Lamballe**.
[painting]



Wikipedia tells us of this princess that she fled to England after “the March of the Women to Versailles,” but returned out of her deep friendship for the queen, Marie Antoinette, after writing her will, fearing death.

And here we see again the vile influence of Freemasonry and Jewry, for we read in the Wikipedia article on the Princess de Lamballe:

*[She] was by nature reserved, and there was never any gossip about her private life. However, in popular anti-monarchist propaganda of the time, she was regularly portrayed in pornographic pamphlets, showing her as the queen’s **lesbian** lover to undermine the public image of the monarchy.*^[2]

Death

*On 19 August, she and the **Marquise de Tourzel**, governess to the royal children, were separated from the royal family and transferred to the **La Force prison**.^[6] On 3 September, she was brought before a hastily assembled tribunal which demanded she “take an oath to love liberty and equality and to swear hatred to the King and the Queen and to the monarchy”.^[7] She agreed to the swear to liberty but refused to denounce the King, Queen, and monarchy, upon which her trial summarily ended with the words, “emmenez madame” (“Take madame away”). She was immediately taken to the street and thrown to a group of men who killed her within minutes.^{[8][9]}*

Some reports allege that she was raped and that her breasts were cut off, in addition to other bodily mutilations,^{[10][11]} and that her head was cut off and stuck on a pike.



Other reports say that it was brought to a nearby café where it was laid in front of the customers, who were asked to drink in celebration of her death.^[10]

Other reports state that the head was taken to a barber in order to dress the hair to make it instantly recognizable,^[11] though this has been contested.^[9]

Following this, the head was replaced upon the pike and was paraded beneath Marie Antoinette's window at the Temple.^[12]

Those who were carrying it wished the queen to kiss the lips of her favourite, as it was a frequent slander that the two had been lovers. The head was not allowed to be brought into the building.^[12]

In her historical biography, *Marie Antoinette : The Journey*, **Antonia Fraser** claims that the queen did not actually see the head of her long-time friend, but was aware of what was occurring, stating, "...the municipal officers had had the decency to close the shutters and the commissioners kept them away from the windows...one of these officers told the King '..they are trying to show you the head of Madame de Lamballe'...Mercifully, the Queen then fainted away".^[12]

[Back to the book.]

[Lafayette] evidently became an obstacle to the powers behind the revolution, and was packed off to a war against Austria, which the Assembly forced Louis to declare. Once he did dash back to Paris in an effort to save the King; but he was packed off again to the war. Mirabeau's death followed, and Louis' fate was sealed.

The wild figures of Danton, Marat, Robespierre, and the fanatics of the Jacobin club now dominated the scene.

Charlotte [de] Corday [d'Armont] avenged the victims of the Terror by stabbing Marat to death in his bathtub: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Charlotte_Corday





Being taken from her cell to the guillotine



In September of 1792 were perpetrated the terrible "September massacres"; **eight thousand persons being murdered in the prisons of Paris alone**, and many more over the country.

It should be noted here, that these victims were arrested and held till the time of the massacre in their prisons by one Manuel, Procureur [= Prosecutor] of the Commune.

Sir Walter Scott evidently understood much concerning the influences which were at work behind the scenes. In his *Life of Napoleon*, Vol. 2, he writes on page 30:

"The demand of the Communauté de Paris, (4) now the Sanhedrin of the Jacobins, was, of course, for blood."

Again, on page 56 Sir Walter Scott writes :

[33] "The power of the Jacobins was irresistible in Paris, where Robespierre, Danton and Marat shared the high places in the synagogue."

Writing of the Commune, Sir Walter Scott states in the same work:

"The principal leaders of the Commune seem to have been foreigners."

Some of the names of these "foreigners" are worthy of note. There was Choderlos de Laclos, manager of the Palais Royal, said to be of "Spanish origin."

There was Manuel, the Procureur of the Commune, already mentioned. He it was who started the attack upon royalty in the Convention, which culminated with the execution of Louis and Marie Antoinette.

There was [Jacques-Louis] David the painter, a leading member of the Committee of Public Security, which "tried" the victims.

His voice was always raised calling for death. Sir Walter Scott writes that this fiend used to preface his "bloody work of the day with

the professional phrase, "Let us grind enough of the Red'."

Jacques-Louis David, "Self-Portrait"




The fanatic republican David later hypocritically made tons of money painting the emperor Napoleon



Napoleon crossing the Great Saint Bernard (Pass in Switzerland)



Coronation of Napoleon in 1804 at Notre Dame cathedral




David it was who inaugurated the "Cult of the Supreme Being" ; and organized "the conducting of this heathen mummery, which was substituted for every external sign of rational devotion."

The Cult of the Supreme Being is celebrated in June of 1794 in the Tuileries Garden in Paris



([This is from] Sir Walter Scott, *The Life of Napoleon Buonaparte, Emperor of the French. With a Preliminary View of the French Revolution.* Edinburgh 1827, Vol.2.)



Sir Walter Scott of Edinburgh, Scotland was a bestselling author across Europe .



Sir Walter Scott's mansion, Abbotsford



Interior hallway



There were Reubel and Gohir, two of the five "Directors," who, with a "Council of Elders," became the government after the fall of Robespierre, being known as the *Directoire*. The terms "Directors" and "Elders" are, of course, characteristically Jewish.

One other observation should be made here; it is that this important work by Sir Walter Scott, in nine volumes, revealing so much of the real truth, is practically unknown, is never reprinted with his other works, and is almost unobtainable. [This was true in 1952.]

Those familiar with Jewish technique will appreciate the full significance of this fact; and the added importance it lends to Sir Walter Scott's evidence regarding the powers behind the French Revolution.

[34] To return to the scene in Paris, Robespierre now remains alone, and apparently master of the scenes ; but this again was only appearance.

Let us turn to *The Life of Robespierre*, by one G. Renier, who writes as though Jewish secrets were at his disposal.

Maximilien de Robespierre




He writes :

"From April to July 1794 (the fall of Robespierre) the Terror was at its height. It was never the dictatorship of a single man, least of all Robespierre. Some 20 men (the Committees of Public Safety and of General Security) shared the power."

"On the 28th July, 1794," to quote Mr. Renier again,

"Robespierre made a long speech before the Convention ... a philippic against ultra-terrorists — uttering vague general accusations."



'I dare not name them at this moment and in this place. I cannot bring myself entirely to tear asunder the veil that covers this profound mystery of iniquity. But I can affirm most positively that among the authors of this plot are the agents of that system of corruption and extravagance, the most powerful of all the means invented by foreigners for the undoing of the Republic; I mean the impure apostles of atheism, and the immorality that is at its base.'


Mr. Renier continues with all a Jew's satisfaction:

"Had he not spoken these words he might still have triumphed!"

In this smug sentence Mr. Renier unwittingly dots the i's and crosses the t's, which Robespierre had left uncompleted.

Robespierre's allusion to the "corrupting and secret foreigners" was getting altogether too near the mark; a little more and the full truth would be out.


At 2 a.m. that night Robespierre was shot in the jaw, and early on the following day he was dragged to the guillotine.



Once again let us recall Protocol 15:

"In this way we shall proceed with goy masons who know too much."


[35] Note : In a somewhat similar manner Abraham Lincoln was shot and killed by the Jew John Wilkes Booth on the evening of his pronouncement to his Cabinet that he intended in future to finance U.S. loans on a debt-free basis, similar to the debt-free money known as "Greenbacks" with which he had financed the American Civil War.



...THE RUSSIAN REVOLUTION

Monsieur François Coty, the celebrated scent manufacturer, wrote in *Le Figaro* on 20th February, 1932:

“The subsidies granted to the Nihilists at this period (1905-1917) by Jacob Schiff [photo], of Kuhn Loeb and Co., New York, were no longer acts of isolated generosity. A veritable Russian terrorist organization had been set up at his expense. It covered Russia with its emissaries.”



This creation of terrorist formations by Jews within a country that was marked down for revolution, whether they be called Nihilists or, as in France in 1789, “Sacred Bands,” or “Marseillais”; or “Operatives,” as in the Britain of Charles I, now stands revealed as standard technique.

Jacob Schiff also financed Japan in her war against Russia 1904-05, as we learn from the *Jewish Encyclopedia*.

This war was immediately followed by an attempt at revolution on a considerable scale in Russia, which, however, proved abortive.


https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/1905_Russian_Revolution

The next attempt, during the Great War, met with complete success.

On the 3rd January, 1906, the Russian Foreign Minister supplied to Emperor Nicholas II [photo]a report on this revolutionary outbreak, which, as revealed in the *American Hebrew* of July 13th, 1918, contained the following passages :



“The events which took place in Russia in 1905 ... plainly indicate that the revolutionary movement ... has a definite international character ...





The revolutionaries possess great quantities of arms imported from abroad and very considerable financial means ... one is bound to conclude that there are foreign capitalists' organizations that are interested in supporting our revolutionary movement.

If we add to the above that, as has been proved beyond any doubt, a very considerable part is played by Jews ... as ring-leaders in other organizations as well as in their own ... always the most bellicose element of the revolution ... We may feel entitled to assume that the above-mentioned foreign support of the Russian revolutionary movement comes from Jewish capitalist circles."

The assumption in the foregoing report was indeed well justified. It was to be confirmed by an even more important official document that penned at the height of the Revolution itself, in 1918, by Mr Oudendyke, the representative of the Netherlands Government in St. Petersburg, who was in charge of British interests in Russia after the liquidation of our Embassy by the Bolsheviks.



St. Petersburg



So important indeed was this report of Mr. Oudendyke's held to be by [British Foreign Secretary] Mr. Arthur Balfour [photo],

to whom it was addressed, that it was set out in a British government white paper on Bolshevism issued in April 1919. (Russia No. 1.) In it I have read the following passage:

"I consider that the immediate suppression of bolshevism is the greatest issue now before the world, not even excluding the war which is still raging, and unless bolshevism is nipped in the bud immediately it is bound to spread in one form or another over Europe, and the whole world, as it is organized and worked by Jews, who have no nationality, and whose one object is to destroy for their own ends the existing order of things."



A still clearer light is thrown on these happenings by an article written on 12th April 1919, in a paper called *The Communist*, at Kharkov, by one M. Cohen :

"The great Russian revolution was indeed accomplished by the hands of Jews.

There are no Jews in the ranks of the Red Army as far as privates are concerned, but in the Committees, and in the Soviet organization as Commissars, the Jews are gallantly leading the masses.

The symbol of Jewry has become the symbol of the Russian proletariat, which can be seen in the fact of the adoption of the five-pointed star, which in former times was the symbol of Zionism and Jewry."

Mr. Fahey, in his important and authenticated work, *The Rulers of Russia*, is more specific, stating that in 1917, of the 52 persons who took over the direction in Russia, all but Lenin were Jews.

[NN: It has since come out that Lenin was one-quarter Jewish.]

So thorough was the mass liquidation of all but hewers of wood and drawers of water in Russia, that this Jewish grip remained

unaltered. Dr. Fahey tells us that in 1935 the Central Executive of the Third International, which ruled Russia "consisted of 59 men, of which 56 were Jews. The other three, including Stalin, were married to Jewesses. Of 17 principal Soviet ambassadors, 4 were Jews." (*Rulers of Russia*, pages 8 and 9.)

The Rev. George Simons, who was Superintendent of the Methodist Episcopal Church in St. Petersburg from 1907 to October 1918, appeared before a Committee of the United States Senate on the 12th February, 1919 and gave them a report of his personal knowledge of the happenings in Russia up to the time he left.

Dr. Fahey quotes him as saying during this evidence :

"In December, 1918, out of 388 members of the revolutionary government, only 16 happened to be real Russians; all the rest were Jews with the exception of one U.S. Negro. Two hundred and sixty-five of the Jews come from the Lower East Side of New York."

Such has been the condition of affairs in the U.S.S.R. from that day to this.

Though a number of Jews were liquidated in the so-called "Moscow Purge" [NN: by Stalin in the 1930s] this affected the situation in no way. It merely signified that one Jewish faction had triumphed over, and liquidated, another. There has never been anything in the nature of a Gentile revolt against the Jewish domination.

[39] The fact that some Jews were liquidated by winning factions behind the Iron Curtain could be used to deceive the world outside into thinking that this was the result of some anti-Semitic revolt, and from time to time a hoax of this kind has been systematically propagated.

As world opinion gradually turned hostile to the USSR, important Jews began to fear, that this feeling, combined with a gradual realization that bolshevism is Jewish, might have unpleasant reactions for them.

About 1945, therefore, a further powerful campaign was organized from influential Jewish circles, notably in the U.S.A., to put out the story once again that Russia had turned on the Jews. They evidently failed, however, to advise their lesser brethren of this move; and indignant and informed denials were soon forthcoming.

A journal called *Bulletin*, the organ of the Glasgow Discussion Group, wrote in June 1945 :

"Such rubbish as is now being spread as to the growth of anti-Semitism in Russia is nothing but malicious lies and pure invention."

On 1st February 1949, the *Daily Worker* [called since 1966 the *Morning Star*:[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Morning_Star_\(British_newspaper\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Morning_Star_(British_newspaper))] carried an article in which a Mr. Parker gave a few names and figures of Jews in high office in the U.S.S.R., from which he had evidently recently returned, for he wrote:

"I never heard a breath of criticism over this state of affairs," and stated later in the same article "antisemitism would render a Soviet official liable to prosecution in the same way that a private citizen may be brought before the courts for antisemitism."

On the 10th November, 1949, the *Daily Worker*, that constant and burning champion of the Jews, printed an article by Mr D. Kartun, entitled "Stamping Out Anti-Semitism," which shows the complete Jewish control behind the Iron Curtain when he writes :

"In Poland and the other people's democracies antisemitism in word or deed is most heavily punished."

Between 1945 and 1949 the propaganda to convince [40] Gentiles outside the Iron Curtain, that within that area antisemitism was rampant, and the Jews driven from high office everywhere was energetically pursued. It began to be believed by quite a number of people, who would have known better ; so much so, that in the autumn of the latter year I thought it worthwhile to get out a list showing the number of vital positions held by Jews behind the iron curtain.

Here is an extract from those lists.

USSR:

Premier — Stalin Married to a Jewess

Vice-Premier — Kaganovitch Jew

Stalin, Kalinin, Voroshilov and Kaganovitch at the Sixteenth Congress of the CPSU, 1930



Ministry of State Control — Mekhlis Jew

Military & Naval Construction — Ginsburg Jew

Minister Cominform Organ — Yudin, Jew

Chief Publicist Abroad for U.S.S.R. — Ilya Ehrenburg , Jew

Ministry of Building Enterprises Machinery — Yudin, Jew

Foreign Minister — Molotoff, married to a Jew

.

POLAND:

Virtual Ruler — Jacob Bergman Jew



Public Prosecutor — T. Cyprian, Jew

O.C. Youth Movements — Dr. Braniewsky, Jew

HUNGARY:

Virtual Ruler — Mathias Rakosi Jew



ROMANIA:

Virtual Ruler — Anna Pauker, Jewess (Since removed for "deviationism" but replaced by another Jew.)



YUGOSLAVIA:



In May 1949, the *Daily Worker*, which is, of course, consistently and ardently pro-Jewish, printed an article by Mr A. Rothstein praising the USSR. to the skies ; and about [41] the same time another article on similar lines about the paradise behind the iron curtain by Mr Sam Aronvitch.

On the 10th November the same paper printed an article in which D. Kartun, writing of the “People’s Democracies” and the stamping out of antisemitism there, wrote :

“No one could dream of making an antisemitic speech or writing an antisemitic article in any of these countries. If they did, their jail sentence would be both immediate and lengthy.”

In the last few years we have been supplied with further dramatic proof of the vital inter-relation between Jews and the U.S.S.R. From the Canadian spy trials, which focused the spotlight on atom spying for the U.S.S.R., with the conviction and imprisonment of Frank Rosenberg (alias Rose), the Canadian Jew Communist Member of Parliament, and several Jews, to the conviction and imprisonment of many others of the same gang in Britain and the U.S.A., including Fuchs, Professor Weinbaum, Judith Coplon, Harry Gold, David Greenglass, Julius [and Ethel] Rosenberg [see photo], Miriam Moskewitz, Abraham Brothanz, and Raymond Boyer, who, though a Gentile by birth, married a Jewess and, I believe, adopted the Jewish creed on that occasion.

JdN: the Jew Morton Sobell (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Morton_Sobell) did 17 years for espionage — giving American atomic secrets to Stalin: the Jewish couple Julius and Ethel Rosenberg were executed in 1953 for this crime.



Finally, we had the flight to the U.S.S.R. with atom secrets also of the Jew Professor Pontecorvo [photo] (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bruno_Pontecorvo), who had been working in close association with Fuchs.



No doubt we shall continue to be regaled with plausible stories proving that Russia has gone antisemitic ; but it is not hard to realise that such a Jewish grip, backed by the most elaborate spy and liquidation squads known to man, would cause a convulsion which would shake the world before its grip could be broken.

DEVELOPMENT OF REVOLUTIONARY TECHNIQUE

Four revolutions in history merit our special attention. The study and comparison of the methods employed therein will reveal on the one hand a basic similarity between them, and on the other an interesting advance in technique with each succeeding upheaval.

It is as if we studied the various stages in the evolution of the modern rifle from the original old "Brown Bess."

The Brown Bess was the British rifle from 1722 to 1815




The revolutions in question are firstly the Cromwellian, secondly the French, thirdly the Russian, and lastly the Spanish revolution of 1936. All four can be proved to have been the work of international Jewry. The first three succeeded, and secured the murder of the reigning monarch and the liquidation of his supporters.

In each case Jewish finance, and underground intrigue, are clearly traceable ; and the earliest measures passed by the revolutionaries have been "emancipation" for the Jews.

Cromwell was financed by various Jews, notably Manasseh Ben Israel and Carvajal, "the Great Jew," contractor to his army.

On this occasion Jewish influence remained financial and commercial, while the propaganda weapons and medium were semi-religious, all the Cromwellians being soaked in Old Testament Judaism; some, such as General Thomas Harrison [painting], even carried their Judaism to the length of advocating the adoption of the Mosaic Law as the law of England, and the substitution of Saturday as the Sabbath in place of the Christian Sunday.



[43] We are all familiar with the absurd Old Testament passages which the Roundhead rank and file adopted as names, such as Sergeant Obadiah, "Bind their kings in chains and their nobles in fetters of iron."

The Cromwellian revolution was short-lived. The work of destruction had not been sufficiently thorough to frustrate counter-revolution, and restoration of the old regime.

A second revolution, the so-called "Glorious Revolution" of 1689, was necessary. This again was financed by Jews, notably Solomon Medina [painting], Suasso, Moses Machado and others.

Solomon Medina



By the French revolution of 1789 the technique had been notably improved. **Secret societies** had been developed throughout France and on a grand scale in the preceding years. The plans for the liquidation of the former regime are by this time far more drastic.

The judicial murder of a kindly and well-intentioned king and a few nobles is replaced by **mass murders** in prisons and in private houses of the whole of the nobility, clergy, gentry and bourgeoisie, regardless of sex.

The Cromwellian damage and desecration of a few churches by their temporary use as stables is developed into a general wrecking of Christian churches, or their conversion into public lavatories, brothels, and markets; and the banning of the practice of the Christian religion and even the ringing of church bells.

Civil war is not allowed to develop. **The army is side-tracked, and kept apart from its king by his seizure at an early stage.** So powerful is the unseen control by 1789 that apparently, the **dregs of the French population victoriously liquidate all their natural leaders**, in itself a most unnatural and suspicious phenomenon.

More suspicious still is the **sudden appearance of strong bands of armed hooligans**, who march on Paris from Lyons and Marseilles ; and are recorded as being obviously [44] foreigners.



Here we have the first formations of **alien mercenary and criminal elements**, forcing revolutions upon a country not their own, which were to have their finished and expanded prototype in the International Brigades, which attempted to force Marxism on Spain 150 years later.

England in the 17th century had not been dismembered and hideously remolded on alien lines; but all familiar landmarks in 18th-century France were destroyed. The splendid and historic names and titles of counties, departments and families were scrapped, and France divided into numbered squares occupied merely by "citizens."


The provinces of France before the Revolution



The "departements" afterward



Even the months of the calendar were changed. The national flag of France with its lilies and its glories was banned.



Instead the French received the Tricolour, badge of murder and rapine.




Here, however, the planners made a mistake.

The Tricolour might not be the honoured and famous flag of France.

It might be dripping with the blood of massacre, regicide and villainy.



It might be stinking with the slime of the Jewish criminals who designed and foisted it upon the French people; but it was proclaimed the national flag, and the national flag it became; and with the national flag came the national army, and a national leader, Napoleon.



It was not long before this great Frenchman ran up against the secret powers who up till then controlled the armies of France. They had planned to use these armies to revolutionise all European states, one after another; to overthrow all leadership, and establish rule of the mob, apparently, in reality, of course, their own rule.

Just in this manner do the Jews today plan to use the Red Army. Such a policy directed by aliens of this type could not long continue once a national army had thrown up a real national leader ; their outlook and policy must inevitably be poles apart. It was not long before the First Consul challenged and overthrew these aliens and their puppets.

[45] By the year 1804 Napoleon had come to recognise the Jew and his plans as a menace to France and all that the revolution had swept away he systematically restored. From this time onwards Jewish money financed every coalition against him; and Jews today boast that it was **Rothschild rather than Wellington** who **defeated Napoleon**.

Knowing these things, Hitler, on his occupation of Paris, immediately ordered a permanent guard of honour to be mounted over Napoleon's tomb at the Invalides; and had the body of L'Aiglon (= "Little Eagle" in French; Napoleon's son by Maria Louisa, his second wife) brought from Austria, and buried at last in his proper place at the side of his father.



When we come to examine the Russian revolution we find that the technique is still bolder and far more drastic. On this occasion no national flag, army, or anthem is permitted.

After the dregs of the community have apparently accomplished the impossible, and liquidated every other class down to and including the *kulak* (a man with three cows), they are herded into a polygot force called the Red Army ; over them waves an international red flag, not a Russian flag; their anthem is "the Internationale."

The technique of revolution in Russia was so perfected that to this day it has secured the Jewish regime established there against all



counter-strokes.

The next revolution to merit our attention is the one that broke out in Spain in 1936.

Fortunately for Europe, it was frustrated by General Francisco Franco [photo] and a number of gallant men, who instantly took the field in opposition to the revolutionary forces, and succeeded in a long struggle in crushing them.

This achievement is all the more remarkable in view of the latest development in revolutionary organisation, which was then revealed in the shape of the International Brigades.

These International Brigades, besides representing the very [46] latest novelty in revolutionary technique, were a remarkable production. They were recruited from criminals, adventurers and dupes, mostly communists, from 52 different countries, mysteriously transported and assembled in formations in Spain within a few weeks of the outbreak of disorder, uniformed in a garb closely related to our battle dress, and armed with weapons bearing the Jewish five-pointed star. This star and the Seal of Solomon were upon the signet rings of N.C.O.s and Officers in this communist horde of ill-disciplined ruffians. I have seen them myself in wear.



By October 1936 these International Brigades were already assembled in Spain in considerable numbers. Undisciplined and blackguardly though they were, the mere fact of a large and well-armed political army intervening suddenly on one side in the early stages of a civil war, might reasonably have been counted upon to achieve a decision, and before the patriotic and decent element in the country could have time to create an adequate fighting machine.

Though the British public were kept in total ignorance as to the true significance of what was taking place in Spain, two countries in Europe were alive to the situation. Germany and Italy had each in their turn experienced the throes of communist revolution, and emerged victorious over this foulest of earthly plagues.

German sergeant of the Legion Condor (German volunteers) train Franco's soldiers.



SS general Karl Wolff, Heinrich Himmler, Generalissimo Franco and Spanish foreign minister Serrano Suner



Franco meets Hitler



They knew who had financed and organised the International Brigades ; and with what fell purpose ["fell" = sinister] Barcelona [on the east coast of Spain] had been declared in October 1936 the Capital of the Soviet States of Western Europe. At the critical moment they intervened in just sufficient strength to counter the International Brigade, and enable the Spanish people to organise their own army, which, in due course, easily settled the matter.

Settled the matter, that is to say, as far as Spain was concerned.

There was, however, another settlement to come. International Jewry had been seriously thwarted. They would not rest henceforward until they could [47] have their revenge ; until they could by hook or crook turn the guns of the rest of the world against these two States, which in addition to thwarting their designs in Spain were in the process of placing Europe upon a system independent of gold and usury, which, if permitted to develop, would break the Jewish power forever.

[48]

GERMANY BELLS THE CAT

The urgent alarm sounded in 1918 by Mr. Oudendyke, in his letter sent to Mr. Balfour, denouncing Bolshevism as a Jewish plan, which if not checked by the combined action of the European Powers, would engulf Europe and the world, was no exaggeration. By the end of that year the red flag was being hoisted in most of the great cities of Europe. In Hungary the Jew Bela Kun [photo] organised and maintained for some time a merciless and bloody tyranny similar to the one in Russia.



In Germany, the Jews Liebknecht, Barth, Scheidemann, Rosa Luxemburg, etc., made a desperate bid for power. These and other similar convulsions shook Europe ; but each country in its own way just [barely] frustrated the onslaughts.

In most countries concerned a few voices were raised in an endeavour to expose the true nature of these evils. Only in one, however, did a political leader and group arise, who grasped to the full the significance of these happenings, and **perceived behind the mobs of native hooligans the organisation and driving power of world Jewry.** This leader was Adolf Hitler, and his group the National Socialist Party of Germany.




Never before in history had any country not only repulsed organised revolution, but discerned Jewry behind it, and faced up to that fact.

Cartoon from Der Stuermer



We need not wonder that the sewers of Jewish vituperation were flooded over these men and their leader; nor should we make the mistake of supposing that Jewry would stick at any lie to deter honest men everywhere from making a thorough investigation of the facts for themselves. [49]



Si l'Etranger nous accuse de barbarie, on vous donnera encore un tour de vis!

„Wenn uns das Ausland der Barbarei beschuldigt, wird man die Schraube noch etwas anziehen!“

"If the world accuses us of barbarism, we'll give the screw another turn."

Nevertheless, if any value liberty, and set out to seek truth and defend it, this duty of personal investigation is one which they cannot shirk.

To accept unquestioningly the lies and misrepresentations of a Jew-controlled or influenced press, is to spurn truth by sheer idleness, if for no worse reason. To act on such unverified a basis is to sin against the Light.

In the case of Germany and Hitler the task of research is not difficult. We have it on many authorities that Hitler's book, *Mein Kampf*, stated fully and accurately the author's observations and conclusions concerning all these vital matters.



Quite false pictures have been propagated deliberately about this book by quoting passages out of their context, distorting meanings, and downright misrepresentation.

Having read many of these unscrupulous diatribes, it was with no little surprise that I read this book for myself not so very long ago.



From many conversations I had heard and taken part in, I now realise that most members of the public were as ignorant as I of the real nature of this remarkable book. I propose, therefore, to try and give a true picture of its spirit and purport by quotations from its two main themes:

Firstly realisation and exposure of the ***Jewish scheme for world Marxism***; and secondly, admiration of, and ***longing for friendship with Great Britain***.

The Duke and Duchess of Windsor



The Duke of Windsor and Dr. Goebbels chat in Berlin



Writing of the days before 1914, Hitler states:

"I still saw Jewry as a religion ... Of the existence of deliberate Jewish hostility I had no conception ...

I gradually realised that the Social Democratic Press was preponderantly controlled by Jews ... There was not a single

paper with which Jews were connected which could be described as genuinely national ... I seized all the Social Democratic pamphlets I could get hold of, and looked up the names of their authors — nothing but Jews.”

As he pursued the study of these questions, Hitler began to perceive the main outlines of the truth:



[50] “I made also a deep study of the relation between Judaism and Marxism ... The Jewish State never had boundaries as far as space was concerned; it was unlimited as regards space, but bound down by its conception of itself as a race.

That people, therefore, was always a State within a State ...

The Jewish doctrine of Marxism rejects the aristocratic principle in nature... denies the value of the individual among men, combats the importance of nationality and race, thereby depriving humanity of the whole meaning of existence.”



“Democracy in the west today is the forerunner of Marxism, which would be inconceivable without Democracy.”

“If the Jew, with the help of his Marxian creed, conquers the nations of the world, his crown will be the funeral wreath of the

human race ..."



"Thus did I now believe," he writes of the days of 1918, "that by defending myself against the Jews I am doing the Lord's work."



At the end of 1918 there came the revolution in Germany organised behind the unbroken army in the field.


Concerning this Hitler wrote: -

"In November sailors arrived in lorries, and called on us all to revolt, a few Jewish youths being the leaders in that struggle for the 'freedom, beauty and dignity of our national life'. Not one of them had ever been to the Front."



"The real organiser of the revolution and its actual wire-puller was the International Jew ... The revolution was not made by the forces of peace and order ; but by those of riot, robbery and plunder."

"I was beginning to learn afresh, and only now (1919) came to a right comprehension of the teachings and intentions of the Jew Karl Marx."




"Only now did I properly understand his Kapital ; and equally also the struggle of Social Democracy against the economics of the nation ; and that its aim is to prepare the ground for the domination of the truly international Kapital."

"The Emperor offered the hand of friendship to the leaders of [51] Marxism ... While they held the imperial hand in theirs the other hand was already feeling for the dagger."

"With the Jew there is no bargaining ; there is merely the hard 'either-or.'"

Shylock



Later on Hitler gives in great detail the outlines of the Jewish disruptive machine.

“By means of the Trades Unions which might have been the saving of the nation, the Jew actually destroys the nation’s economics.”

“By creating a press which is on the intellectual level of the least educated, the political and labour organisation obtains force of compulsion enabling it to make the lowest strata of the nation ready for the most hazardous enterprises.”

“The Jewish press ... tears down all which may be regarded as the prop of a nation’s independence, civilisation and its economic autonomy. It roars especially against characters who refuse to bow the knee to Jewish domination, or whose intellectual capacity appears to the Jew in the light of a menace to himself.”

“The ignorance displayed by the mass ... and the lack of instinctive perception of our upper class make the people easy dupes of this campaign of Jewish lies.”

“But the present day is working its own ruin ; it introduces universal suffrage, chatters about equal rights, and can give no reason for so thinking. In its eyes material rewards are the expression of a man’s worth, thus shattering the basis for the noblest equality that could possibly exist.”

“It is one of the tasks of our Movement to hold out prospects of a time when the individual will be given what he needs in order to live ; but also to maintain the principle that man does not live for material enjoyment alone.”

“The political life of today alone has persistently turned its back on this principle of nature” (i.e. quality) ... “

“Human civilisation is but the outcome of the creative force of personality in the community as a whole, and especially among its leaders ... the principle of the dignity [52] of the majority is beginning to poison all life below it ; and in fact to break it up.”

“We now see that Marxism is the enunciated form of the Jewish attempt to abolish the importance of personality in all departments of human life ; and to set up the mass of numbers in its place ...”

“The principle of decision by majorities has not always governed the human race ; on the contrary, it only appears during quite short periods of history, and those are always periods of decadence in nations and States.”

"We must not forget that the international Jew, who continues to dominate over Russia, does not regard Germany as an ally, but as a State destined to undergo a similar fate."

On the last page and in almost the last paragraph of Mein Kampf is the following :

"The party as such stands for positive Christianity, but does not bind itself in the matter of creed to any particular confession. It combats the Jewish materialistic spirit within us and without us."

Looking round the world for help in the battle against this terrible menace of Jew directed bolshevism, Hitler's mind constantly reverted to Britain and the British Empire. He always longed for their friendship. Always declared Britain to be one of the greatest bulwarks against chaos ; and that her interests and those of Germany were complementary and not contrary to one another.

"It was not a British interest," he wrote, "but in the first place a Jewish one to destroy Germany."

And again:-

"Even in England there is a continual struggle going on between the representatives of British state interests and the Jewish world dictatorship."

"Whilst England is exhausting herself in maintaining her position in the world, the Jew is organising his measures for its conquest ... Thus the Jew today is a rebel in England, and the struggle against the Jewish world menace will be started there also."

[53]

"No sacrifice would have been too great in order to gain England's alliance. It would have meant renunciation of the colonies and importance at sea, and refraining from interference with British industry by competition."


In later years these two themes were ceaselessly expounded ; viz., the Jewish Marxist menace, and the eagerness for friendship with Britain. Even down to, and including Dunkirk,




Hitler pressed the latter idea on all and sundry ; even on his highest generals, to their astonishment. Nor did he stop at words, as will

be shown later when, as Liddell Hart informs us, he saved the British Army from annihilation by halting the Panzer Corps, informing his Generals the while, that he regarded the British Empire and the Catholic Church as necessary bulwarks of peace and order which must be safeguarded. (5)

Mein Kampf was first published in October 1933. Before it had left the printers, the floodgates of Jewish hatred and lies had been full-opened against Hitler and the Third Reich all over the world. English-speaking people everywhere were deluged with fabrications, distortions and atrocity stories, which drowned the voices of the few who understood the real situation.





Forgotten in the turmoil was Marx's slogan that before bolshevism could triumph the British Empire must be destroyed ; and totally suppressed as far as the British people were concerned was Hitler's repeated declaration of his willingness to defend the British Empire if called upon to assist by force of arms if necessary.

The Other Side of the Hill, Chap. X, by Liddell Hart.

[54]

1933 : JEWRY DECLARES WAR

The English edition of *Mein Kampf* was still in the process of printing and publication when Jewry declared war on the national Socialist regime, and started an intensive blockade against Germany.

The International Jewish Boycott Conference was assembled in Holland in the summer of 1933 under the Presidency of Mr. Samuel Untermyer of the U.S.A., who was elected President of the World Jewish Economic Federation formed to combat the opposition to Jews in Germany. On his return to the U.S.A., Mr. Untermyer gave an address over Station W.A.B.C, the text of which, as printed in the

New York Times of August 7th, 1933, I have before me. Mr Untermyer referred in the opening phrases to :



"The holy war in the cause of humanity in which we are embarked" ; and proceeded to develop the subject at great length, describing the Jews as the aristocrats of the world.

"Each of you, Jew and Gentile alike, who has not already enlisted in this sacred war should do so now and here."

Those Jews who did not join in he denounced, declaring : "They are traitors to their race."

In January 1934 Mr. Jabotinsky, founder of Revisionist Zionism, wrote in *Natcha Retch* :

"The fight against Germany has been carried out for months by every Jewish community, conference, trade organisation, by every Jew in the world ... We shall let loose a spiritual and a material war of the whole world against Germany."



This is perhaps the most confident assertion extant on the Jewish claim, set out in the *Protocols of Zion*, that they can bring about war.

[55] Protocol Number 7 states :

"We must be in a position to respond to every act of opposition by a State by war with its neighbour. If these should venture to stand collectively, by universal war."

It should be remembered here that a copy of these *Protocols* was filed in the British Museum in 1906.

By 1938 the Jewish war was in full swing ; and already through their influence or pressure many Gentile persons and groups were being drawn into the vortex. Various members of the British Socialist Party were openly advocating joining in this cold war ; and a vigorous and uncompromising clique was growing in all Parties under the leadership of Messrs. Churchill, Amery, Duff, Cooper and others. "Hitler will have no war, but he will be forced to it, not this year, but later on," screamed the Jew Emil Ludwig in the June copy of *Les Aniles* 1934.

On June 3rd, 1938, matters were carried a long step further by an article in the *American Hebrew*, the weekly organ of American Jewry. This article, which opened by showing that Hitler never deviated from his *Mein Kampf* doctrine, went on to threaten the direst retaliation.

"It has become patent that a combination of Britain, France and Russia will sooner or later bar the triumphant march (of Hitler) ... Either by accident or design, a Jew has come to a position of foremost importance in each of these nations. In the hands of non- Aryans lies the fate and the very lives of millions ... In France the Jew of prominence is Leon Blum ... Leon Blum may yet be the Moses who will lead ..."



Maxim Litvinoff, Soviet super salesman, is the Jew who sits at the right hand of Stalin, the little tin soldier of communism ...



The English Jew of prominence is Leslie Hore-Belisha, Tommy Atkins' new boss" [= as Defence Minister]



Later in this article we read:

"So it may come to pass that these three sons of Israel will form the combine that will send the frenzied Nazi dictator to hell. And when the [56] smoke of battle clears ... and the man who played the swastikaed Christus ... is lowered into a hole in the ground ... as the trio of non- Aryans intone a ramified requiem ... a medley of the Marseillaise, God Save the King, and the Internationale, blending with a proud and aggressive rendering of Eili Eili."

Two points in the above extract are worthy of special note. Firstly, it is taken for granted that these three Jews will not for one moment think or act as anything but Jews ; and can be relied upon to guide their Gentile dupes to ruin in a plainly Jewish war ; secondly, should be noted the contemptuous reference to the "swastikaed Christus," which Jewry looks forward to burying ; and which reveals by its classification the Jewish hatred of Christianity.

<https://www.sonnenrad.tv/channel/video/Mocking-and-crucifying-jesus-monkey-quotYeshuquot-on-Israeli-comedy-tv/398f2fd28ba12c7747a9526f761a506f/3>

Not Found (404)


The requested URL /media/embed?

key=398f2fd28ba12c7747a9526f761a506f&width=720&height=540&autoplay=false&autolightsoff=false&loop=fals
was not found on this server.

www.sonnenrad.tv

Meantime Jewish pressure was exerted to the utmost to incite clashes between Sudeten, Czechs, Poles and Germans.

After WWI, US President Woodrow Wilson and the Versailles Treaty outrageously put three million Germans inside a country run by Czechs, who hated and brutally mistreated them, all this in direct and crass violation of Wilson's pious promise of "self-determination of the peoples."



By September of 1938 matters had reached a desperate pass. Mr. Chamberlain himself flew out to Munich and achieved the historic settlement with Hitler.

*** Chamberlain and Hitler



https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Peace_for_our_time



Chamberlain landed at **Heston Aerodrome** on 30 September 1938, and spoke to the crowds there:

*The settlement of the Czechoslovakian problem, which has now been achieved is, in my view, only the prelude to a larger settlement in which all Europe may find peace. This morning I had another talk with the German Chancellor, Herr Hitler, and here is the paper which bears his name upon it as well as mine. Some of you, perhaps, have already heard what it contains but I would just like to read it to you: '... We regard the agreement signed last night and the **Anglo-German Naval Agreement** as symbolic of the desire of our two peoples never to go to war with one another again.'*^[3]

Later that day he stood outside **10 Downing Street** and again read from the document and concluded:

*My good friends, for the **second time in our history**, a British Prime Minister has returned from Germany bringing peace with honour. I believe it is peace for our time. We thank you from the bottom of our hearts. Go home and get a nice quiet sleep.'*^[3]

It seemed as though the war mongers had been frustrated, and Europe saved. Rarely had such scenes and evidences of spontaneous delight and thankfulness been evoked as were witnessed throughout Britain and Europe at that triumph.

Those who knew the power of the enemy, however, knew that Mr Chamberlain's work was certain to be swiftly sabotaged.


JdN: Winston Churchill, First Lord of the Admiralty; a South African Jew, as historian David Irving revealed, paid off all his crushing debts, whereupon Churchill immediately founded a group called "the Focus" to whip up paranoia against Germany.



Previously, this vile pig had said admiringly of Hitler (*Evening Standard*, September 17, 1937 <http://www.scottmanning.com/archives/friendshipwithgermany.php>):

On the contrary [my concerns about Germany rearming are] a tribute to the wonderful and terrible strength which Germany exerted in the Great War, when almost single-handed she fought nearly all the world and nearly beat them.

WWI German commander Erich Ludendorff and Iron Cross First Class winner Adolf Hitler



Naturally, when a people who have shown such magnificent military qualities are arming night and day, its neighbors, who bear the scars of previous conflicts, must be anxious and ought to be vigilant.

One may dislike Hitler's system and yet admire his patriotic achievement. If our country were defeated, I hope we should find a champion as indomitable to restore our courage and lead us back to our place among the nations.

Hitler opens the 1936 Berlin Olympics



I remember remarking, on the very evening of his return from Munich, that within a week every newspaper in this country and the war mongers in Parliament, would be attacking Mr. Chamberlain for having secured peace ; regardless of the fact that in so doing they were contemptuously flouting the real wishes of the people.



Daily Herald



MR. CHAMBERLAIN DECLARES "IT IS PEACE FOR OUR TIME"

5,000 British Troops Will Be Sent To Sudetenland PRAGUE'S DAY OF SORROW

To a frenzied welcome from tens of thousands of Londoners, Mr. Neville Chamberlain came home last night and announced to all the world: "I believe it is peace for our time."

GERMANS WILL MARCH AT NOON... The first British troops to be sent to the area north of Prague and Linz, in Upper Austria...

The Premier had two agreements in his pockets... The second agreement was the Munich Four-Power Pact for the transfer of Sudetenland from Czechoslovakia to Germany...

From a Window at No. 10



Mr. Chamberlain speaking from an ornate window at No. 10 Downing Street, to the great crowd which gathered to welcome him home.

BUT— Poles Rush Ultimatum

WARSAW, Friday night. WITHIN 24 hours of one threat of an immediate war on the Czechs being averted, Poland tonight handed a new ultimatum to Prague...

INSIDE PAGES... News notes to Chamberlain... W. N. Bever's story from Munich... Chamberlain's return... No More War? agreement... After the Crisis... What happened in Prague... Chamberlain's return... General Smiley's broadcast from Prague... The Munich Agreement and Map... Editorial comment... Back Page & Page After the Crisis pictures... Result of the 'HOLIDAY MUSIC PROGRAMME' COMPETITION

Cabinet Meeting... A short meeting of the Cabinet was held last night at which Mr. Chamberlain gave a brief report of the Munich negotiations... Superior Force... From Munich the Prime Minister drove through miles of cheering streets to Buckingham Palace...

Czechs Cry "We Want To Fight"

As in Downing Street—and more frenzied applause London's West End last night was filled with jubilant crowds... Czechs cry "We want to fight"...

BLACK & WHITE Scotch Whisky advertisement featuring a bottle and a dog.

This remark was only too true, as events proved. Nowhere was the Jewish fury so obvious, of course, as in Moscow. I have before me a leaflet of my own designing put out in October 1938. It runs [58]:


"Are you aware that Mr. Chamberlain was burnt in effigy in Moscow as soon as it was known that he had secured peace; Showing very clearly Who Wanted War, and who are still ceaselessly working to stir up strife all the world over." (6)

The attempt to provoke war over Sudetenland and Czechoslovakia having failed, there remained only the detonator in the Polish Corridor, that monstrosity born of the unholy Versailles Conference, and denounced by honest men from Marshal Foch and Arthur Henderson, from that time onwards.

Polish Corridor



JdN: Map of ethnic Germans in 1940. As a result of the admired German work ethic and honesty, many monarchs invited Germans to settle their areas of eastern Europe. The so-called Polish Corridor was a majority-German area which, exactly as with the Sudetenland, was given in the 1919 Versailles Treaty to a non-German country, in this case, to Poland. Immediately, the Poles began crushing the Germans in the "Corridor," who were suddenly a minority in a foreign country without moving an inch, economically and physically, just as Cromwell's forces once did to the Irish. The Poles insanely imagined they could beat Germany in a war, and in a double episode of insanity, stuck with their war plan even after the gigantic Soviet Union, right to their east, became an ally of Germany. They were crushed in three weeks.



German-speaking Europe in the 20th century and the outline of Europe's boundaries in 1933 (red)

One feature about the Versailles Conference has been kept secret by those who possess the power to keep things from the public or to proclaim things from the house tops. It is this: All important decisions were taken by the "Big Four" – Britain, France, Italy and the U.S.A., represented respectively by Mr. Lloyd George, M. Clemenceau, Baron Sonino and President Wilson.

So much is known. What is not known is that the secretary of Mr. Lloyd George was the Jew Sassoon ;

*** Philip Sassoon-Rothschild

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Philip_Sassoon



Personal life[edit]

Sassoon never married and was said to have lived an openly homosexual life.^[10]


of M. Clemenceau, the Jew Mandel Rothschild, now known as Mandel ;

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Georges_Mandel




Baron Sidney Sonino was himself half a Jew:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sidney_Sonnino



....and President Wilson had the Jew Brandeis ;

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Louis_Brandeis




the interpreter was another Jew named Mantoux ;

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Paul_Mantoux



On page 27 of this book



we read....

from their countries by dictatorships. The other director was the distinguished professor and remarkable historian of the industrial revolution, **Paul Mantoux**, a French Jew of very old stock. During the Versailles peace conference he had been an interpreter for the four great powers. He was a magnificent figure, as learned as he was modest, and was appreciated too for his inexhaustible treasure of political anecdotes.


and the Military Advisor yet another Jew called Kish.

It is known that Mr. Lloyd George and others were hazy about geography.

[6 See Appendix 4.]

Their Jewish secretaries, however, were on the contrary very much on the spot on such matters. These Jews met at 6 p.m. in the evenings ; and mapped out the decisions for the following day's conference of the "Big Four."

Lloyd George (UK); Victoria Orlando (Italy); Victor Clemenceau (France) and Woodrow Wilson (US)



The results were disastrous from the point of view of all decent people, who hoped for an honourable treaty, with terms which, though they might be stringent, would at least be just and thereby secure lasting peace.

Foch himself loudly denounced the treaty ; declaring [58] that it contained the certain makings of another war and deprecating in particular the provision relating to Danzig and the Corridor.

Marshal Foch with British officers



Arthur Henderson and many public men joined in the denunciation ; but all to no avail.

JdN: Henderson was a famous Labour politician and peace activist: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Arthur_Henderson



From the point of view of men planning another war, however, nothing could have been better than this treaty. All sorts of glaring injustices were ingrained in its text. In addition to the Corridor, and the position at Danzig, a bastard State was brought into being, in which Germans, Slovaks, etc., together forming a majority of the country, were put under the tyrannical control of the Czech minority, an element which had thrown in its lot with the bolshevik Jews and fought against the Allies in 1918.

The design of this State was such geographically that it was styled, and correctly styled, a dagger pointed at the heart of Germany. It received the outlandish name of Czechoslovakia.



The whole of the industrial life from the huge Skoda arsenal downwards was controlled by Jewish banking interests ; while we have it on the evidence of Lord Winterton that practically all the land was mortgaged to the Jews (Hansard, October 1936). Under this Messianic domination were enslaved huge sections of populations, belonging to other nations, henceforward condemned to be held down by force until some country should grow strong enough to champion them.

This eventuality was, in my opinion, visualised and actually fostered as we know by the huge loans to Germany from international banking interests. (7) Let it not be forgotten that while Jewish bankers were pouring money into Germany which was rebuilding the Wehrmacht on a bigger scale than ever, a colossal campaign for peace and disarmament was launched in this country. This not only succeeded in substantially disarming us ; but in creating an atmosphere in which Mr. [Stanley] Baldwin [the prime minister 1923-1924, 1924-1929 and 1935-1937: photo] had to admit that he dared not go to the country asking for more armaments, vital though he knew [59] our needs in sea, air and land forces to be.



To anyone who made a study of the personalities and powers behind this so-called peace propaganda, as I did, there can be no doubt as to whence the real drive and finance emanated. To anyone appreciating the attitude of the press at that time, and realising that had this disarmament propaganda been distasteful to those who influence our publicity services, there would have blared forth a torrent of invective against our "peace ballotters" ; there is additional proof that this campaign had the support of international Jewry, as had the rearmament of Germany. But why ? The simple will ask.

The answer is fairly simple, if once the purpose behind the Jewish plan is understood. "Out of the last war we brought the Soviet States of Russia ; out of the next war we will bring the Soviet States of Europe," had been the pronouncement at a world meeting of communist parties about 1932. To make the next war possible, therefore, the see-saw must be balanced again ; German strength built up, and British strength whittled down. Then the Europeans can fight each other to the death of one and complete exhaustion of the other.

A dramatic surprise is in store for both sides. Neither is to be the real winner. The real winner is quite a different army. This army is the one that will receive the real attention. For 25 years it will be built up under conditions of the greatest secrecy. Its leaders will not show their strength until the conflict is well under way.





Not until a critical moment in the war will the European armies be permitted to guess at the existence of the huge factories beyond the Urals, or of the colossal proportions of the heavily mechanised hordes which will then commence to roll westwards over Europe under the red flag of Marxism.

In March 1939 a British guarantee to Poland was given by Mr Chamberlain on the strength of a false report to the effect that a **48-hour ultimatum had been delivered by Germany to the Poles**. This report subsequently turned out to be quite untrue. The guarantee had been given, however, and the decision of peace or war was now no longer in British hands. Jewry had the ball at its feet. Can we doubt but that Poland was encouraged to ignore the German note of March which set forth eminently reasonable suggestions for a peaceful solution of the problem of the Corridor ?

Month after month no reply was vouchsafed by Poland to the German note. Meanwhile, insult and outrage occurred with suspicious frequency all along the German frontier, similar to the technique to which the Jews later introduced the British in Palestine. Day after day the British public was deluged with war propaganda and misrepresentation of the situation. Finally their minds were closed against any further regard to the demands of justice or reason by a new slogan, **"You cannot trust Hitler's word."** With this lie the British public was finally stampeded into throwing all reason and judgement to the winds and accepting at their face value the war propaganda in the press.

This slogan was founded upon a misrepresentation of Hitler's assurance given on more than one occasion after a "putsch" such as that into Sudetenland, that he "intended to make no further demands." The misrepresentation lay in the fact that the press steadily obscured the major fact, that the "demands" to which Hitler referred were all along five-fold in character ; and covered those five areas taken from Germany by a dictated peace in which the population was overwhelmingly German, i.e. Sudetenland, part of Czechoslovakia, parts of Poland, the Corridor and Danzig.

As German troops occupied each successive section, it is, I believe, accurate to say that Hitler declared, that he had no additional demands to make. But here it must be clearly stated in the interests of justice that he never said that this entailed reducing the demands which he had originally very clearly delineated, and repeated on many occasions, [61] namely, the five areas in question.

The British public was deluded by its press into supposing that when Hitler said he had no further demands, that there had never been any statement of his full demands, some of which were still unfulfilled. They were led to believe that Hitler either never had any other demands, or that he had abandoned the rest as soon as he had obtained some of them.

When, therefore, the next instalment was added, the press built on this misunderstanding the fallacy that Hitler's word could not be trusted. Honest dealing needs no such trickery and deception. Such methods are only necessary to bolster up bad or unjust causes. Fortunately we have the calm and dispassionate judgement in this matter by no less a person than the late Lord Lothian, recently British Ambassador to the U.S.A. In his last speech at Chatham House on this subject he remarked: "If the principle of self-determination had been applied in Germany's favour, as it was applied against her, it would have meant the return of Sudetenland, Czechoslovakia, parts of Poland, the Polish Corridor, and Danzig to the Reich."

Here is a very different presentment of the case to the one which was foisted upon the British public in 1939 ; and it is the true one. Small wonder that these facts had to be withheld from the ordinary citizen.

Had the British public realised the truth, that each of these demands of Hitler's rested on a foundation of reasonable fairness, the people of this island would have ruled out any question of war ; and it was war, not truth or justice, upon which international Jewry was resolved.

"PHONEY WAR" ENDED BY CIVILIAN BOMBING

Though a state of war was declared to exist between Britain and Germany in September of 1939, it very soon became apparent that no war was being conducted by Germany against this country. This was no surprise to those who knew the facts of the case. Hitler had again and again made it clear, that he never intended to attack or harm Great Britain or the British Empire. With the Siegfried Line strongly held,



...and no German intention of appearing west of it, stalemate in the west, or the "Phoney War," as it came to be called, must, in the absence of bombing of civilian populations ultimately peter out altogether. No one was quicker to perceive this than the pro-Jewish war mongers ; and they and their friends inside and outside the House of Commons very soon began exerting pressure for this form of bombing of Germany to be started.

On 14th January, 1940, *The Sunday Times* gave prominence to a letter from an anonymous correspondent, who demanded to know why we were not using our air power "to increase the effect of the blockade." "Scrutator," in the same issue, commented on this letter as follows :

"Such an extension of the offensive would inevitably develop into competitive frightfulness. It might be forced on us in reprisals for enemy action, and we must be in a position to make reprisals if necessary. But the bombing of industrial towns,

with its unavoidable loss of life among the civilian population — that is what it would come to — would be inconsistent with the spirit, if not the actual words of the pledges given from [63] both sides at the beginning of the war.”

The above quotation is taken from a book entitled *Bombing Vindicated*, which was published in 1944 by Mr. J. M. Spaight, C.B., C.B.E., who was the principal assistant secretary at the Air Ministry during the war. As its title suggests, this book is an attempt to justify the indiscriminate use of bombers against the civil population. In it Mr. Spaight boasts that this form of bombing “saved civilisation”: and reveals the startling fact that it was Britain that started this ruthless form of war on the very evening of the day on which Mr. Churchill became Prime Minister, May 11th, 1940.



On page 64 of his book, Mr. Spaight gives a further piece of information, which renders this sudden change of British policy all the more astonishing ; for he states that a declaration was made by the British and French Governments on 2nd September, 1939, that “Only strictly military objectives in the narrowest sense of the word would be bombarded.”

This declaration, of course, was made in the days of Mr Chamberlain’s Premiership ; and no single fact perhaps could demarcate and differentiate more clearly the difference in the character and behaviour between Mr. Chamberlain and Mr. Churchill.

On the 27th January, 1940, thirteen days after the letter in *The Sunday Times* already quoted, *The Daily Mail* endorsed editorially the views which had been expressed in that issue by “Scrutator” ; and it devoted a leading article, writes Mr. Spaight, to combating the suggestion of Mr. Amery and others that we should start the bombing of Germany. Sir Duff Cooper [photo] had written on the previous day in the same paper that “there would appear to exist a kind of unwritten truce between the two belligerents, according to the tacit terms of which they do not bomb one another.”



In view of the declaration by Britain and France [64] of September 2nd, 1939, that they would “only bomb military objectives in the narrowest sense of the word,” Sir Duff Cooper’s verbiage about “a kind of unwritten truce,” seems to me gravely obscurantist, if honest.

Inside the House of Commons, the pro-Jewish war mongers were now becoming more and more intransigent ; and more and more set on sabotaging the chances of turning the “phoney war” into a negotiated peace. This in spite of the fact that Britain had nothing to gain by further and total war, and everything to lose. The Jews, of course, had everything to lose by a peace which left the German gold-free money system and Jew-free Government intact, and nothing to gain.

It seemed clearer to me every day that this struggle over the question of civilian bombing was the crux of the whole matter ; and that by this method of warfare alone could the Jews and their allies cut the Gordian knot of stalemate leading to peace ; and probably later on to a joint attack on Jewish Bolshevism in Russia.

Accordingly, on 15th February, 1940, I put down the following question to the Prime Minister :

Captain Ramsay asked the Prime Minister :

“Whether he will assure the House that H.M. Government will not assent to the suggestions made to them, to abandon those principles which led them to denounce the bombing of civilian populations in Spain and elsewhere, and embark upon such a policy themselves?”

Mr Chamberlain himself replied in outspoken terms :

“I am unaware of the suggestions to which my honourable and gallant friend refers. The policy of H.M. Government in this matter was fully stated by myself in answer to a question by the honourable Member for Bishop Auckland (Mr Dalton) on 14th September last. In the course of that answer I said that whatever be the length to which others may go, H.M. Government will never resort to the deliberate attack on women [65] and children, and other civilians, for purposes of mere terrorism. I have nothing to add to that answer.”

Both this question and the reply were evidently distasteful in the extreme to the war mongers, so I resolved to carry the matter a stage further.

On 21st February I put down another question on the subject :

Captain Ramsay asked the Prime Minister:

“Whether he is aware that the Soviet aeroplanes are carrying on a campaign of bombing civil populations, and whether H.M. Government have despatched protests on the subject similar to those despatched during the Civil War in Spain in similar circumstances ?”

Mr. Butler replied for the Prime Minister :

“Yes, Sir. The Soviet Air Forces have pursued a policy of indiscriminate bombing, which cannot be too strongly condemned. H.M. Government have not, however, lodged any protest, since there are unfortunately no grounds for supposing that such action would achieve the result desired.”

There can be little doubt but that these two downright answers crystallised the resolves of the war mongers to get rid of a Prime Minister whose adherence to an upright and humane policy must inevitably frustrate their plans, seeing that Hitler wished no war with Britain, and would therefore never start civilian bombing himself.

The machinery of intrigue and rebellion against Mr. Chamberlain was set in motion. Ultimately he was saddled with the blame for the Norway blunder; and this pretext was used by the Churchillian-cum-Socialist caucus to secure his downfall.

*** This referred to the German conflict in Norway with British and French forces in April, May and June 1940, which ended in a German victory on land, but severe losses at sea.

The heavy cruiser Admiral Hipper discharges German troops at Narvik, a Norwegian port that sent vital iron-ore exports to Germany



It should be remembered in this connection that prior to and during the Norway gamble, Mr. Churchill had been invested with full powers and responsibilities for all Naval Military and Air operations ; and if anyone therefore deserved to be broken over that second Gallipoli (pursued in defiance [66] of high naval authority warning that, without control of the Cattegat and Skaggerack it could not possibly succeed [straits between Denmark, Sweden and Norway which would have stopped the arrival of the German Navy]) it should have been the Minister responsible.



He however was not only unbroken, he was acclaimed Prime Minister.



The man who would tear up the British pledge of September 2nd, 1939, and start bombing the civilians of Germany was the man for the war mongers who now ruled the roost.

And so civilian bombing started on the evening that the architect of the Norwegian fiasco became Prime Minister, viz., May 11th, 1940.

Ridiculous Jewish-owned American newspaper gloats as Churchill takes over; mendacious headlines suggest Germans were being stopped; "Dutch say Nazi Drive Halted" — LOL



[67]

DUNKIRK AND AFTER

Captain Liddell Hart, the eminent military critic [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/B._H._Liddell_Hart], wrote a book on the military events of 1939-45, which was published in 1948, and entitled *The Other Side of the Hill*.

Chapter 10 — which deals with the German invasion of France down to and including Dunkirk — bears the somewhat startling title, “How Hitler beat France and saved Britain.” The reading of the chapter itself will astound all propaganda-blinded people, even more than the title: for the author therein proves that not only did Hitler save this country ; but that this was not the result of some unforeseen factor, or indecision, or folly, but was of set purpose, based on his long enunciated and faithfully maintained principle.

Having given details of how Hitler peremptorily halted the Panzer Corps on the 22nd May, and kept them inactive for the vital few days, till, in fact, the British troops had got away from Dunkirk, Captain Liddell Hart quotes Hitler’s telegram to Von Kleist :

“The armoured divisions are to remain at medium artillery range from Dunkirk. Permission is only granted for reconnaissance and protective movements.”

Von Kleist decided to ignore the order, the author tells us. To quote him again :

“Then came a more emphatic order, that I was to withdraw behind the canal. My tanks were kept halted there for three days.”

In the following words the author reports a conversation which took place on May 24th (i.e. two days later) between Herr Hitler and Marshal von Runstedt, and two key men [68] of his staff :

“He then astonished us by speaking with admiration of the British Empire, of the necessity for its existence, and of the civilisation that Britain had brought into the world ... He compared the British Empire with the Catholic Church — saying they

and offered their lives to defend her ; and exalting those, who proclaimed that her destruction was a necessary preliminary to the success of their ideology, forfeiting her Empire and her economic independence in the process.


THE SHAPE OF THINGS TO COME

If the new-found knowledge of Hitler's anxiety to preserve the British Empire has come as a surprise recently to many people in this country, it must surely have come as a real shock to them to learn that President Roosevelt, on the other hand, was its inveterate enemy ; that he was not only a pro-communist of Jewish origin, but that before he brought America into the war he made it clear that he wished to break up the British Empire.

[dN: His father was descended from the Dutch Rosenfelds and his mother from the Sephardic-Jewish Delanos. The openly homosexual and crypto-Jewish and leftist mayor of Paris, France from 2001-14 was Bertrand Delanoë.]



His son, Colonel Elliot Roosevelt, makes this last point very clear in his book, *As He Saw It*, recently published in the U.S.A.



On pages 19 to 28 of this book, Colonel Roosevelt tells us that in August 1941, his Father, having given out to the American people that he was going off on a fishing trip, actually proceeded to a meeting with Mr. Churchill on board a warship in Argentia Bay [JdN: in Newfoundland, later made part of Canada].



Lord Beaverbrook, Sir Edward Cadogan, and Lord Cherwell (Professor Lindeman of doubtful race and nationality), and Mr. Averil Harriman were present, he says. On page 35 he quotes his father as saying, "After the war ... there will have to be the greatest possible freedom of trade ... no artificial barriers." Mr. Churchill referred to the British Empire Trade Agreements, and Mr. Roosevelt replied, "Yes. Those Empire Trade Agreements are a case in point. It's because of them that the peoples of India, Africa, and of all the Colonial Near East are still as backward as they are ... I can't believe that we can fight a war against Fascist slavery, and at the same time not work to free people all over the world from a backward colonial policy." "The peace," said father firmly, "cannot include any continued despotism."

This insolent talk against the British Empire became so pronounced that on page 31 Colonel Roosevelt reports Mr. Churchill as saying, **"Mr. President, I believe you are trying to do away with the British Empire."** This comment was very near the mark, as the President had been talking about India, Burma, Egypt, Palestine, Indo-China, Indonesia, and all the African Colonies having to be "freed."

On page 115, the Colonel reports his Father as saying, "Don't think for a moment, Elliot, that Americans would be dying in the Pacific tonight if it hadn't been for the short-sighted greed of the French, the British and the Dutch. Shall we allow them to do it all over again?"

These were not at all the reasons, however, given for the war, and for which Americans thought they were dying ; nor indeed does the President make any reference as to the pretexts given to his countrymen for the war.

The British, dying in greater numbers, have on the contrary been told that they are dying to defend their Empire from Hitler's wicked plans. Little do they suspect, that it is their so-called ally who plans its destruction.

"When we've won the war," the President is reported as saying on page 116, "I will see that the U.S.A. is not wheedled into any plans that will aid or abet the British Empire in its Imperialist ambitions."

And a few pages later:

"I have tried to make it clear to Winston and the others ... that they must never get the idea that we are in it just to help them hang on to the archaic and medieval Empire ideas."

Those who sup with the devil need a long spoon. Mr. Churchill, the self-styled "constant architect of the Jews' future," now found himself playing second fiddle to an even [72] more trusted architect ; so eminent, in fact, that he did not make any silly pretensions of respect for the British Empire. The earlier Moses, Karl Marx, had denounced the Empire long ago, and in the year 1941, it was only foolish opponents of Judaism and Marxism, like Herr Hitler, who were anxious to stand by that Empire, because they recognised it as a bulwark of Christian civilisation.

Although, as we have seen, Mr. Churchill is shown in this book as getting a little petulant from time to time over the President's pronouncements regarding the liquidation of the Empire, this did not prevent him from announcing himself later to the House of Commons as "Roosevelt's ardent lieutenant."

Under what special circumstances the King's Prime Minister could be an ardent lieutenant of a Republican President, whose design it was to destroy that Monarch's Empire, Mr. Churchill did not explain ; nor has he yet done so. On another occasion, Mr. Churchill made an equally cryptic remark: "It is no part of my duties," he assured the House of Commons, "to preside over the liquidation of the British Empire."

No, indeed ! Nor was it any part of his duties, on being told that it was to be liquidated, to pronounce himself to be the ardent lieutenant of the would-be liquidator. Nor, we might add, when Minister of Defence, with Admiralty and other codes at his disposal, was it any part of his duties, as Mr. Chamberlain's lieutenant, albeit not very ardent, to conduct a personal correspondence of the nature which he did conduct with President Roosevelt by means of the top secret code of the American Foreign Office.

PRESIDENT ROOSEVELT'S ROLE

In my Statement to the Speaker and Members of the House of Commons concerning my detention (see Appendix 1) I summed up at the end of Part 1, the considerations which led me to inspect the secret U.S. Embassy papers at Mr. Tyler Kent's flat in the last weeks of Mr. Chamberlain's Premiership.


The first two of these six considerations were as follows :


1 . Together with many members of both Houses of Parliament, I was fully aware that among the agencies both here and abroad, which had been actively engaged in promoting bad feeling between Great Britain and Germany, organised Jewry, for obvious reasons, had played a leading part.

2. I knew the U.S.A. to be the headquarters of Jewry, and therefore the real, though not apparent, centre of their activities.

It was not until 1948 that corroborative evidence of the foregoing from unimpeachable American sources came into my hands ; but when it did come, however, the authentic and fully documented character of the work left nothing to be desired. I refer to the book by Professor Charles Beard entitled *President Roosevelt and the Coming of the War 1941*, which was published by the Yale University Press in April 1948.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Charles_A_Beard





This book, which comes with all the authority of its eminent author, is nothing less than a tremendous indictment of President Roosevelt on three main issues.

Firstly, that he got himself elected on the strength of repeated promises, to the effect that he would keep the U.S.A. [74] out of any European war ; secondly, that he incessantly and flagrantly disregarded not only his promises to the American people, but all the laws of neutrality ; thirdly, that at a predetermined moment he deliberately converted this cold war, which he had been conducting, into a shooting war, by sending the Japanese an ultimatum, which no one could imagine could result in anything but immediate war.

From many instances given relating to the first issue, I quote one :

"At Boston on October 30th, 1940, he (F.D.R.) was even more emphatic, for there he declared :

'I have said this before, but I shall say it again and again and again: Your boys are not going to be sent into any foreign wars' ;



FDR's Campaign Promise



Tom Richey

and on December 29th :

'You can therefore nail any talk about sending armies to Europe as deliberate untruth.'

Professor Beard goes on to prove that while Mr. Roosevelt was making these speeches, he was treating international laws of neutrality with total disregard, and in the interests only of those who were fighting the Jews' battles. The two main forms of non-shooting intervention were the conveying of U.S. ships of ammunition and supplies for the allies, and the Lend Lease Act.




Whatever be our sentiments in appreciating the help of the U.S. arsenals and navy under these two cold war decisions of Mr Roosevelt, no one can pretend that they were either in accordance with his pledges to the American people, or the fundamentals of international law regarding neutrality.

Some very plain speaking went on in Congress over these acts of the President's.

Representative U[sher] Burdick, of North Dakota, said :

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Usher_L._Burdick


The great poet and Roosevelt foe Ezra Pound in 1958 with Usher Burdick



"All our aid to Britain may mean anything ... To sell her supplies is one thing ... to sell her supplies and convoy [75] them is another thing, to have actual war is the last thing — the last thing is inevitable from the first thing !"

Representative Hugh Peterson, of Georgia, said :

<http://www.georgiaencyclopedia.org/articles/government-politics/hugh-peterson-sr-1898-1961>





"It is a measure of aggressive war."

Representative Dewey Short, of Missouri, said :

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dewey_Jackson_Short

"You cannot be half-way in war, and half-way out of war ... You can dress this measure up all you please (Lend, Lease), you can sprinkle it with perfume and pour powder on it ... but it is still foul and stinks to high heaven."

Representative Philip Bennett, of Missouri, declared :

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Philip_Allen_Bennett

"This conclusion is inescapable, that the President is reconciled to active military intervention if such intervention is needed to defeat the Axis in this war. But our boys are not going to be sent abroad, says the President. Nonsense, Mr Chairman ; even now their berths are being built in our transport ships. Even now the tags for identification of the dead and wounded are being printed by the firm of William C. Ballantyne and Co., of Washington."

Professor Beard proves the third point at great length, showing how at the appropriate moment President Roosevelt forced the Japanese into war by an ultimatum demanding instant compliance with terms, which could never have been accepted by any country.

"The memorandum which Secretary [of State Cordell] Hull, with the approval of President Roosevelt, handed to Japan on 26th November, 1941 ... amounted to the maximum terms of an American policy for the whole Orient."



17 Nov 1941, Washington, DC, USA — Saburo Kurusu, (right) Japan's special envoy for a "final attempt at peace". Secretary of State Cordell Hull and Kichisaburo Nomura. (left) Japanese ambassador to the United States, arrive at the White House, November 17th, for an hour and ten minute conference with President Roosevelt. The President told Japan's trouble-shooter that he hoped that a major conflict in the Pacific could be avoided.

...writes Professor Beard, and goes on to say :

"It required no profound knowledge of Japanese history, institutions, and psychology to warrant ... first that no Japanese Cabinet liberal or reactionary,' could have accepted the provisions."

and again later :

"The Japanese agent regarded the American memorandum as a kind of ultimatum. This much at least Secretary Hull knew on November 26th."

Thus was the period of maximum intervention short of a shooting war terminated, and a save-face forged for Roosevelt to ship U.S. boys overseas without apparently breaking the spirit of his many promises.

As the war proceeded the real policy and sympathies of the President became more and more apparent. His deception of the British and their Allies was no less flagrant than his deception of the American people.

As Professor Beard points out on page 576 :

"The noble principles of the Four Freedoms, and the Atlantic Charter were for practical purposes discarded in the settlements, which accompanied the progress and followed the conclusion of the war. To the validity of this statement the treatment of the people of Esthonia, Lithuania, Poland, Roumania, Yugoslavia, China, Indo-China, Indonesia, Italy, Germany and other places of the earth bear witness."

Some great driving force was clearly at work to induce a President of the United States so to act. We have seen from a previous chapter that it was not the preservation of the British Empire, nor the French Empire, nor the Dutch, that swayed the President.

On the contrary, he had advised his ardent lieutenant, Mr. Churchill, at an early stage in the cold war that these must be liquidated. It was not Europe, nor the countries of Europe, nor their liberties, nor rights under the Atlantic Charter of Four Freedoms which weighed with him. We know now that the British and American armies were actually halted by General Ike Eisenhower under Mr. Roosevelt's rulings at the Yalta Conference, so that the Red Army of Jewish Bolshevism might overflow half Europe and occupy Berlin.

To quote again from Professor Beard :

"As a consequence of the war called necessary to overthrow Hitler's despotism,' another despotism was raised to a higher pitch of power."

In conclusion, Professor Beard condenses the many indictments of the President set forth in his book, into 12 major counts, and declares :

"If these precedents are to stand unimpeached, and to provide sanctions for the continued conduct of America affairs — the Constitution may be nullified by the President and officers who have taken the oath and are under moral obligation to uphold it. For limited government under supreme law they may substitute personal and arbitrary government — the first principle of the totalitarian system against which it has been alleged that World War II was waged — while giving lip service to the principle of constitutional government."

When we reflect upon the astounding contents of Professor Beard's book, and consider them in conjunction with the revelations in Colonel Roosevelt's *As He Saw It*, the question arises : whom, and which interests did President Roosevelt not betray?

To this query I can only see one answer, namely, those people and their interests who planned from the start the use of United States arsenals and Forces to prosecute a war which would annihilate a Europe which had freed itself from Jewish gold and revolutionary control : people who planned to dissolve the British Empire, to forge chains of unrepayable debt, wherewith to coerce Britain to this end ; and to enable the Soviets to "bstride Europe like a colossus," (8) in other words, International Jewry.




8 These very words were used by General Smuts, who added words to the effect that he welcomed such a prospect. It should be remembered that General Smuts was formerly chief legal adviser to the Zionist Organisation in S. Africa.

*** General Jan Smuts

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jan_Smuts

Commonwealth Prime Ministers' Conference, London, UK in 1944 (L-R): W.L. Mackenzie King (Canada); **General Jan Smuts (South Africa; standing and in his British Empire field marshal uniform)**; Winston Churchill (United Kingdom); Peter Fraser (New Zealand); and John Curtin (Australia)



Jan Smuts was an Afrikaner lawyer and Boer military leader in the Second Anglo-Boer War (1899-1902) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Second_Boer_War down in South Africa, the struggle for supremacy between the quasi-Dutch-

speaking Boers and the British Empire. It was won by the British Empire amidst many atrocities that happened during that terrible war to the shock of the whole world, especially over the tactics used by the British generals, especially Lord Kitchener. These included starving Boer women and children in basically starvation camps.



Wikipedia:

As Boer farms were destroyed by the British under their “**Scorched Earth**” policy—including the systematic destruction of crops and slaughtering of livestock, the burning down of homesteads and farms, and the poisoning of wells and salting of fields—to prevent the Boers from resupplying from a home base many tens of thousands of women and children were forcibly moved into the concentration camps. This was not the first appearance of internment camps. The Spanish had used internment in Cuba in the **Ten Years’ War**. But the Boer War concentration camp system was the first time that a whole nation had been systematically targeted, and the first in which some whole regions had been depopulated.

Eventually, there were a total of 45 tented camps built for Boer internees and 64 for black Africans. Of the 28,000 Boer men captured as **prisoners of war**, 25,630 were sent overseas. The vast majority of Boers remaining in the local camps were women and children.

Over 26,000 women and children were to perish in these concentration camps. ^[60]

Lizzie Van Zyl



The war cost \$200 billion in today's money and resulted in the British Conservative Party's landslide defeat in 1906. There was public outrage at the use of scorched earth tactics – the forced clearance of women and children, the destruction of the countryside, the burning of Boer homesteads and poisoning of wells, for example – and the horrific conditions and mass deaths in the concentration camps.

The word "concentration camp" actually came out of this conflict. It was an institution created by Lord Kitchener to just put women and children in camps and let them starve to death as a terroristic way **to punish them for having husbands, brothers and sons in the Boer army — as if the Boers were some kinds of criminals, traitors and rebels for resisting the British invasion.**

These death camps shocked the whole world, including the British public after a special commission headed by a British woman, Millicent Garrett Fawcett [photo], a crusader for British women and children, revealed the true horrors.

(The merciless Lord Kitchener was killed, btw, in 1916 when his warship was sunk by a German U-boat.)

For joining the British Empire after defeat, a power which had declared war on, and massacred his Boer people in sheer greed for the gold and diamonds that had been discovered by the Oppenheimer/Rothschild Jews under their land, Jan Smuts was seen as an absolute traitor by the Boers. It is thus no surprise that this lawyer became the attorney for the Zionist Jews of South Africa.

REGULATION 18B

On the 23rd May, 1940, within the first fortnight of Mr Churchill's Premiership, many hundreds of British subjects, a large proportion of them ex-Servicemen, were suddenly arrested and thrown into prison under Regulation 18B.

For some days the entire press had been conducting a whirlwind campaign, in rising crescendo, against a supposed "fifth column" in this country, which was declared to be waiting to assist the Germans when they landed.

How untrue this campaign was, is proved by the fact that our most competent Intelligence Service never produced the flimsiest evidence of any such conspiracy, nor evidence of any plan or order relating to it, nor the complicity in such an undertaking of any single man arrested. Had such evidence been forthcoming, those implicated would undoubtedly have been charged and tried, and



very properly so. But there was not one case of a man arrested under 18B being a British subject, who was so charged.

Four charges were actually framed against one lady, the wife of a distinguished Admiral, [Wilmot Nicholson https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wilmot_Nicholson], Mrs [Christabel Sybil Caroline] Nicholson. She was tried by a Judge and jury, and acquitted on all counts. This however, did not prevent her being arrested as she left the Law Courts, acquitted, and being thrown into Holloway Prison [photo] under Regulation 18B, where she remained for years.



Regulation 18B was originally introduced to deal with certain members of the I.R.A., who were committing a number of senseless minor outrages in London. Without this Regulation, no liege of His Majesty in the United Kingdom [79] could be arrested and held in prison on suspicion. This practice had long been abandoned in this country, except in short periods of grave proven conspiracy, and on those occasions *Habeas Corpus* was always suspended.

18B enabled the medieval process of arrest and imprisonment on suspicion to be revived without the suspension of *Habeas Corpus*. It was, in fact, a return to the system of *Lettres de Cachet*, by which persons in pre-Revolutionary France were consigned to the Bastille. Here, it should be remembered, that those persons enjoyed full social intercourse with their families, and were allowed their own servants, plate, linen, food and drink whilst in prison ; a very different treatment to that meted out to persons held under 18B, whose treatment for some time was little different from ordinary criminals, and, in fact, worse than any remand prisoner.

These I.R.A. outrages were so fatuous in themselves and so apparently meaningless, at a time when there were no sharp differences between this country and the Irish Free State, that I commenced making a number of inquiries.

I was not surprised to discover at length, that **special members of the I.R.A.** had been enrolled for the committing of these outrages ; and that they were **practically all Communists**. I had it on excellent authority that the Left Book Club of Dublin had been actively concerned in the matter ; and finally the names of 22 of these men were put into my hands ; and again I was informed on excellent authority that they were all Communists.

Immediately on receipt of this information I put down a question to the Home Secretary, and offered to supply the necessary information if the matter were taken up. Nothing came of my representations.

From these Communist-inspired outrages, however, there resulted Regulation 18B. Though the I.R.A. were pleaded as an excuse to the House for a Regulation, hardly any of their members were ever arrested under it ; but in due course it was employed to arrest and hold for 4 or 5 years, uncharged, very many hundreds of British subjects, whose one

common denominator was that they opposed the Jewish power over this country in general ; and its exertion to thrust her into a war in purely Jewish interests in particular.

Now Communism is Jewish-controlled. If Marxist Jewry needed a device for securing the assent of parliament to a regulation like 18B,



what simpler method could there be to achieve this object, without arousing suspicion as to the real ulterior motive, than arranging for a few communist members of the I.R.A. to plant bombs in the cloakrooms of London stations ?

Everyone is supposed to be entitled to their opinion in this country ; and, furthermore, where we cannot supply absolute proof, we can say with the Home Secretary, as I do here, that I have "reasonable cause to believe" that this is the real story behind Regulation 18B's enactment.

Prime Minister Winston Churchill (1940-45) and Home Secretary John Anderson (September 1939-October 1940:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_Anderson,_1st_Viscount_Waverley) leave 10 Downing Street in May 1940



John Anderson, later Viscount Waverley






When the Clause was first introduced into the House, the original wording laid it down quite clearly that the Home Secretary should have the power to detain persons of British birth and origin "If he was satisfied that" such detention was necessary. This terminology was, at least, crystal clear. No other opinion or check upon the Home Secretary's personal and absolute discretion was envisaged: a return, in fact and in very essence, to the *Lettres de Cachet* and the Star Chamber.

The House of Commons refused absolutely to accept such a clause, or hand away its powers of supervision, and its responsibilities as the guardian of the rights and liberties of the citizen to any individual, be he Cabinet Minister or not.

The Government accordingly had to withdraw the offending sentence ; and brought forward a second draft for approval some days later. In this new draft, drawn up, as Government spokesmen laboured to explain, in accordance [81] with the express wishes of the House, the necessary safeguard from arbitrary prevailed, and executive tyranny had been introduced.

For the words "Home Secretary is satisfied that," had been substituted, "Has reasonable cause to believe that." The Government spokesmen explained at length on this occasion that this wording gave the required safeguard. Members of Parliament were led to believe that their wishes had prevailed, and that they were to be the judges of what would or would not be "Reasonable Cause" for continued detention (as was proved in subsequent debates), and a rather uneasy House passed the Clause in this form, and on that understanding.

British House of Commons in 1940 before its destruction by a German bomb in 1941



Two years later, when the Counsel of an 18B prisoner argued in Court along these lines, and demanded some sort of ventilation of his client's case before Members of Parliament or a Court, no less a person than the Attorney-General himself pleaded on the Government's behalf, that the words "Has reasonable cause to believe that," meant precisely the same as "Is satisfied that."

There the matter had to rest as far as the Law Courts were concerned, though it was the subject of the most scathing comment of a most eminent Law Lord.

I myself was arrested under this Regulation on 23rd May, 1940, and thrown into Brixton Prison, where I remained in a cell until 26th September, 1944, without any charge being preferred against me, receiving merely a curt notification from the Home Office on the latter date that the order for my detention had been "revoked." A paper of "Particulars" alleged as the reasons for my detention was supplied to me soon after my arrest.



I replied to them during a day's interrogation by the so-called Advisory Committee, before which body I could call no witnesses, did not know who were my accusers, or the accusations they had made, and was not allowed the assistance of a lawyer. These particulars, together with my [82] detailed reply to each, were set out in part II of a Statement I supplied later to the Speaker and Members of the House of Commons ; and will be found in the Appendix of this book.

They were based upon the untrue assertion that my anti-Communist attitude was bogus, and a cloak for disloyal activities. How untrue this slander was can be easily proved from my previous ten years' record of unceasing attacks on Communism, both by questions and speeches in the House of Commons and outside.

Brixton Prison today



In 1940



WHO DARES?

On the morning following my release from Prison, I proceeded to the House of Commons at my usual hour of 10.15 a.m. ; an action which appeared to cause no little surprise. It was not long before Jews and their friends were on my trail, and that of the Right Club. A string of provocative questions soon appeared on the Order Paper ; but, like Gallio who, when the Jews took Sosthenes, and beat him before the Judgement seat, "cared for none of these things," I gave no sign of interest.

[dN: this is a reference to Acts 18:17 in the New Testament regarding a Roman proconsul, Gallio, who was uninterested in a controversy the Jews wanted to stir up against a Christian. "The [Jewish] crowd then grabbed Sosthenes, the leader of the synagogue [a Jew suspected of converting to Christianity], and beat him right there in the courtroom. But Gallio paid no attention."]

The reporters in the Press Galleries were then turned on, to endeavour to extract from me some, at least, of the names in 'the Red Book' of the Right Club membership.

Now the names in the Red Book of members of the Right Club were, as the newspapers have shrieked aloud, kept strictly private, with the sole object of preventing the names becoming known to the Jews.



The sole reason for this privacy was the expressed wish of the members themselves. To me, personally, the keeping of the names secret was only a disadvantage. It facilitated misrepresentation of every kind by my enemies ; the publication of the names would have been of great assistance to me in every way. The sole reason for this stipulation on joining by so many members was the well-grounded fear of Jewish retaliation of a serious nature.

I remember in particular the conversation on this subject with one of these reporters from the Press Gallery of the House of Commons. He was an engaging young man, and particularly importunate. Would I not let him have just a [84] few of the names?

"Supposing," I said to him, "your name had been amongst those in the Red Book ; and supposing that in disregard of my promise to you not to reveal it, I proceeded to communicate it to the press ; and supply that definite evidence that you were a member of a society to fight against Jewish domination over Britain: you would not keep your job with your paper for six months."

"I shouldn't keep it for six minutes," was the prompt reply.

"Exactly," I answered. "Now you can see why I can't give you the name of even one member of the Right Club from the Red Book." You yourself confirm their worst fears."

Many hundreds of poor fellows find themselves in such a position today ; indeed, hundreds is merely a matter of expression. The real number must be prodigious. How many, one might ask, can afford to run the risk to their livelihood, which is involved in letting it be known that they are aware of the Jewish grip and prepared to oppose it.

Even the wealthiest and most influential magnates of the land dare not brave the wrath of organised Jewry as the story regarding the *Daily Mail* controlling shares on pp. 6 and 7 of my statement to the Speaker shows. (See Appendix I.)



Not only in Britain has this been the case, but perhaps even more noticeably in the U.S.A., as the diaries of the late Mr James Forrestal prove.

The Forrestal Diaries, published by the Viking Press, New York, 1951, only reach me as this book goes to press.

<https://www.amazon.com/Forrestal-Diaries-James/dp/0670324183>

James Vincent Forrestal (February 15, 1892 – May 22, 1949) was the last Cabinet-level United States Secretary of the Navy and the first United States Secretary of Defense. His diaries from 1944 to March 1949 were serialised in the New York Herald Tribune in 1951, and published as a 581 page book The Forrestal Diaries, edited by Walter Millis in October 1951. They were censored prior to publication.

Coming from a man of high integrity, who was U.S. Navy Under Secretary from 1940, and Secretary for Defence from 1947 until his resignation and suspicious death a few days later in March 1949, they are of the utmost significance.



The most important revelation therein is dated the 27th December, 1945 (pages 121 and 122):

"Played golf today with Joe Kennedy (Joseph P. Kennedy, who was Roosevelt's Ambassador to Great Britain in the years immediately before the war).

I asked him about his conversations with Roosevelt and Neville Chamberlain from 1938 on.

*(l-to-r) **Joseph Kennedy, Junior** (killed on 12 August 1944 when his bomber spontaneously exploded in mid-air; Colonel Elliott Roosevelt, the president's son, was, by just an amazing coincidence, piloting the warplane directly behind his; nota bene that Joe Junior, as British biographer Nigel Hamilton's book JFK -Reckless Youth proves, was as antisemitic at Harvard and anti-interventionist as his father); **Joseph Kennedy, Senior**, the US ambassador to Britain 1937-40; and **John Kennedy** (whose PT boat was cut in half by the Japanese, with two sailors lost, on 2 August 1943: he was murdered by the Jews after he blocked the Israeli atomic bomb in Dallas, Texas on 22 November 1963:<https://www.johndenugent.com/the-jewish-war-on-the-kennedys/>)*



He said Chamberlain's position in 1938 was that England had nothing with which to fight and that she could not risk going to war with Hitler.

Kennedy's view : That Hitler would have fought Russia without any later conflict with England if it had not been for Bullitt's [William C. Bullitt, a half-Jew and US Ambassador to the USSR and then to France] (9) urging on Roosevelt in the summer of 1939 that the Germans must be faced down about Poland ; neither the French nor the British would have made Poland a cause of war if it had not been for the constant needling from Washington.

William C. Bullitt




Wikipedia: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/William_Christian_Bullitt,_Jr.

Early years

Bullitt was born to a prominent, well-to-do Philadelphia family, the son of **Louisa Gross (Horwitz)**^[4] and William Christian Bullitt, Sr. His grandfather was **John Christian Bullitt**, founder of the law firm today known as **Drinker Biddle & Reath**.^[5] He graduated from **Yale University** in 1913, after having been voted "most brilliant" in his class. He briefly attended **Harvard Law School** but dropped out on the death of his father in 1914. At Yale he was a member of **Scroll and Key**.

The Scroll and Key building at Yale; it is considered the most powerful of the secret societies at this university, more even than Skull and Bones.



Bullitt, he said, kept telling Roosevelt that the Germans wouldn't fight, Kennedy that they would, and that they would overrun Europe. Chamberlain, he said, stated that America and the world Jews had forced England into the war. [Author's italics]

If Mr. Forrestal's information regarding the impulses behind the recent war needed any confirmation, they have already had it from the outspoken statements of Mr. Oswald Pirow, former South African Defence Minister, who told the Associated Press on the 14th

January, 1952, in Johannesburg that

“Chamberlain had told him that he was under great pressure from World Jewry not to accommodate Hitler.”

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Oswald_Pirow

Sendoff by Luftwaffe troops of Pirow (civilian in the center) after a meeting in Berlin



A second most important revelation in *The Forrestal Diaries* concerns Zionism.



It is clear from the entries, that by December, 1947, Mr. Forrestal was becoming greatly concerned by the intervention of the Zionists into American politics.

*** *Two meanings of the word "Zionism"*

Zionism supposedly means only the innocent desire by Jews to have their own homeland in Palestine and be safe there from persecution, be left alone, and leave the world alone too, in other words, be off by themselves, peacefully living inside their own country. That is one meaning of the word Zionism, and is the version the media propagates.

But the real Zionism, Talmudic Zionism, means the Jews use Palestine as the base and headquarters of a world empire ruling over humanity. They acquire nuclear weapons, overthrow gentile governments, and make Israel into the center of a prison planet, with the wardens being the Jews.

The Talmud



That is a completely different, and far more sinister meaning of the word Zionism.

He records conversations with Mr. Byrnes and Senator Vandenberg, Governor Dewey and others, in attempts to lift the Palestine question out of party politics. From this time on he would seem to have made continuous efforts with that end in view. The *Diary* records on the 3rd February 1948 (pages 362 and 363) [86] :

"Visit today from Franklin D. Roosevelt Jr. [photo], who came in with strong advocacy of a Jewish State in Palestine: that we should support the United Nations 'decision'..."

FDR Junior was married five times, and the third time was to a Felicia Schiff Warburg Sarnoff.

[\[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Franklin_Delano_Roosevelt_Jr.\]](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Franklin_Delano_Roosevelt_Jr.)



I pointed out that the United Nations had as yet taken no 'decision', that it was only a recommendation of the General Assembly and that I thought the methods that had been used by people outside of the Executive branch of the Government [+ the White House] to bring coercion and duress on other nations in the General Assembly bordered closely onto scandal ...

I said I was merely directing my efforts to lifting the question out of politics, that is, to have the two parties [+ Democrats and Republicans] agree that they would not compete for votes on this issue. [= compete as political parties in pandering to the Jewish lobby, offering the Zionists more and more, even whatever they demanded, such as letting the Jews throw the Palestinians out of their homeland and basically giving them whatever they wanted.]

He [FDR Junior] said this was impossible, that the nation was too far committed, and that, furthermore, the Democratic Party would be bound to lose, and the Republicans gain, by such an agreement.

I said I was forced to repeat to him what I had said to Senator McGrath in response to the latter's observation that our failure to go along with the Zionists might lose the states of New York, Pennsylvania and California — that I thought it was

about time that somebody should pay some consideration to whether we might not lose the United States.”

After a short note by the Editor of the *Diaries* the entry for the 3rd Feb. 1948, continues (page 364) :

“Had lunch with Mr. Bernard M. Baruch.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bernard_Baruch

Churchill and Baruch



After lunch raised the same question with him. He took the line of advising me not to be active in this particular matter, and that I was already identified, to a degree that was not in my own interest, with opposition to the United Nations policy on Palestine.”

JdN: Like most Big Jews, his look was arrogant and he was filthy-rich. He mentored Dwight Eisenhower into hatred of the Germans (his own ancestry) and watched over his career, going from officer to general to Supreme Allied Commander in Europe, then President of Columbia University and finally the two-term President of the United States.



It was about this time that a campaign of unparalleled slander and calumny was launched in the United States press and periodicals against Mr. Forrestal. So greatly did this appear to have affected him that in March 1949, he resigned from the U.S. Defence Secretaryship ; and on the 22nd of that month was found dead as a result of a fall from a very high window.

The entrance building of the US Navy's National Naval Medical Center in Bethesda, Maryland. The "despondent" Forrestal "fell" out of the 16th floor window with a bathrobe sash around his neck. Even the Wikipedia article, under the heading "Assassination Theories," strongly implied Zionists killed him for opposing the creation of Israel.



*** Wiki on Forresterl

Secretary of Defense

In 1947, **President Harry S. Truman** appointed him the first **United States Secretary of Defense**. [] During private cabinet meetings with President Truman in 1946 and 1947, Forrester had argued against **partition of Palestine** on the grounds it would infuriate **Arab countries** who supplied oil needed for the **U.S. economy** and **national defense**.

Instead, Forrester favored a federalization plan for Palestine. Outside the White House, response to Truman's continued silence on the issue was immediate. President Truman received threats to cut off **campaign contributions** from wealthy donors, as well as **hate mail**, including a letter accusing him of "preferring **fascist** and Arab elements to the democracy-loving **Jewish people** of Palestine."^[1]

Appalled by the intensity and implied threats over the partition question, Forrester appealed to Truman in two separate cabinet meetings not to base his decision on partition, whatever the outcome, on the basis of political pressure.^[2]

In his only known public comment on the issue, Forrester stated to **J. Howard McGrath**, **Senator from Rhode Island**:

McGrath, here with President Truman, was US Attorney for Rhode Island 1934-40; governor of Rhode Island 1941-45; US senator 1947-49; and US Attorney General 1949-52



- o o *"...no group in this country should be permitted to influence our policy to the point it could endanger our national security."^[13]*

*Forrestal's statement soon earned him the active enmity of some congressmen and supporters of **Israel**. Forrestal was also an early target of the **muckraking** columnist and broadcaster **Drew Pearson**, an opponent of **foreign policies** hostile to the Soviet Union, who began to regularly call for Forrestal's removal after President Truman named him Secretary of Defense.^[14]*

*Pearson told his own **protege**, **Jack Anderson**, that he believed Forrestal was "the most dangerous man in America" and claimed that if he was not removed from office, he would "cause another world war."*

EPILOGUE

I shall always be grateful to the many Members who made my return to the House very much easier than it might have been, by their immediate greetings and friendly attitude. Many, I fear, whose actions in the Chamber itself and outside were detected or reported to the press representatives, found themselves the victims of a vendetta inside their constituencies and in the Press on that specific account.

When we reflect upon these bloody happenings from the time of King Charles I to our own day,




....we can at long last find only one cause for satisfaction, if such a word can be in any way appropriate. It is that for the first time we can now **trace the underlying influences** which explain these hideous disfigurations in European history.

In the light of present-day knowledge, we can now recognise and understand the true significance of these terrible happenings. **Instead of mere disconnected occurrences**, we can now discern the **merciless working of a satanic plan** ; and seeing and understanding, we are in a position to take steps in the future to safeguard all those values, which we love and stand for ; and which that plan clearly seeks to destroy.

We can at last begin to oppose the planners and operators of that plan, knowing about it and their technique, which till now have been known to them alone. In other words, being fore-warned, it is our fault if we are not fore-armed.

Let us not forget such words as those of the Jew Marcus Eli Ravage, who wrote in the *Century Magazine* U.S.A. in January 1928 :



https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Marcus_Eli_Ravage



“We have stood back of, not only the last war, but all your wars ; and not only the Russian, but all of your revolutions worthy of mention in your history.”

[Source: <http://www.unz.org/Pub/Century-1928jan-00346>]

A REAL CASE AGAINST THE JEWS

One of Them Points Out the Full Depth of Their Guilt

MARCUS ELI RAVAGE

Your loose, contradictory charges against us are not a patch on the blackness of our proved historic offense. You accuse us of stirring up revolution in Moscow. Suppose we admit the charge. What of it? Compared with what Paul the Jew of Tarsus accomplished in Rome, the Russian upheaval is a mere street brawl.

You make much noise and fury about the undue Jewish influence in your theaters and movie palaces. Very good; granted your complaint is well-founded. But what is that compared to our staggering influence

You have not begun to appreciate the real depth of our guilt. We *are* intruders. We *are* disturbers. We *are* subverters. We have taken your natural world, your ideals, your destiny, and played havoc with them. We have been at the bottom not merely of the latest great war but of nearly all your wars, not only of the Russian but of every other major revolution in your history. We have brought discord and confusion and frustration into your personal and public life. We are still doing it. No one can tell how long we shall go on doing it.

Nor should we forget those of Professor Harold Laski, writing in the *New Statesman and Nation* on 11th January, 1942 :

“For this war is in its essence merely an immense revolution in which the war of 1914, the Russian Revolution, and the counter revolutions on the Continent are earlier phases.”

Nor the warning from that eminent Jewish American Attorney, publisher and reporter, Henry Klein, issued only last year :

“The Protocols is the plan by which a handful of Jews, who compose the Sanhedrin, aim to rule the world by first destroying Christian civilisation.”

[...] Not only are the Protocols genuine, in my opinion, but they have been almost entirely fulfilled.”


They have indeed been largely fulfilled ; no small measure of Jewish thanks being due to Mr. Roosevelt and his “ardent lieutenant,” the self-styled “architect of the Jewish future.”



In the process, however, Britain and her Empire and, worse still, her good name and honour have been brought down to the dust.

As Professor Beard wrote:

“The noble principles of the Four Freedoms and the Atlantic Charter were for practical purposes discarded in the settlements which accompanied the progress and followed the conclusion of the war. In the validity of this statement the treatment of the people of Esthonia, Lithuania, Poland, Roumania, Yugoslavia, China, Indo-China, Indonesia, Italy, Germany and other places of the earth bear witness.”



There appeared recently in the press the cry of Mrs. Chiang Kai Shek calling Britain a "moral weakling" (in reference to China). "Britain has bartered the soul of a nation for a few pieces of silver", she is reported as saying ; and further : "One day these pieces of silver will

bear [89] interests in British blood, toil, sweat and tears on the battleground of freedom". It might be General Sikorski himself speaking, might it not ?

*** Polish general Wladyslaw Sikorski

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Władysław_Sikorski



General Sikorski was an outstanding Polish war hero, prime minister, defense minister and anti-communist who headed the Polish government in exile during WWII, because Poland was then, of course, German-occupied. He was against giving the eastern half of Poland away to Joseph Stalin and his Soviet Union. He became more and more "inconvenient" to certain people in Britain and the United States, and his American-built plane, a B-24 "Liberator," conveniently crashed on take-off from the British colony of Gibraltar in 1943.

We must remember that Britain supported Poland and supposedly went to war in 1939 to save or free Poland. And here they are murdering the leader of Free Poland to appease the Soviet Union, according to that theory, which is held by many people.

In the same paper I saw that Mr. Jackson Martindell, president of the American Institute of Management, has declared that "an Englishman's word is no longer his bond". How often have I heard this from Arab sources since 1939 ? "I hate to say this," Mr. Martindell continued, "but Britain is becoming poor morally as well as economically."

*** Britain promises Palestine to two different peoples

JdN: Of course, the Arab complaint is that in WWI, British Army colonel T.E. Lawrence, or "Lawrence of Arabia," as he was called,



...had urged the Arabs to rise up against their Turkish masters and become free Arabic countries. (In WWI, England and Turkey were enemies.)

The Ottoman Empire in 1914 and the Arabic successor states created after it was broken up: Syria, Lebanon, Palestine, Jordan, Saudi Arabia, Iraq, Qatar, etc.



Well, then, the Brits said the Arabs of Palestine would rule Palestine, their own country.

But the Jews had gotten a promise from the British Empire to give said Palestine *to the Jews for a Jewish national homeland*.

That was in "The Balfour Declaration."

So in effect the British promised Palestine BOTH to the Arabs AND to the Jews.

So the Arabs felt, of course, very betrayed. They had fought alongside Britain to overthrow the Turks....

Peter O'Toole plays Lawrence next to actor Omar Sharif in the 1962 film "Lawrence of Arabia"





And then, once they had done so, the British had come in, creating colonies, Palestine, Jordan and Iraq; the French had taken Syria and Lebanon; and so, basically, Arab freedom had never happened.

It was just that new masters came along. So what Captain Ramsay is referring to here is that the Arabs learned NOT "to trust the word of a British gentleman."

From Poland to Palestine and on to China these words are re-echoed, and be it said, reiterated by the Jew-wise section of this country for so many years.

The reason is not far to seek. No man can serve two masters [a quote from Jesus Christ], more especially when the principles and interests of these two masters are as widely divergent as are those of Britain and her Empire, and Jewry and their Empire, the U.S.S.R.

Ever since the fall of Mr. Chamberlain's Government, the interests of the Jewish Empire have been advanced as prodigiously as those of Britain and her Empire have been eclipsed.

Stranger than all this — should any dare to state the truth in plain terms — the only response is an accusation of anti-Semitism.

As Mr. Douglas Reed has clearly shown, the term "anti-Semitism" is meaningless rubbish — and as he suggests it might as well be called "anti-Semolina." The Arabs are Semites, and no so-called "anti-Semite" is anti-Arab.

*** on Douglas Reed:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Douglas_Reed



Douglas Launcelot Reed was a top reporter for *The Times* of London, one of the world's great newspapers at the time, and in the 1950s he began criticizing Zionism, and the behavior of the Israelis and what was happening in the Middle East, and so he was fired by *The Times*, though then one of their most famous reporters.

He then wrote a very famous and excellent book, called *The Controversy of Zion* (one of the first books I read in my own full awakening in 1978). It is about the battle between good and evil within the Jewish soul, and he went back thousands of years to show what was happening within this people.


So Mr. Douglas Reed has clearly shown the truth, especially in his *The Controversy of Zion*, a book I recommend everyone to read.

As Wikipedia says:

Reed died in Durban, South Africa in

1976.

Two years later The Controversy of Zion was finally brought to print, the manuscript having lain on top of a wardrobe in Reed's home for over two decades.



It is not even correct to say that he is anti-Jew. On the contrary, he knows better than the uninformed that a fair proportion of Jews are not engaged in this conspiracy. The only correct term for the mis-called "anti-Semitic" is "Jew-wise." It is indeed the only fair and honest term.

The phrase "anti-Semite" is merely a propaganda word used to stampede the unthinking public into dismissing the whole subject from their minds without examination : so long as that is tolerated these evils will not only continue, but grow worse.

The "Jew-wise" know that we have in Britain a Jewish *Imperium in Imperio* [JdN: a kingdom within a kingdom, or in Latin, literally, "an empire with an empire"], which, in spite of all protestations and camouflage, is Jewish first and foremost, and in complete unison with the remainder of World Jewry. If any doubt this they need only read *Unity in Dispersion*, issued in 1948 by the World Jewish Congress, which proclaims Jewry to be one nation.

Not all Jews here wish to be railroaded into this narrow social tyranny ; but **unless this country affords them some way of escape they dare not take the risks — very grave risks — of defying it** : and so they perforce co-operate to some degree.

Even worse, certain Gentiles with no good excuse support this united force, which is in turn used to influence or control our political parties, our home and foreign policies, press and public life.

This unholy united front must be exposed and frustrated. One step towards this objective would seem to be firstly an enactment to prevent Gentile Esaus from lending their hands for the carrying out of orders uttered by the voice of Jewish Jacobs.

*** Jacob and Esau

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jacob_and_Esau

This is a reference to the Bible story of Jacob and Esau. The Jews descend from Jacob, and Esau refers to the peoples of the Gentile world. Jacob ruthlessly tricks his brother to get their father's blessing and his brother Esau's inheritance.

As Wiki says (admits):

■

Jacob's vocation as Isaac's legitimate heir in the continued founding of the Jewish people is reaffirmed.

Daniel J. Elazar suggests that the Bible indicates that a bright, calculating person who, at times, is less than honest, is preferable as a founder over a bluff, impulsive one who cannot make discriminating choices.^[4]

Wiki also speaks of Jacob's "deceptive behavior".....

Another, the detachment from the Jewish United Front of Jews, who do not wish to subscribe to the dictates of the World Jewish Congress.

First and foremost however is the need to inform people of good will as to the truth of this matter, particularly in regard to the real anatomy, aims, and methods of the Marxist enemy.

It is to that end, that I humbly offer the contents of this book to all who are determined to fight against Communism.



Statement by Capt. Ramsey from Brixton Prison


**to the Speaker and Members of
Parliament concerning his detention under
Paragraph 18B of the Defence Regulations.**

All the particulars alleged as grounds for my detention are based on charges that my attitude and activities in opposition to Communism, Bolshevism, and the policy of organised Jewry were not genuine, but merely a camouflage for anti-British designs.

In the following memorandum, which could be greatly expanded, I have given a minimum of facts, which prove that not only was my attitude genuine, open, and unvarying during the whole of my time in the House of Commons, but that in the course of my researches I had accumulated numerous and conclusive facts compelling such an attitude, and leading logically to the formation of the Right Club, an essentially patriotic organisation.

During the whole of my time as M.P. (since 1931) I have kept up an open and unremitting attack on Bolshevism and its allies. Indeed, I had already started this opposition long before I became an M.P.

Lazar Moiseyevich Kaganovich (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lazar_Kaganovich): architect in the 1930s of the Ukrainian famine and the Great Terror



The following survey will show this ; and also the eventual formation of the Right Club as the logical outcome of my work.

This work falls into three phases.

During the first, dating from soon after the Russian Revolution till about 1935, I supposed the powers behind Bolshevism to be Russian.

In the second (1935-38) I appreciated that they were International.

By the third phase, I realised them to be Jewish.

PHASE I.

It was always a mystery to me in Phase I why Russians spent so much time and money on revolutionary activities in Britain.

My first active step was to speak in the election made famous by the publication in the *Daily Mail* of the letter written by Zinoviev alias Apfelbaum, calling for revolution in Britain. (I spoke against Bolshevism, and in the Northwich division.)

Daily **Mail**
.com

A leftist "exposé" of the Daily Mail, whose founder and second publisher were in fact genuine nationalists.



I organised parades of sandwich-men at this time to expose the Bolshevik guilt in Spain, assisted a paper called *The Free Press*, and did what propaganda I could. Some eighty or ninety M.P.s subscribed at one time or another to these efforts.

In September 1937 I accepted the Chairmanship of the United Christian Front Committee, on behalf of Sir Henry Lunn. Thereafter many thousands of letters were sent out over my signature to leading people in the Kingdom, appraising them of the true facts of the war in Spain, and urging Christians of all communities to join in combating the Godless Red Terror, that threatened Spain then, and thereafter all Europe, Britain included.

A number of patriotic societies now began to co-operate regularly with me in this work against Bolshevism, including the National Citizens' Union, the British Empire League, the Liberty Restoration League, and the Economic League. We took to meeting regularly in a Committee Room of the House of Commons.

In May 1936, when I set out to oppose the entry into this country of agents of the Comintern for attending the so-called Godless Congress, we were joined by the British Bible Union, the Order of the Child, and the British Israel World Federation. From information given me by these societies, I realized that the previous Godless Congress, held at Prague, had brought under unified control all the National Free-Thinker societies, who were now under the authority of the Militant Godless of Russia, and were therefore a subtle and potent weapon for Bolshevik propaganda.

At our meetings to co-ordinate opposition, we all agreed that while it was perhaps the right of British men and women to hold a Congress on any subject, this liberty should not be construed into licence for international revolutionaries to develop their plans for the destruction of the religious, social and public life of our country.

On the 28th June, therefore, I introduced a Bill entitled the ALIENS' RESTRICTION (BLASPHEMY) BILL, to prevent aliens from attending this Congress, or making it the occasion for the distribution of their blasphemous literature.

The Bill received a first reading by 165 votes to 134. In the No Lobby were Messrs. Rothschild, G.R. Strauss, T. Levy, A.M. Lyons, Sir F. Harris, D.N. Pritt, W. Gallacher, Dr. Haden Guest and Dr. Summerskill.

In the autumn of 1938 I was made acquainted with the fact that the power behind World Revolution was not just a vague body of internationalists, but organized World Jewry. The first document so convincing me was actually a British Government White Paper, of whose existence I had not been previously aware.

This quoted verbatim an extract from a

report received by Mr. Balfour on September 19th, 1918, from Mr. Oudendyke, the Netherlands Minister in Petrograd, who was at that time in charge of British interests there

, as follows :

"The danger is now so great, that I feel it my duty to call the attention of the British Government and all other Governments to the fact that if an end is not put to Bolshevism at once the civilization of the whole world will be threatened. This is not an exaggeration, but a matter of fact ... I consider that the immediate suppression of Bolshevism is the greatest issue before the world, not even excluding the war which is still raging, and unless as above stated Bolshevism is nipped in the bud immediately it is bound to spread over Europe and the whole world in one form or another, as it is organized and worked by Jews, who have no nationality and whose one object is to destroy for their own ends the existing order of things.

The only manner [96] in which this danger can be averted would be collective action on the part of all the Powers."

Almost as remarkable as the above quotation was the fact brought to my notice simultaneously, namely, that this White Paper had

been immediately withdrawn, and replaced by an abridged edition, from which these vital passages had been eliminated. I was shown the two White papers — the original and the abridged issue, side by side.

The second document which came to my notice at this time was the booklet entitled, *The Rulers of Russia*, written by Dr. Dennis Fahey, C.S.S.P. [an important Catholic priest in Ireland], and bearing the imprimatur [permission of the Catholic church] of the Archbishop of Dublin, dated the 26th March, 1938.

*** *Father Dennis Fahey*

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Denis_Fahey

Fahey was a fierce enemy of communism, freemasonry and rabbinic Judaism.

Interestingly, as a boy he became close and lifelong friends with the future first president of Ireland, Eamon de Valera, while playing rugby.

Fahey also warned that Britain and America were being gradually "sovietized." Fahey was strongly opposed to the Irish Republican Army, which he claimed was a communist organisation, and there is, in fact, a definite and strong marxist influence within the IRA today, which actually got all sorts of training from the Soviets in cold war times and is in fact in favor of unlimited Third-World immigration into Ireland.



His ideas had a huge effect on a famous radio priest of the 1930s, Father Charles Coughlin, who was listened to by sometimes up to one third of the American people during the Great Depression. He became a famous anti-Jewish, anti-Wall Street, anti-Federal Reserve and anti-Roosevelt speaker on NBC radio nationwide from his location in Royal Oak, Michigan, near Detroit and spoke out against the war and Roosevelt until the Catholic Church ordered the priest to stop his radio broadcasts and the US Post Office refused to deliver his newsletter to his readers.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Charles_Coughlin

In the opening sentence of this pamphlet Dr Fahey writes :


"In this pamphlet I present to my readers a number of serious documents which go to show that the real forces behind Bolshevism are Jewish forces ; and that Bolshevism is really an instrument in the hands of the Jews for the establishment of their future Messianic kingdom."

Dr. Fahey then adduces an interesting volume of evidence. On page 1 he gives also the following passage by Mr. Hilaire Belloc, taken from the latter's *Weekly*, dated 4th February, 1937 :

*** Hilaire Belloc was a powerful, Catholic, Anglo-French writer and sometime Member of Parliament

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hilaire_Belloc





“As for anyone who does not know that the present revolutionary Bolshevik movement in Russia is Jewish, I can only say that he must be a man who is taken in by the suppression of our deplorable Press.”

Other authorities quoted in the pamphlet include Dr. Homer, D. Sc., Count Leon de Poncins in his *Contre-Révolution*, and evidence given on 12th February, 1919, before a Committee of the United States Senate by the Rev. George A. Simons, Superintendent of the Methodist Episcopal Church in Petrograd from 1907 to October 6th 1918.

The Rev. Mr. Simons stated on this occasion with regard to the Bolshevik Government in Petrograd :

“In December 1918 ... under the Presidency of a man known as Apfelbaum (Zinoviev) ... out of 388 members, only 16 happened to be real Russians, and all the rest (with the exception of one man, who is a Negro from North America) were Jews ... and 265 of these Jews belonging to this Northern Commune Government that is sitting in the old Smolny Institute come from the Lower East Side of New York — 265 of them.”

On page 8 Dr Fahey quotes figures showing that in the year 1936 :

“The Central Committee of the Communist Party in Moscow, the very centre of International Communism, consisted of 59 members, of whom 56 were Jews, and the other three were married to Jewesses ...”

“Stalin, present ruler of Russia, is not a Jew, but he took as his second wife the twenty-one year old sister of the Jew L.M. Kaganovitch, his right-hand man, who has been spoken of as his probable or possible successor. Stalin’s every movement is made under Jewish eyes.”

Nadezhda Kaganovich, the second wife of Stalin, shot herself in 1932 after an argument with her husband.



Their daughter, Svetlana, famously defected to the West in 1967.



In addition to these documents there now reached me a quantity of evidence concerning Jewish activities in Great Britain in the shape of subversive organizations of every description, anti-religious, anti-moral, revolutionary, and those working to establish the Jewish

system of financial and industrial monopoly.

Thus I became finally convinced of the fact that the Russian and Spanish revolutions, and the subversive societies in Britain, were part and parcel of the one and the same Plan, secretly operated and controlled by World Jewry, exactly on the lines laid down in the *Protocols of the Elders of Zion*, filed in the British Museum in 1906 (which had been reproduced soon after the last war [WWI] by *The Morning Post*, and from which this newspaper never [financially] recovered).


*** *The Morning Post* 1772-1937

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Morning_Post

The Cause of World Unrest[\[edit\]](#)

Main article: *The Protocols of the Elders of Zion*

The paper gained notoriety in 1920 when it ran a series of 17 or 18 articles based on the *Protocols of the Elders of Zion*, text previously published in Russian by *Sergei Nilus* as the last chapter, Chapter XII, of *Velikoe v malom...* (*The Great in the Small: The Coming of the Anti-Christ and the Rule of Satan on Earth*).



It is still widely held that *Victor E. Marsden*, the paper's *Russian* desk correspondent, used the copy of this rare book retained by the *British Museum* to translate this last chapter for the paper. (Some have questioned this because the *anonymous* 1923 publication crediting Marsden as the translator in the *pamphlet's preface* occurred three years after Marsden's death on October 28, 1920.)

These articles were subsequently collected and formed the basis of the book, *The Cause of World Unrest*, to which half the paper's staff contributed, mainly *George Shanks*; also *Nesta H. Webster*. But main credit for the compilation was given to the paper's editor, *Gwynne*. The book further denounced *international Jewry* and cultural and social dissolution among the *Christian Nations*.

These *Protocols* are no forgery, and I and others could supply evidence to that effect that would convince any impartial tribunal.

At the next meeting of the patriotic and Christian societies, I felt in duty bound to broach the Jewish question ; and realized, very soon, that there had come a parting of the ways. With very few exceptions our co-operation ceased.

*** *Christianity and Judeophilia*

This is part of the reason why **some** antisemitic forces have come to view Christianity as an enemy of the truth about the Jews, because most Christians, particularly Protestants, *study* the [Jewish] Old Testament — Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, Joseph in Egypt, and all these Bible stories — all this has a sort of pro-Jewish effect, especially on Protestant Christians.

Christianity as presented today has many Christians feeling, "Well, the Jews used to be **God's Chosen People**, maybe they still sort-of are, or maybe some day they will accept Jesus Christ as their Lord and Savior."

So they have sort of a soft spot in their heart for the Jews, though they defamed, tortured and murdered their Lord.


Jesus yells at Jewish leaders (John 9:44) "You are from your father, the Devil!"



We must not allow this miracle man,
this fraud...



The Talmud is violently anti-Jesus, as this Princeton professor has proven: <https://www.amazon.com/Jesus-Talmud-Peter-Schäfer/dp/0691143188> Particularly instructive verses portray Jesus as a bastard (Sanhedrin 67a, Shabbat 104b), revel in His execution (Sanhedrin 43a), and depict Him as burning in hell in hot excrement (Gittin 57a).



High Priest Caiaphas gloats





What Captain Ramsay is saying here is that he went to these Christian societies that were very anti-communist, of course, but once he told them "Look, **what's behind this communism is the Jews,**" then, as he says, "I realized very soon that there had come a parting of the ways and with very few exceptions, our cooperation ceased."

Most Christians just would not go along with anything anti-Jewish, or, as Ramsay puts it, even "jewish-wise."

Moses Pijade, Yugoslavian Communist leader



I realized that if anything was to be done, **some special group would have to be formed** which, while retaining the essential characteristics of the former one, would take up the task of opposing and exposing the Jewish menace.

It was **then** that the idea of the Right Club originated, though the actual formation did not actually come about till some months later, in May 1939.

[JdN: This was, of course, a mere three months before the Second World War broke out.]

From the autumn of 1938 onwards, I spent many hours a week talking to back-benchers and members of the Government alike on these subjects.

[JdN: A back-benchers is a member of the UK parliament who does not have any official position in the government or in one of the opposing parties.]



The parliament members who are government ministers, the "front-benchers," sit in the front benches and near the table. In the parliamentary system, all government members are also members of Parliament, unlike the US system, where neither the President nor his Cabinet Secretaries serve in the Congress. The US system clearly separates the executive and legislative branches.

So Captain Ramsay, as an MP, would speak with both the Conservative back-benchers like himself and with government ministers, the front-benchers, such as his friend, Prime Minister Neville Chamberlain.]

The very magnitude of the issues involved put many off. One particular rejoinder typifies in my recollection this sort of attitude :

"Well, that is all very disturbing, awful, in fact: but what is one to do about it ? I shall go off now and try and forget all about it as soon as possible."

About the end of 1938, news was brought to me that the control shares of the *Daily Mail* [newspaper] were for sale.

[dN: As stated earlier, the Daily Mail was founded by genuine patriots, was a major newspaper and still is, and published then and now many interesting truths of a patriotic, nationalistic, right-wing and conservative bent. It won't touch the Jewish issue, but it will touch a lot of other things. Buying control shares meant buying enough stock in the company that owned this newspaper to hire a new editor and reporters and determine the paper's slant.]

Knowing that a severe advertisement boycott had been put in operation against the paper following upon its having printed two or three articles giving what in Internationalist eyes had been a pro-Franco view of the Spanish Civil War (in reality, it was just the truth), the news was no great surprise to me.

Could I find a buyer ? I decided to approach a certain very wealthy and patriotic peer [dN: a member of the nobility and the House of Lords], the head of a great business. A mutual friend arranged an interview.

On introduction I gave a survey of the activities and power of Organized Jewry in general, and of their secret publicity control in Britain in particular, as I saw it. When I ended after some 70 minutes, general concurrence in my views was expressed.

[dN: The stakes here for the future of the world were huge, since if the number-one conservative newspaper in Britain came out against a war

with Germany, it probably would not have happened, especially not with the Conservative Party then being in power. But if Jews bought the paper, it would redefine British patriotism as declaring war on a dangerous and evil Third Reich.]

Thereupon the mutual friend and I tried to persuade our hearer to buy the said shares and “tear the gag off the conspiracy of silence.”

“I daren’t,” he replied, “they would bring me to a crust of bread.

If it was only myself, I wouldn’t mind ; I’d fight them.

But many of my shares are held by the widow and the orphan, and for their sakes I must refuse.”

On our expressing astonishment that Jewry could inflict such crushing retaliation on a man of his financial strength and industrial power, and so conspicuous a national figure, he gave us details of just such retaliation directed against him by Organized Jewry some years previously.

He had refused to comply with some demands they had made of him affecting his works. After a final warning, which he ignored, a **world boycott** had been started against him, which had become effective in 24 hours, wherever he had agents or offices. Fires and strikes also mysteriously occurred.

The resulting losses had finally compelled him to give in. Within 24 hours the boycott was lifted all over the world.

The consistent mis-reporting of important features in the Spanish Civil War had deeply impressed many M.P.s. They felt that a bias so extreme, so universal, and so consistent, always against [General] Franco, indicated the existence of some deliberate plan, and though unwilling to agree my thesis, that the Jews were operating this control by various means, and that the whole affair was part of their World Plan, nevertheless many felt that something was very wrong somewhere.

In the course of these conversations I obtained the support of Members of all parties to the Bill I was preparing in this connection.

On December 13th, 1938, I introduced the Bill entitled COMPANIES ACT AMENDMENT BILL, which made it compulsory for shares in Newspapers and News Agencies to be held in the actual names of the holders, instead of the names of nominees as is done now in the majority of cases.

[dN: In other words, the real owners of the stock under Ramsay’s Bill would be made public, not some agent. This would show who really controls the newspaper and thus has the power to fire the editor, or the reporters, and change everything, if they want.]

The Bill received a First Reading by 151 votes to 104. In the Aye Lobby were Members of all parties, including 13 Right Hon. Gentlemen (8 of these Socialists).

[dN: “Right Honourable” refers to high government officials, mayors of major cities, admirals, and to members of the House of Lords: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Right_Honourable]

In the No Lobby were messrs. Rothschild, Schuster, Shinwell, Cazalet, Gallacher, Sir A. Sinclair, Gluckstein, and Mr. Samuel Storey opposed, also blocked the Bill ; and seemed suitable for that role.

I now took the decision to proceed at once with the formation of a group similar in character to the group of representatives of Christian and patriotic societies, which I had worked with up to the emergence of the Jewish problem ; but this time a group which would place opposition to that menace in the **forefront** of its activities.

The group was finally inaugurated in May 1939, and was the Right Club. Simultaneously, a Committee was formed with the dual purpose of co-ordinating the work of all the Patriotic Societies referred to above, and of being a recruiting ground for the Right Club. This group was called “the Co-ordinating Committee.”

Mr. Cross was the Secretary, and the late Duke of Wellington, President of the Liberty Restoration League, was the Chairman at most of the few meetings we held. The first object of the Right Club was to enlighten the Tory Party *[dN: a slang term for the Conservatives, like saying in the U.S. “the GOP” for the Republican Party]* and clear it from any Jewish control.

Organized Jewry was now clearly out for another World War. The failure of their International Brigade in Spain, and the growing exposure of themselves, and the consequent risk of total collapse of their plans rendered immediate war, from their point of view, imperative.

In July 1939 I had an interview with the Prime Minister [Neville Chamberlain].

I dealt with the Russian Revolution, and the part Jewry had played in it ; and with the Spanish Revolution, prepared and carried out on similar lines by much the same people ; with the subversive societies in Britain, and the Press and news control existing in this country. I finally drew the Prime Minister's attention to the underground work that was going on with the object of overthrowing his peace policy and himself, and precipitating another war.

Mr. Chamberlain considered that charges of so grave and far reaching a character would require very substantial documentary proof. I decided to collect documentary proof which would make it possible for action to be taken.

[JdN: What Ramsay is conveying here a message about a conundrum, which is **why Sir Neville Chamberlain stayed on as prime minister when the war he hated began in September, 1939.**

He pursued the war in a very lackluster and unenthusiastic manner. One would think that since he was against the war he should have just quit.

Chamberlain was no angel, but he was basically a good guy. He WAS against the war.

And his logic in staying on as PM was:

"Okay, there is going to be a war. I cannot stop the Jews from having their war, but I'm the moderate guy here, and maybe something is going to change. Maybe the public will turn against the war, or get tired of it, realise it's stupid, and then I will be right there, because I will still be the prime minister, and then the Germans will make us a generous offer.

I'll accept it, and go to the British people and say, "We've had our little war, it was pointless, both sides want to go home, and I recommend that we make peace with the Germans. The real enemy is the communists — the Soviet Union."

That is why Chamberlain stayed around as the prime minister in a War Cabinet even though he did not believe in the war — because he was hoping that he could end it in the future.

But had he stepped down in September 1939 when the war began, his fellow Conservative, his fellow Tory, the infamous Winston Churchill would have come in as PM, and he was a real war fanatic, a war monger. He would want a war until Hitler Germany was completely destroyed, just a pile of smoking ashes.

Nuremberg 1945



And Churchill would not care if the British Empire were bankrupted and millions of Britons died — because he was hired by the Jews. So Neville Chamberlain was staying in power mainly to keep Winston Churchill OUT.

And Ramsay, trying to somehow be supportive to Chamberlain, decided the Right Club should go low-profile after only a few months of operation.]

The outbreak of war enabled the Jews to give their activities the cloak of patriotism. Their press power enabled them to portray those opposing their designs and exposing them as pro-Nazi, and disloyal to Britain. The difficulty I was faced with was that while I was in duty bound to warn the country against the consequences of a policy influenced by Organized Jewry and opposed to British interests, I, at the same time, did not want to create difficulties for Mr. Chamberlain.

It was decided, therefore, that the Right Club should close down for the duration [JdN: duration of the war, which they hoped would be short].

The spirit of the Club naturally led the younger members to join the [Armed] Services, wherein they have served with distinction on most fronts. It was in keeping with the same spirit that others, not so engaged, should continue to fight the internal [= Jewish and masonic] enemy, no less formidable than the Axis Powers and in a way more dangerous, owing to his secret methods and the fact that he can work from within as well as from without.

To this end, therefore, I and others in an individual capacity disseminated on occasion some leaflets of mine called Do You Know ? and Have You Noticed ? ; my verses beginning "Land of dope and Jewry", and some anti-Jewish stickers. This was with the idea of educating the public sufficiently to maintain the atmosphere in which the "phoney" war, as it was called, might be converted into an honourable peace.

It was certainly not defeatist, as Jewish propaganda tried to make out. It was not we of the Right Club who were holding back from the fighting Services in this war, any more than in the last ; quite the contrary.

I was determined to make further efforts to convince Mr. Chamberlain, and even perhaps the 1922 Committee, of the truth of my case, and thus avert total war, and commenced reinforcing the documentary evidence already in my possession.

By January 1940, I had details of nearly thirty subversive societies working on various revolutionary and corrosive lines, and had completed a very large chart, showing the principal members of each.


Six names stood out clearly, as a sort of interlocking directorate. They were Prof. H. Laski,

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Harold_Laski



Mr. Israel Moses Sieff, Prof. Herman Levy, Mr. Victor Gollancz, Mr. D.N. Pritt, M.P., and Mr. G.R. Strauss, M.P.

Victor Gollancz, leftwing publisher



In February 1940, on my arrival in London, I was handed the literature of a new group, who were advocating FEDERAL UNION. The list of supporters' names was startling. It might have been copied from the chart I had just completed. There could be no mistake as to the source of this scheme. Later, when this group became active, I put down the following questions :

Captain Ramsay asked the Prime Minister whether he could assure the House that the creation of a Federal Union of the European States is not one of the war aims of H.M.'s Government.

Mr. Butler (on May 9th) gave a non-committal reply. To this I asked the following supplementary :

Captain Ramsay : Is my Right Hon. Friend aware that this plan, if adopted, will arouse hostility against us in almost the whole of Europe, who look upon it as the setting up of a Judeo-Masonic super-State ?

[Note. — The Protocols of the Elders of Zion make it clear the World Jewry and Orient Masonry will set up just such a regime after the Gentile States have been reduced by War and Revolutions to hewers of wood and drawers of water.]

Mr. Butler : I would rather leave my Hon. Friend's interpretation of this plan to him.

A virulent press campaign was now in full swing to suppress "Anti-Semitic" views and activities by declaring that "Anti-Semitism" was pro-Nazi.

Fearing less the Home Secretary might be inclined into this direction, which was a false direction, I asked him on May 9th, 1940 :

Captain Ramsay : Whether he will give an assurance that care will be taken both in the administration of the present regulations, and in framing revised ones, that a distinction is made between anti-semitism and pro-Nazism ?

Sir J. Anderson : I hope that any restrictive measures applied to organized propaganda may in practice be confined to such propaganda as is calculated to impede the war effort ; and from that point of view I cannot recognise as relevant the distinction which My Hon. and Gallant Friend seeks to draw.

Captain Ramsay : While thanking by Right Hon. Friend for his reply, in view of the fact that he seems somewhat confused on

this point, will he assure the House that he refuses to be stamped into indentifying the two things by a ramp in our Jewridden Press ?

Sir J. Anderson : There is no question of my being stamped into anything.

It was in the last weeks of Mr. Chamberlain's Premiership that I was enabled to look through some of the U.S. Embassy papers at Mr. Kent's flat.

47 Gloucester Place, London, apartment of Tyler Kent (location of the three dots)



This then was the position, and these were the considerations which led me to inspect them.

1 . Together with many members of both Houses of Parliament, I was fully aware that among the agencies here and abroad, which had been actively engaged in promoting bad feeling between Great Britain and Germany, Organized Jewry, for obvious reasons, had played a leading part.

2. I knew the U.S.A. to be the headquarters of Jewry, and therefore the real, though not apparent, centre of their activity.


Back of the US one-dollar bill until 1935




Redesign of the bill and of the Great Seal of the United States in 1935 under Roosevelt (his initials of approval are seen lower right, and his order that the pyramid and eagle logos be switched)



Approved but use "The Great Seal" ^Δ "of the United States" [✈]
~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~ JWR
Aron R. [unclear] [unclear] [unclear] [unclear] [unclear] [unclear] [unclear] [unclear]





3. I was aware that Federal union was the complement in international affairs of the scheme of Political and Economic Planning (P.E.P.). [JdN: This was a socialist plan to have the government take over all the major industries and large businesses of the country, and this is exactly what began to happen after World War Two. The British people voted out Churchill; they never liked the war, which he promoted and ran, and now it was over. So in came the Labor Party, which was the socialists, and they began taking over private businesses.]

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Israel_Sieff,_Baron_Sieff

The Chairman of P.E.P. is Mr. Israel Moses Sieff, who is also Vice-Chairman of the Zionist Federation and Grand Commander of the Order of Maccabeans, designed to bring about Bolshevism by stealth in the sphere of industry and commerce [JdN: in other words, gradual communism, while not even using the word "communism" at all], and that it must be regarded as the Super-State, which is one of the principal objectives of International Jewry.



by Baron Studios, 5 x 4 inch film negative, 23 February 1966

4. I recognized that plans for establishing Marxist Socialism under Jewish control in this country were far advanced. As to their intentions, there could be no doubt.

5. I knew that the technique of International Jewry is always to plan the overthrow at critical junctures of any national leader who seriously opposes some essential part of their designs, as for instance Mr. Chamberlain had done by adhering to his policy of pacification, and that in this case Mr. Chamberlain's fall would precipitate total war.

First British War Cabinet in WWII: (L-to-r, rear) unknown official; Winston Churchill, First Lord of the Admiralty [half-Jew]; Leslie Hore-Belisha, [Jewish] Secretary of State for War; and War Cabinet minister Lord Maurice Hankey [who later opposed the Nuremberg "War Crimes " Trials]. Front Row, Lord Halifax, Foreign Secretary; Sir John Simon, Chancellor of the Exchequer; Neville Chamberlain, Prime Minister; Sir Samuel Hoare, Home Secretary; and Lord Chakfield, Co-ordination of Defence.



6. I remembered that Mr. Lloyd George had said in the House of Commons, that if we were let in for a war over Poland without the help of Russia, we should be walking into a trap. We walked into that trap.

Lloyd George with Adolf Hitler in Berchtesgaden, Bavaria on 4 September 1936; behind them is German foreign minister Joachim von Ribbentrop



Further information as to its origin, design, and ultimate objective, would have strengthened Mr. Chamberlain's hand, and would have enabled him to take the appropriate counter-measures.

As a Member of Parliament, still loyal to Mr. Chamberlain, considered it my duty to investigate.

About the 9th or 10th of May I went to Scotland for a fortnight's rest, having seen only a part of the documents, and intending to resume my investigations on my return.

Before I could conclude them, however, Mr. Chamberlain had fallen from office, and I was arrested a few days later on the steps of my house, when I returned to London on the 23rd May 1940.

I am appending the Particulars, alleged as Reasons for my detention, and my comments thereon.

(Signed) ARCHIBALD RAMSAY
Brixton Prison, August 23rd, 1943.

PARTICULARS ALLEGED AS REASONS FOR MY DETENTION.

There follows here a copy of the Particulars, which were alleged to be reasonable grounds for my detention for the last three years. [JdN: Everything he wrote and proved here failed to get him out; he spent another year, adding up to a total of 4.5 years, in the horrible Brixton Prison.]

It will be seen that the whole basis of every one of them is, that my opposition to Communism, Bolshevism and World Jewry was but a sham ; a disloyal ruse, in fact, adopted, to mask anti-British activities in relation to the war.

Anyone conversant with doings in the House of Commons will be more or less familiar with the anti-Bolshevik activities that I have kept up openly and consistently all through my time in the House since 1931 ; and which activities became anti- Jewish in 1938, when I realized that Bolshevism was Jewish and an integral part of their World Plan.

The framer of these Particulars brushes aside the whole of that eight years' record, and proceeds to fabricate and reiterate some new

and disloyal purpose, for which slanders he offers no shred of substantiation.

(Continued from <https://www.johndenugent.com/the-nameless-war-by-captain-archibald-maule-ramsay-3-of-4/>)

Home Office.

Advisory Committee

Defence Regulation 18B)

London, W. 1.

Telephone : REGent 4784

Ref.: R4

24th June, 1940.

REASONS FOR ORDER MADE UNDER DEFENCE

REGULATION 18B IN THE CASE OF CAPTAIN

ARCHIBALD MAULE RAMSAY, M.P.

The Order under Defence Regulation 18B was made against Captain Archibald Maule Ramsay, M.P., because the Secretary of State had *reasonable cause to believe* that the said Captain Archibald Maule RAMSAY, M.P., had been recently concerned in acts prejudicial to the public safety or the defence of the Realm, or in the preparation or instigation of such acts, and that by reason thereof it as necessary to exercise control over him.

[JdN: Notice that just one person, the Home Secretary, having "reasonable cause to believe," and under that clause, Captain Ramsay was imprisoned FOUR AND A HALF YEARS WITHOUT TRIAL, LAWYER, OR CHARGES. Then he was just abruptly let go after that – after 4.5 years of his life being taken away.]

PARTICULARS *[JdN: the details of the accusation by the government against him]*

The said Captain Archibald Maule RAMSAY, M.P.

(i) In or about the month of May 1939, formed an Organisation under the name of the "Right Club," which ostensibly directed its activities against Jews, Freemasons and Communists. This Organisation, in reality, was designed secretly to spread subversive and defeatist views among the civil population of Great Britain, to obstruct the war effort of Great Britain, and thus to endanger public safety and the defence of the Realm.

Reply.

The formation of the Right Club, as the attached memorandum shows, was the logical outcome of many years of work against bolshevism, carried on both inside and outside the House of Commons, and well-known to all my political colleagues since 1931.

The main object of the Right Club was to oppose and expose the activities of Organized Jewry, in the light of the evidence which came into my possession in 1938, some of which is given in the memorandum.

Our first objective was to clear the Conservative Party of Jewish influence, and the character of our membership and meetings were strictly in keeping with this objective. There were no other and secret purposes..

Our hope was to avert war, which we considered to be mainly the work of Jewish intrigue centred in New York. Later, I and many others hoped to turn the "phoney" war into, not total war, but an honourable, negotiated peace.

It is difficult to imagine a body of persons less capable of being "subversive," as this Particular suggests, and coupling this charge

with the charge of being “defeatist” places this whole Particular in the realm of the ludicrous.

PARTICULAR (ii).

“In furtherance of the real objects of the Organization the said RAMSAY allowed the names of the members of the Organization to be known only to himself, and took great precautions that the register of members did not leave his possession or control ; and stated that he had taken steps to mislead the Police and the Intelligence Branch of the War Office as to the real activities of the Organization. These steps were taken to prevent the real purposes of the Organization being known.”

Reply.

The real objects of the Right Club being the declared objects, and there being no other objects whatsoever, the latter part of this Particular is pure fabrication.

There was only one respect in which our aims differed from the Police and M.I. [*JdN: "Military Intelligence"*], namely, **the Jewish question.**



Neither Police nor M.I. recognised the Jewish menace. Neither had any machinery for dealing with it, or for withholding information from Jewish members of their personnel.

If names of members of the Club had been placed at the disposal of either of these departments, they would have been seized upon by the Jewish members therein, and reported on to the very quarters from which many members wished them to be withheld.

[*JdN: So he is saying 'We kept the list secret because we were afraid of retaliation by Jews. It is not that we had secret purposes; we just did not want to be ruined, have the Jews put us out of business, make us homeless, cause our businesses to collapse and our livelihoods to be gone, and to fall into poverty and despair and have our families out on the street, our wives and kids sitting on the sidewalk. THAT is why the names of the Right Club members were kept secret in that so-called Red Book, that locked book.'*]

PARTICULAR (iii).

“Frequently expressed sympathy with the policy and aims of the German Government ; and at times expressed his desire to co-operate with the German Government in the conquest and subsequent government of Great Britain.”

Reply.

The latter half of this Particular is a fabrication so preposterous that I propose to treat it with the contempt it deserves.

Lord Marley embroidered this fiction in the Lords a few days after my arrest, insinuating that I had undertaken to be *Gauleiter* [JdN: the Third Reich term for a governor] of Scotland [= under a German occupation of Great Britain].

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dudley_Aman,_1st_Baron_Marley



by Bassano, vintage print, 1930

My solicitors [= lawyers] at once invited him to repeat his remarks outside. Needless to say, he did not do to, for there is not a shred of justification for either this Particular or his slanders.

[JdN: A Member of Parliament, whether of the House of Commons or the House of Lords, is allowed to say pretty much anything without it being illegal. But if one steps outside the Palace of Westminster and says these same things to the public, then he can be sued. So the protection only applies within the House of Lords or Commons, in a kind of immunity, so that is why Ramsay says his lawyers invited Lord Marley to repeat his remarks outside. In other words, this defamation that claimed Captain Ramsay had a "deal" to become the ruler of a Nazi puppet government over Scotland was an infamous accusation – and was legally protected from lawsuit only within the walls of Parliament.]

The term “sympathy with the policy and aims of the German Government” is misleading to the verge of dishonesty. It suggests some general agreement or understanding.

Nothing of the kind existed.

I have never been to Germany, and beyond one formal luncheon at their Embassy knew no Germans. What little I had learned about the Nazi system did not appeal to me.

I have never approved of the idea of movements on distantly similar lines being formed in Britain. On the contrary, I disapproved.

My view was that the Unionist Party, once enlightened, was the body best suited to take the needful counter-measures to the Jewish plan, and that to do so successfully it did not even need to go outside the powers latent in our Constitution.

[JdN: Captain Ramsay is basically saying here that he wanted to continue working through conservative organizations and the whole democratic, parliamentary setup that was the British tradition. He did not want a one-man rule, a dictatorship, and the German-style, Third-Reich way. He did not want to radically change Britain at all. He felt the Jewish problem could be solved

without going that far.]

In a general way, my views concerning German aspirations coincided exactly with those expressed by Lord Lothian in his speech at Chatham House on 29th June, 1937, when he said :

Lord Lothian (Philip Henry Kerr)



“Now if the principle of self-determination were applied on behalf of Germany in the way in which it was applied against her, it would mean the re-entry of Austria into Germany, the union of the Sudeten-Deutsch, Danzig and possibly Memel with Germany, and certain adjustments with Poland in Silesia and the Corridor.”

[JdN: So what Captain Ramsay is saying here is that one of the top people in the British Foreign Ministry is saying ‘we have treated the Germans unfairly.’ And as for the Germans whom the [1919] Treaty of Versailles separated from the Reich and put as minorities into other countries, this WAS outrageous, and we should have full understanding that the Germans want their own people back. So this says our own [British] government agreed with my view that what we did was wrong and the Germans had a right to be angry and dissatisfied with millions of their people having been put into foreign countries. How am I, Ramsay, now a traitor? I am agreeing with the British government that the Versailles Treaty was wrong!]

The only aspect of the Nazi policy which contacted in any special way with my views was the opposition to the disruptive activities of Organized World Jewry. No patriot – British, French, German or of any other nationality – is justified in abandoning the defence of his country to that onslaught, once he has recognized its reality.

To confuse sympathy on this one and loyal point with sympathy with the whole Nazi policy and aims is dishonest; to develop this fallacy into a charge of preferring that system to our own, and being prepared to force that system (of which I disapproved) upon my own country, is the last word in infamy.

PARTICULAR (iv).

“After the formation of the Organization, [he] made efforts on behalf of the Organization, to introduce members of the Organization into the Foreign Office, the Censorship, the Intelligence Branch of the War Office, and Government departments, in order to further the real objects of the Organization, as set out in (i) hereof! ‘

Reply.

Again we have here the fabrication of the wholly unjustifiable charge of a secret and disloyal purpose, already dealt with in Particular (i), and my Memorandum. In regard to the matter of members of the Right Club and Government offices, I would say this:

The objects of the Club being to spread as rapidly as possible the truth concerning the Jewish danger, time was always a vital factor. From the outset we were in a race with the Jewish propagandists.

To counter them in as many different spheres as possible was obviously the quickest method. Ten members in ten different spheres would spread our information more widely, more quickly than ten members all in the same office or club.

Every political group must follow these lines ; this method is the common practice of all political parties.

I never at any time made any effort to get any member a job in any Government Office.

If a member had a choice of two jobs, and didn't mind which he or she took, and asked me about it, I should clearly have replied that as far as the Club was concerned, the sphere in which we had no member to preach our gospel was the one to choose.

For the knowledge to reach such places as the Foreign Office, War Office, etc., was obviously to achieve the enlightenment of influential persons most rapidly of all.

PARTICULAR (v).

"After the outbreak of war, [he] associated with and made use of persons known by him to be active in opposition to the interests of Great Britain. Among such persons were one, Anna Wolkoff. and one, Tyler Kent, a Coding Officer employed at the Embassy of the U.S.A., with the knowledge of the activities in which Wolkoff and Kent were engaged, he continued to associate with them and to make use of their activities on behalf of the "Right Club" and of himself.

[JdN: Again, these were patriots trying to get the truth to the American people in the election year of 1940 that Franklin Roosevelt was plotting with Winston Churchill to get America despite the fact that Roosevelt was publicly PROMISING TO KEEP AMERICANS OUT OF THE WAR – among other reasons, because, particularly after June of 1941, the Third Reich was at war with, and trying to destroy communism! It had invaded the Soviet Union to try to CRUSH the USSR! And so even people who didn't like the Third Reich, and Hitler and his ideas, thought, 'Well, at least the Germans are trying to destroy communism.' Everyone knew all along that Hitler was anti-communist, so these people [like Ramsay] didn't feel they were traitors. They felt that America should not be involved in a war with Germany, and Britain should not either!

And so [Anna] Wolkoff

JdN: Wolkoff was a Russian noblewoman and the daughter of a Russian admiral who was the Russian Naval Attache in London. When the Bolshevik Revolution broke out, they stayed in London and acquired British citizenship. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Anna_Wolkoff



and [Tyler] Kent

Tyler Kent arrest photo 1940



Tyler Kent



Robert Harris report on Tyler Kent for BBC Newsnight 1982 Part 1

...did not believe they were "betraying the United States." They were telling the American people the truth – that their president

was pro-Soviet, pro-communist, and pro-Jew, and that he was shamelessly lying when he said he was doing everything possible to keep America out of the war — when in fact he was moving heaven and earth to get the U.S. into it.

Here is an especially vile screed against key members of the Right Club: <https://alexalbot.blogspot.com/2015/11/special-relationship-britain-and-us-in.html>]

In particular with knowledge that Kent had abstracted important documents, the property of the Embassy of the U.S.A., he visited Kent's flat at 47, Gloucester Place, where many of the said documents were kept, and inspected them for his own purpose. He further deposited with the said Kent the secret register of the members of the 'Right Club,' [JdN: that "Red Book"] of which Organization Kent had become an important member, in order to try and keep the nature of the Organization secret."

[JdN: He was not trying to keep the nature of the organization secret but its membership, because the members feared retaliation. Their purpose was to end the war.]

Reply.

I have never at any time of my life associated with persons whom I have known to be in opposition to the interests of Britain. On the contrary, my whole record proves that I have devoted more time and trouble than most people to fighting just such persons.

I certainly did not know, and do not now know, that either Mr. Kent or Miss Wolkoff were engaged in activities calculated or likely to harm the interests of Britain.

From my own acquaintance with them both, and conversations I have had during that period, I know they both recognized the activities of Organized Jewry to be one of the most evil forces in politics in general, and one of the most dangerous to the interests of Britain in particular.

All their actions will have been directed to countering those Powers and their designs, and most certainly not to anything that might injure the interests of Britain.

As for myself, I should like to add here most emphatically, in view of various mendacious allegations on the subject that have since reached my ears, that I have never, and of course could never contemplate the communicating of information to enemy quarters.

Having reasonable cause to believe that the Jewish Internationale intrigues to bring about total war radiated from New York City, and knowing that activities were being carried on to sabotage Mr. Chamberlain's policy of pacification and to bring about his overthrow, it was my obvious duty as a Member of Parliament, and one still loyal to Mr. Chamberlain, to make any investigation I could.

I deposited the Red Book of names of the Right Club members at Mr. Kent's flat for the period of my absence from London only.

I had heard of several persons who had had their papers (dealing with the same sort of subjects as mine) ransacked by persons unknown in their absence.


As I have stated already, I had given explicit assurance of privacy to some of the persons whose names were entered therein. Had their names even come into the hands of the British Secret Police, personated as this force is by Jews, their attitude vis-a-vis the Jewish menace would have become known at once in the very quarters from which they made a particular point of their being withheld, namely, Jewish quarters.

[JdN: What Ramsay is saying here is that the British secret service was full of Jews. So obviously the members of the Right Club did not want the British secret police to know they were in this "Club."]

Political burglary is no new thing in this country, when one is suspected of possessing information relating to the activities of Organized Jewry.

Lord Craigmyle, when Lord of Appeal, had his whole house ransacked, every drawer broken open and every paper searched without anything being stolen, at a time when it was reasonable to suppose that his papers contained such matter.

Thomas Shaw, MP, Baron Craigmyle



The Chief Lieutenant of Police in Edinburgh declared at the time that it was a “political burglary”; the perpetrators were never traced.

(See the letter of Lord Craigmyle, dated 6th July, 1920, entitled “Edinburgh and Freedom,” published in *Letters to Israel*.)

PARTICULAR (vi).

“Permitted and authorised his wife to act on his behalf in association with, and making use of, persons known by him to be active in opposition to the interests of Great Britain. Among these were Anna Wolkott, Tyler Kent and Mrs. Christabel Nicholson.”

Reply.

There is no truth whatever in this Particular and I propose to treat it with the contempt it deserves.

Needless to say, the Home Office Advisory Committee produced no evidence to support any of the slanders contained in any of the above Particulars.

CONCLUSION

I submit this statement, and the comments on the Particulars, not for my own sake, but to enlighten the country.

[III] When things reach a stage wherein a Lord of Appeal, whose papers are suspected of relating to the plans of Organized Jewry, can be “politically burgled,”

When a White Paper containing vital passages on Jewish World Bolshevism can be immediately withdrawn, and reprinted omitting the vital passages,

When a leading British Industrialist can be blackmailed by Organized Jewry, and coerced into submission by boycott, strikes, acts of sabotage and arson,

When a Member of Parliament, who dares to try and warn the country against this menace of Organized Jewry and their help-mates (the only Fifth Column that really exists in our country) is thereupon imprisoned for three years on false charges,

When these things can happen in Britain, then there must surely be something wrong somewhere.

At a time when Britain and the Empire are engaged in a life-and-death struggle, surely there can be no room for the foul teachings and activities which I have touched upon.

While our sailors, soldiers and airmen are winning victories over the external enemies, surely it is the duty of every patriot to fight this internal enemy at home.

The Prime Minister, in his speech at the Mansion House, stated that he had "not become the King's First Minister in order to preside over the liquidation of the British Empire."

There are more ways than one of encompassing the liquidation of the British Empire today ; and the National Leader who is determined to counter them all will not only need the utmost support of all patriots, but I believe it will be proved that his most formidable difficulties will emanate from just those very powers which I and other members of the Right Club have all along striven to oppose and expose.

APPENDIX 1

THE STATUTES OF JEWRY

*[In French] Les Estatutz de la Jeuerie [= "THE STATUTES OF JEWRY"] (A.D. 1275) (taken from *The Statues of The Realm*, Vol. 1, page 221.)*

*[JdN: You will recall how the Normans conquered England in 1066 in the Norman Conquest, and they continued speaking French for quite a long while, and so two hundred years later they were still speaking French, and a lot of the English lawcode was written in French – in England – hard to imagine, but **the Normans were French.***

So the Statutes of Jewry were decreed by Edward I, called "Longshanks," and he was also famous through the movie "Braveheart." He was very much a "bad guy" in that, because he was trying to crush the Scots.



However, he was the same one who, in England, cracked down at least on the Jews.

This Statute of 1275 came about before the more famous date of 1290, when the Jews in England were expelled – when the king threw them out. This first decree, the Statutes, was not as harsh.

The language of the Statutes is a bit hard to understand today and tedious in the translation below, which is in medieval English legalese.

Basically, what he was saying and yet none of it was obeyed, which is why he just up and threw them out fifteen years later, was the Jews can no longer lend money at interest; the Jews must do manual labor (what a laugh); and the Jews must wear a yellow badge so the English people can know they are dealing with a Jew.

But the Jews continued lending money at interest: the Jews continued ritually murdering English children; they refused to wear the yellow badge; so in 1290 Edward I, "Longshanks," threw them out.]

Painting of Edward I



THE STATUTES OF JEWRY

Usury forbidden to the Jews.

Forasmuch as the King hath seen that divers evils and the disinheriting of good men of his land have happened by the usuries which the Jews have made in time past, and that divers sins have followed thereupon albeit that he and his ancestors have received much benefit from the Jewish people in all times past, nevertheless, for the honour of God and the common benefit of the people the King hath ordained and established, that from henceforth no Jew shall lend anything at usury either upon land, or upon rent or upon other thing.

Distress for Jews.

And that the distress for debts due unto the Jews from henceforth shall not be so grievous but that the moiety of lands and chattels of the Christians shall remain for their maintenance: and that no distress shall be made for a Jewry debt upon the heir of the debtor named in the Jew's deed, nor upon any other person holding the land that was the debtor's before that the debt be put in suit and allowed in court.

Valuing lands taken for a Jew's debt.

And if the sheriff or other bailiff by the King's command hath to give Saisin (possession) to a Jew be it one or more, for their debt, the chattels shall be valued by the oaths of good men and be [1 13] delivered to the Jew or Jews or to their proxy to the amount of the debt ; and if the chattels be not sufficient, the lands shall be extended by the same oath before the delivery of Saisin to the Jew or Jews, to each in his due proportion, so that it may be certainly known that the debt is quit, and the Christian may have his land again ; saving always to the Christian the moiety of his land and chattels for his maintenance as aforesaid, and the chief mansion.

Warranty to Jews.

And if any moveable hereafter be found in possession of a Jew, and any man shall sue him the Jew shall be allowed his warranty if

he may have it ; and if not let him answer therefore so that he be not therein otherwise privileged than a Christian.

Abode of Jews.

And that all Jews shall dwell in the King's own cities and boroughs where the chests of the chirographs [scribes] of Jews are wont to be.

Their badge.

And that each Jew after he shall be seven years old, shall wear a badge on his outer garment, that is to say, in the form of two tables joined of yellow felt of the length of six inches and of the breadth of three inches.

Their tax.

And that each one, after he shall be twelve years old pay three pence yearly at Easter of tax to the King whose bond-man he is ; and this shall hold place as well for a woman as for a man.

Conveyance of land, etc., by Jews.

And that no Jew shall have the power to infeoff (take possession of) another whether Jew or Christian of houses, rents, or tenements, that he now hath, nor to alien in any other manner, nor to make acquittance to any Christian of his debt without the special license of the King, until the King shall have otherwise ordained therein.

Privileges of the Jews.

And forasmuch as it is the will and sufferance of Holy Church that they may live and be preserved, the King taketh them under his protection, and granteth them his peace ; and willeth that they be safely preserved and defended by his sheriffs and other bailiffs and by his liege men, and commandeth that none shall do them harm or damage, or wrong in their bodies or in their goods, moveable or immovable, and they shall neither plead nor be impleaded in any court nor be challenged or troubled in any court except in the court of the King whose bondmen they are ; and that none shall owe obedience, or service or rent except to the King or his bailiffs in his name unless it be for their dwelling which they now hold by paying rent ; saving the right of Holy Church.

Intercourse between Jews and Christians.

And the King granteth unto them that they may gain their living by lawful merchandise and their labour, and that they may have intercourse with Christians in order to carry on lawful trade by selling and buying. But that no Christian for this cause or any other shall dwell among them. And the King willeth that they shall not by reason of their merchandise be put to lot and soot nor in taxes with the men of the cities and boroughs where they abide ; for that they are taxable to the King as his bondmen and to none other but the King.

Holding houses and farms, etc.

Moreover the King granteth unto them that they may buy houses and castilages in the cities and boroughs where they abide, so that they hold them in chief of the King ; saving unto the lords of the fee their services due and accustomed. And that they may take and buy farms or land for the term of ten years or less without taking homages or fealties or such sort of obedience from Christians and without having advowsons [= "the right of presentation of a candidate to a benefice or church office."] of churches, and that they may be able to gain their living in the world, if they have not the means of trading or cannot labour; and this licence to take land to farm shall endure to them for fifteen years from this time forward.

[end]

The Parliament which passed this Statute included representatives of the Commons, and this was probably the first Statute in the enactment of which the Commons had any part.

It is significant that the first evidence of the feelings and wishes of the commoners should have expressed itself in such a form as in

these *Statues of Jewry*, in face of the fact, clearly evident in the script, that **the Kings owed much to Jewish activities**, having demanded monies from the Jews regularly and permitted them in turn to recoup themselves from the people.

[JdN: In other words, the kings, the rulers, benefited from the Jews because they could borrow money from them; it was the people, the common people, who suffered from their presence.]

APPENDIX 2

THE JEWS IN BRITAIN

1215 Magna Carta

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Magna_Carta

The Magna Carta (Latin for "Huge Charter," originally known as the "Charter of Liberties") of 1215 was written in iron gall ink [made from iron salts and oak tannin] on parchment in medieval Latin, using standard abbreviations of the period. It was authenticated with the Great Seal of King John, and was reaffirmed by Edward I, Longshanks, in 1297.



[JdN: This is when the nobles of England rose up, and demanded that the king accord them, and the people, certain rights, and to Parliament some rights. They would have to approve certain things, such as how the money is spent, and so forth. It was not to be an absolute dictatorship any more.

As Wikipedia says: "Magna Carta still forms an important symbol of liberty today, often cited by politicians and campaigners, and is held in great respect by the British and American legal communities, Lord Denning describing it as "the greatest constitutional document of all times – the foundation of the freedom of the individual against the arbitrary authority of the despot"."

The American Bar Association's Tribute to the Magna Carta at Runnymede



It was signed at Runnymede, 20 miles west of London, in an ancient meadow the Anglo-Saxons already had used for regular meetings.]

1255 Ritual murder of Little St. Hugh of Lincoln. Henry III personally ordered trial and 18 culprits were executed — all Jews.

1275 The Statute of Jewry passed ; it confined Jews to certain areas, forbade usury to them and also ownership of land and contact with the people: compelled them to wear a yellow badge.

1290 Edward I banished the Jews from England.

JdN: Fast forward now to 1657...]

1657 Oliver Cromwell, having been financed by Manasseh Ben Israel and Moses Carvajal, allows Jews to return to England, though the order of banishment was never rescinded by Parliament.

1689 Amsterdam Jews financed the rebellion against King James II. The chief of these — Solomon Medina — follows William of Orange to England.

1694 *[JdN: So here is the "biggie" now...]* The "Bank of England" is set up and the National Debt instituted, securing for the Jew moneylenders a first charge on the taxes of England for interest on their loans. The right to print money was transferred from the Crown [= the king] to this "Bank of England".

[JdN: This was the beginning of the Jewish economic takeover of England. In other words, this bank owned by Jews would print all the British money, all the British pounds, the coins, everything. It would all come from the Jews. Basically, the Bank of England would lend money to the government at interest, whereas before that, the government — the king — just made the money. The king has to borrow money from the Jews. And ever since then, the British pound has been borrowed from Jews. It is just incredible, so incredible, that people can't believe it and that is the problem.]

1707 *[JdN: Final item...]* Economic and political union forced upon Scotland against the vote of every county and borough ; the national debt foisted upon Scotland, and the royal mint in Edinburgh suppressed.

APPENDIX 3

FAMOUS MEN ON THE JEWS

Seneca around A.D. 60

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Seneca_the_Younger

Bust of Seneca in the Antikensammlung, ("Antiquities Collection") Berlin. (The other head, facing the other way, is that of Socrates)



“The customs of this accursed people have grown so strong, that they have spread through every land”.

St Justin 116 A.D.

“The Jews were behind all the persecutions of the Christians. They wandered through the country everywhere hating and

undermining the Christian faith.”

Mohammed 570

“It is incomprehensible to me, why one has not long ago expelled these death-breathing beasts ... are these Jews anything else but devourers of men?”

Martin Luther 1543



“On the Jews and Their Lies”(1543)

luther-martin-on-the-jews-and-their-lies



“How the Jews love the book of Esther, which is so suitable to their bloodthirsty, revengeful, murderous appetite and hope. The sun has never shone on such a bloodthirsty and vindictive people, who cherish the idea of murdering and strangling the heathen. No other men under the sun are more greedy than they have been, and always will be, as one can see from their accursed usury.

They console themselves that when their Messiah comes he will collect all the gold and silver in the world and divide it among them.”


Clement VIII Pope 1592

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pope_Clement_VIII




“All the world suffers from the usury of the Jews, their monopolies and deceit. They have brought many unfortunate peoples into a state of poverty, especially farmers, working-class people, and the very poor.”

Voltaire 1694



"The Jews are nothing but an ignorant and barbaric people, which have for a long time combined the most loathsome avarice with the most abominable superstition and inextinguishable hatred of all peoples by whom they are tolerated, and through whom they are enriched."




“I decided to improve the Jews: but I do not want any more of them in my Kingdom: indeed, I have done all to prove my scorn of the vilest nation in the world.”

Benjamin Franklin 1789



Statement in the [Constitutional] Convention [in Philadelphia in 1789], concerning Jewish Immigration:

Franklin, Adams and Jefferson



“There is a great danger for the United States of America, this great danger is the Jew. Gentlemen, in every land which the Jews have settled, they have depressed the normal level and lowered the degree of commercial honesty.

They have remained apart and unassimilated — they have created a state within a state, and when they are opposed they attempt to strangle the nation financially as in the case of Portugal and Spain. For more than 1700 years, they have lamented their sorrowful fate — namely, that they were driven out of their motherland, but gentlemen, if the civilized world today should give them back Palestine and their property, they would immediately find pressing reasons for not returning there. Why? Because they are vampires — they cannot live among themselves ; they must live among Christians and others who do not belong to their race.

“If they are not excluded from the United States by the Constitution, within less than 100 years, they will stream into this country in such numbers they will rule and destroy us and change our form of Government for which we Americans shed our blood and sacrificed life, property and personal freedom. If the Jews are not excluded, within 200 years our children will be working in the fields to feed the Jews while they remain in the Counting House gleefully rubbing their hands.

“I warn you, gentlemen, if you do not exclude the Jews forever, your children’s children will curse you in your graves. Their ideas are not those of Americans even when they have lived among us for ten generations. The leopard cannot change its spots. The Jews are a danger to this land and if they are allowed to enter they will imperil our institutions — they should be excluded by the Constitution.”

Copy of leaflet designed by the Author after the [1938] Munich Agreement:

Are you Aware that . . .

MR CHAMBERLAIN

was Burnt in Effigy

in Moscow

**as soon as it was known that
he had secured Peace, show-
ing very clearly WHO
WANTED WAR and who
are still working ceaselessly
to stir up strife all the world
over ?**

**Issued by the MILITANT CHRISTIAN PATRIOTS, 93 Chancery Lane,
W.C 1 (HOLborn 2137), and printed by W. Whitehead, 22 Lisle st,
W.C2**

APPENDIX 5

Reprinted from *Free Britain* June 1954

THE OFFICIAL GAG

Lord Jowitt, either with a belated desire to do Justice to Captain Ramsay or now cautious of repeating the fabrications of the past, has admitted in his memoirs of the War Trials,

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/William_Jowitt,_1st_Earl_Jowitt



... published in the London *Evening Standard* of May 13th, [1952] that the defendants in the Tyler Kent affair were all along acting in good faith.

Lord Jowitt, in order to publish these memoirs at all, has been forced to make a point which neither Captain Ramsay nor Anna Wolkoff are even yet permitted to make in their own defense, the nature of the documents concerned in the case having been declared an "Official Secret" which they may not divulge.

Others, however, are now free to state what they have known from the beginning, namely, that Captain Ramsay was never at any time endeavouring to communicate with Germany but was trying to communicate certain information to the then Prime Minister, Mr. Chamberlain, which Mr. Chamberlain was expecting, but which, because of Captain Ramsay's arrest, **never reached him.**



Something of this information later reached Mr. Chamberlain by other channels, however, for it was disclosed in the Forrestal Diaries that Mr. Chamberlain had become convinced, and actually told Mr. Forestall, that powerful Jewish circles in New York were solely responsible for maneuvering Britain into the war, unsuspected by him at the time, although he was Prime Minister, and ought to have been informed of what was going on.

The wedge that was driven between Mr. Chamberlain and Captain Ramsay was the lock-up and the abuse of the Official Secrets Act, followed by the elaborate dissemination of the complete fabrication by the Home Office that “the said Captain Archibald Maule Ramsay, M.P. . . had expressed his desire to co-operate with the German Government in the conquest and subsequent government of Great Britain.

[JdN: In other words, this was a direct accusation of treason, claiming Ramsay was in touch with the Germans, and that after they had conquered Great Britain, he would become the puppet leader of Scotland, as I have said before – an infamous accusation.]

Later the Lord Marley added further to this fabrication by stating in the House of Lords that he had it on good authority that Captain Ramsay had agreed to become *Gauleiter* of Scotland under a German occupation of Great Britain. He ignored the challenge of Captain Ramsay’s lawyers to repeat the charge outside the House.

For fourteen years Lord Jowitt must have been well aware that Captain Ramsay was conducting an investigation in order to satisfy Mr. Chamberlain that there was documentary evidence for the facts already disclosed to him by Captain Ramsay, and that Captain Ramsay’s arrest was made to prevent that documentary evidence from being presented to the Prime Minister.

But it has taken all these years for Lord Jowitt to concede that Captain Ramsay is an honest man who **“would never have countenanced any act which he recognized as being against the interests of his country.”**

....The final item in this appendix is the *German White Book on the last phase of the 1939 German-Polish crisis*

The German White Book, presented herewith, is a collection of official documents and speeches, not a collection of uncontrollable conversations. It does not pretend to cover the entire field of German-Polish relations but, as the title implies, concerns itself solely with the last phase of the German-Polish crisis, from August 4th to September 3rd, 1939.

The Polish-German controversy concerning the Corridor, Upper Silesia and Danzig, began in 1919; it has never, since the signing of the Versailles Treaty, ceased to agitate Europe. For many years intelligent commentators and statesmen of all nations, including Great Britain, agreed that the separation of East Prussia from the Reich and, indeed, the whole Polish settlement, was unjust and fraught with danger.

Germany again and again, made attempts to solve the differences between the two countries in a friendly spirit. It was only when all negotiations proved vain and Poland joined the encirclement front against Germany, that Chancellor Hitler cut the Gordian knot with the sword. It was England that forced the sword into his hand.

Great Britain asserts in her Blue Book and elsewhere that she was compelled to “guaranty” Poland against “aggression” for reasons of international morality. Unfortunately the British Government subsequently admitted (Under-Secretary of State Butler,

House of Commons, October 19, 1939) that the “guaranty” was aimed solely against Germany.

[JdN: The salient point is that Britain had no objection when the Soviet Union attacked Poland from the east. They had no problem with that, and did not declare war on Russia. They only declared war Germany. This shows who was behind the whole thing.]

It was not valid in case of conflicts with other powers. In other words, the British “guaranty” was merely a link in the British encirclement chain. The Polish crisis was deliberately manufactured by Great Britain with the connivance of Poland. It was the fuse designed to set off the explosion!

Great Britain naturally attempts to becloud this fact. Official British statements on the outbreak of the war place great emphasis on the allegation that England did not give a formal “guaranty” to Poland until March 31, 1939, whereas the German demand on Poland, which Poland rejected, was made on March 21st.

Britain contends that the British “guaranty” was merely the consequence of the German demand of March 21st.

Britain denies that her “guaranty” stiffened Polish resistance. She insists that Germany took advantage of a moment of highly strained international tension by springing upon Poland her demand for an extra-territorial road through the Corridor between the Reich and East Prussia.


The British ignore a vital fact in this connection. The existence of the “guaranty”, not its formal announcement, was the decisive factor. The future may reveal when the British promise was first dangled before Poland. In any event, Poland was assured of British aid **before** March 21st.

[JdN: In other words, it does not matter when the British government publicly announced what it was saying in private. What counts is what was said in private between the British and Polish governments. ‘If you go to war with Germany, we’ll back you up.’ So if you are Poland, why negotiate? ‘Britain will be fighting on our side.’ And France will jump in, and then we’ll clean the Germans’ clock.’

The Poles actually had this dream of taking over Berlin, of taking a third of Germany away. They openly talked about it.]

Chamberlain’s speech of March 17, 1939, and the statement by Lord Halifax of March 20th, (both reprinted in the British Blue Book) leave no doubt on that question. The British “guaranty” was in the nature of a blank check. Poland did not know, when she marched to her doom, that this check would not be honored.

Edward Frederick Lindley Wood, First Earl of Halifax



The allegations that the Poles were surprised or overwhelmed by the German proposals, does not hold water. Poland was fully informed of the German demands. When as Herr von Ribbentrop [the foreign minister of the Reich] points out in his Danzig speech (October 24, 1939) Chancellor Hitler in 1934 concluded a Friendship and Non-Aggression Pact with Marshal Pilsudski, it was clearly understood that the problem of Danzig and the Corridor must be solved sooner or later. Chancellor Hitler hoped that it would be solved within the framework of that instrument.

Poland callously disregarded her obligations under the German-Polish Pact, after the death of Marshal Pilsudski. The persecution of German minorities in Poland, Poland's measures to strangle Danzig economically, the insolent manner the Polish Government chose to adopt with the British blank check in its pocket and the Polish mobilization frustrated Chancellor Hitler's desire to settle Polish-German differences by peaceful negotiation, as he had solved every other problem arising from the bankruptcy of statesmanship at Versailles.

No one can affirm that the National Socialist Government did not attempt with extraordinary patience to impress upon Poland the desirability of a prompt and peaceful solution. The Polish Government was familiar with the specific solution proposed by Chancellor Hitler since October 24, 1938. The nature of the German proposals was discussed at least four times between the two governments before March 21, 1939.

On October 24, 1938, von Ribbentrop, the German foreign Minister, proposed to the Polish Ambassador, Lipski, four steps to rectify the injustice of Versailles and to eliminate all sources of friction between the two countries.

One, the return of the Free City of Danzig to the Reich, without severance of its economic ties to the Polish State. (The arrangement vouchsafed to Poland free port privileges and extra-territorial access to the harbor.)

[JdN: "Extra-territorial access" means that you have a road, and the people of this other country can drive on that special road, and do not have to go through customs, show their passport, and whatnot. As long as they stay on that road and just got from Point A to Point B, they can do so. They can cross over, say, German territory, even though they are Poles, to get to their facilities and their part of the harbour, so their ships can load and unload. So an extraterritorial road is an access road.]

Two, an extraterritorial [sic] route of communication through the Corridor by rail and motor to reunite Germany and East Prussia.

[JdN: So what had happened, of course, after the Treaty of Versailles, is that the easternmost part of Germany was chopped off from the rest of Germany, and a big chunk of Poland was stuck in the middle. So the question for the Germans was, things were unfriendly, and we have to go through customs to get to another part of our own country. We have to go through a hostile country to get to another part of our own country. And that was very nerve-racking, and it was not good. So the proposal was that Hitler was going to build an autobahn, one of his superhighways, and a railroad, and so forth, dedicated strictly to Germans going back and forth. Only Germans could go on it, no Poles, like a little strip, a thin little strip of Germany going across Poland to their territory.

By the way, after World War Two, when there was an East and West Germany, and yet within EAST Germany you had the city of Berlin, and the western half of that was West Berlin, part of the Western Bloc, the NATO Bloc, and connected by various ties to West Germany, then the question is how to get there.

So the East and West German governments had a deal, an extraterritorial autobahn deal, where trains and cars on the autobahn could go straight across the East-West German border, and go straight to West Berlin. They could not get off, just pull off for gas (petrol), on the highway, but you could not take the exit ramps. You could not get off the highway. So it was an extraterritorial route so you could get from one part of your territory to the other part without having hassles.

So it can be done, and that is what Hitler had proposed, that between the bulk of Germany and East Prussia special train and superhighway areas be created where Germans could go back and forth without any trouble from the Poles or any problem.]

Three, mutual recognition by the two States of their frontiers as final, and, if necessary, a mutual guaranty of their territories.

Four, the extension of the German-Polish Pact of 1934 from ten to twenty-five years.

On January 5, 1939, Poland's Foreign Minister, Josef Beck, conferred with the German chancellor on the problems involved. At this time Chancellor Hitler offered Beck a clear and definite guaranty covering the Corridor, and on the basis of the four points outlined by von Ribbentrop. The following day, January 6th, at Munich, the German Foreign Minister once more confirmed Germany's willingness to guaranty, not only the Corridor, but all Polish territory.

The generous offer for a settlement along these lines, liquidating all friction between the two countries, was reiterated when Foreign Minister von Ribbentrop paid a state visit to Warsaw (January 23rd to 17th, 1939).

On that occasion von Ribbentrop again offered a guaranty of the Polish-German boundaries and a final, all-inclusive settlement of German-Polish relations.

Under the circumstances it is absurd to allege that Poland was "surprised" by the German proposal of March 21st, and by subsequent developments. It is possible that Poland may have concealed Germany's friendly and conciliatory offers from Paris and London. With or without British promptings, Poland prepared the stage for a melodramatic scene, in which the German villain brutally threatened her sovereignty and her independence.

In spite of Polish intransigence, culminating in threats of war, Chancellor Hitler made one more desperate attempt to prevent the conflict. He called for a Polish plenipotentiary *[JdN: term coming from the Latin word meaning "with full power," meaning an ambassador authorized to negotiate; he does not have to get on the phone every five minutes and talk with his leader, but he has the power to sit down and negotiate seriously; he is not just a flunky or a messenger: he is there to handle the negotiations]* to come and discuss the solution presented in Document 15 of the German White book.

This solution envisaged the return of Danzig to the Reich, the protection of Polish and German minorities *[JdN: there being Poles living on the German side as well as Germans living on the Polish side]*, a plebiscite in the Corridor under neutral auspices, safeguarding, irrespective of the result, Poland's unimpeded extraterritorial access to the sea.

[JdN: Hitler meant by this that parts of the Polish Corridor were overwhelmingly German, and given unnecessarily to Poland, but Poles still needed to get to the sea, have shipping and ports and not be landlocked. But in his view, they were mistreating the Germans, and he would not put up with that. Let those people return to being part of Germany.]

The British are please to describe this reasonable document as an “ultimatum”. This is a complete distortion of the facts. The German government, it is true, had set a time-limit (August 30th) for the acceptance of its proposal, but it waited twenty-four hours after its expiration before concluding that the possibilities of diplomatic negotiations had been exhausted. There was ample opportunity for England and Poland to act within those twenty-four hours.

The British take the position that Germany’s demands were not known either in Warsaw or London. That pretense is demolished by the British Blue Book itself, for we find here a dispatch from Sir Nevile Henderson, the British Ambassador to Berlin, which leaves no doubt that he relayed the German proposal to London after his midnight conference with von Ribbentrop on August 30th, and that he understood the essential points of the German proposal.

Henderson even transmitted to the British Government Chancellor Hitler’s assurance that the Polish negotiator would be received as a matter of course on terms of complete equality with the courtesy and consideration due to the emissary of a sovereign state.

Henderson sent his night message not only to Downing Street, but also to the British Embassy in Warsaw. There is evidence, which has recently come into the possession of the German Foreign Office that, in spite of all its protestations of ignorance and helplessness, the British Cabinet communicated the substance of Henderson’s midnight conversation with the German Foreign Minister directly to the Polish Government.

The London *Daily Telegraph*, in a late edition of August 31st, printed the following statement:

“At the Cabinet Meeting yesterday, at which the terms of the British Note were approved, it was decided to send a message to Warsaw, indicating the extent of the latest demands from Berlin for the annexation of territory”.

This item appeared only in a few issues. It was suppressed in later editions.

Germany’s demands were so reasonable that no sane Polish Government would have dared to reject them. They certainly would have been accepted if England had advised moderation. There was one more chance to preserve peace on September 2nd. It was offered by a message from Premier Mussolini (Document 20). The Italian suggestion was acceptable to Germany and France (Document 21), but was rejected by Great Britain (Document 22).

I wish to thank Scottish-American Jamie Anderson of North Carolina



....and the founder and main curator of Leofrank.org



HOME ABOUT CONTACT LEO FRANK DRAMATIS PERSONAE TRIALS/EVIDENCE SOLUTION REACTIONS NEWSPAPERS
ART/DRAMA IMAGES SUBSCRIBE

The Leo Frank Case Research Library

Information on the 1913 bludgeoning, rape, strangulation and mutilation of Mary Phagan and the subsequent trial, appeals and mob lynching of Leo Frank in 1915.

To search, type and hit


August 18, 2015 The Official Five-Year Anniversary of Leo Frank Research Library

by CURATOR on AUGUST 18, 2015



RESEARCH PAGES

for generously financing this vital project of the
creation of new *audio* and *online* versions
of Captain Ramsay's printed 1952 book
The Nameless War.



JOHN DE NUGENT → SCUMBAG OR HERO? FACTS ON HIM AND HIS ACCUSERS

[Tell Me More...](#)

SUPPORT JOHN DE NUGENT

Donations are working again!!!

Email Address

Message to John

Payment Amount



Card Holder's Name

Card Number

Card CW

Month

Year

SEARCH

Search ...

RECENT POSTS

FRENCH Des Francais virent clairement en 1889!

THE INSANITY OF HAVING A WEBHOST WHO WORKS FOR THE JEWS; NOTICE TO PEDOPHILOCRATS: I am not suicidal, sick or a pedophile who needs a SWAT team at his door :-)

GERMAN Chemtrails-Wahrheitskuender gibt auf

Reborn

"Why are they after you so much, John?"

Super "Over the Rainbow " NS vid by just-fired WN babe Emily Youcis

Sheriff calls again saying FBI wants to "ask some questions"

Angels watching over us

Boy with cancer dies in Santa's arms

German efficiency nukes prices, boosts checkout speed at 1500 US Aldi stores in 32 states

LINKS

AAA 9/11 Missing Links


AAA British Renaissance

AAA Ende der Lüge (in German, meaning "End of the Lie")




AAA Mut zur Wahrheit (my German site - "Courage to Resist")

AAA Mut zur Wahrheit Videos



AAA The New Order (successor to George Lincoln Rockwell)



Allbuch in English

Blind Light

Brother Nathanael Kapner's website



Compact (German magazine)

Der Honigmann

German Victims/Deutsche Opfer

Great German & Third Reich art

Hitler.org

Imperial Germans (Reichsdeutsche) Fourth German Reich AFTER 1945 in Antarctic and elsewhere

Incogman

Jim Stone



John De-Nugent

Status bearbeiten

Geburtstag:	14. Juli 1954
Stadt:	Washington, D.C.
Beziehungsstatus:	In einer Beziehung
Ausbildung:	Georgetown University '81
Seite:	http://www.johndenugent.com

Kinga Graphics

Kyle Bristow, Attorney at Law

Laval Nugent von Westmeath

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

Laval Graf Nugent von Westmeath (November 3, 1777 – August 21, 1862) was a soldier of Irish birth, who fought in the armies of **Austria** and the **Two Sicilies**.

Contents [hide]

- 1 Biography
- 2 Sources
- 3 See also
- 4 References



Biography [edit]





Maria Lourdes Blog (in German)

Mike Delaney live feed "ProThink"

National Vanguard.org (online magazine)

Nordic Resistance Movement



Parti de la France

Reichsarchiv

Reincarnation - the surprising facts



Samuel Roth's book "Jews Must Live"

Sharkhunters — U-Boat and WWII research

Solar General

South Africa Today

Look inside ↓



Sweet Dreams and Terror Cells (When Giants Break the Spell Book 1) Kindle Edition

by Frank Raymond (Author)

★★★★★ 13 customer reviews

▶ See all 2 formats and editions

Kindle
\$0.00 kindleunlimited

Paperback
\$28.00

Ted Gunderson, former high FBI official, opponent of satanism & crusader for children
White Information Network
White Rabbit Radio with Horus the Avenger

ARCHIVES

Select Month ▼

Copyright © 2016 | WordPress Theme by MH Themes